



**BOULT • CUMMINGS  
CONNERS • BERRY PLC**

**RECEIVED**

Henry Walker  
(615) 252-2363  
Fax (615) 252-6363  
Email hwalker@boultcummings.com

2004 NOV -5 PM 4:05

**T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM**

November 5, 2004

Jean Stone, Hearing Officer  
Tennessee Regulatory Authority  
460 James Robertson Pkwy  
Nashville, TN 37243-0505

**Re:    *In Re: BellSouth's Motion for the Establishment of a New Performance Assurance Plan***  
**Docket: 04-00150**

Dear Ms. Stone

In response to the procedural schedule proposed by BellSouth in the above-captioned docket, the intervening competitive carriers<sup>1</sup> submit the following comments:

1. The Florida Public Service Commission has scheduled workshop-type meetings on November 8 and 9, 2004, to discuss, among other things, a procedural schedule to conclude that Commission's review of BellSouth's proposed new SQM and SEEM plans ("Performance Assessment Plan" or "PAP") As soon as those dates are established, the Intervenors will notify the Hearing Officer and suggest, as needed, additional modifications to the TRA's schedule.

2 The Florida Commission is presently engaged in reviewing the same issues that are now pending before the TRA. The Florida Commission must define and, eventually, resolve literally hundreds of separate disputes. To manage this process, the Florida Commission has chosen to use a workshop-type format to define the issues and, where possible, negotiate agreements on particular measurements and penalties After several months, the parties have been able to set forth the areas of dispute in an issues matrix and to resolve a number of issues. A copy of the current Florida issues matrix is attached

The Intervenors believe that the TRA should adopt a similar approach which builds on, rather than repeats, the Florida experience. There seems little point, for example, in conducting extensive discovery concerning the parties' positions which have been thoroughly examined in Florida. That time would be better spent in workshops involving the parties and the TRA staff, similar to the workshop in Florida, at which the parties can define and narrow the issues Absent

<sup>1</sup> A petition to intervene is being filed under separate cover

November 5, 2004

Page 2

such workshops, it will be practically impossible for the parties or the agency to manage this docket within a reasonable timeframe. There are simply too many complex issues at this point to fit into a typical "business-as-usual" schedule as proposed by BellSouth. These workshops should be scheduled to begin as soon as possible and should supplement the Florida workshops.

3 Following these workshops, the parties should be directed to file an issues matrix with the TRA, which sets forth BellSouth's and the CLECs' positions with respect to BellSouth's proposed changes to the Performance Assessment Plan. Because of the large number of contested issues likely to arise in this case and the complexity of those issues, a matrix will help both the parties and the agency

4 Based upon the issues matrix, the parties can then file testimony. BellSouth should file first, followed by testimony from the Intervenors. As the Movant, BellSouth should also be allowed to file rebuttal testimony.<sup>2</sup>

5. The Intervenors estimate that, absent substantial agreement among the parties, the TRA will need to set aside five to seven days for hearings. As previously noted, this case potentially involves hundreds of issues, many of them highly technical. The agency may also wish to consider assigning this matter to the Hearing Officer to hear the evidence and make recommended findings and conclusions. These decisions about the hearing however, should be made following the submission of a joint issues matrix.

As indicated, the Intervenors will supplement these comments next week based upon the procedural dates established in Florida.

Very truly yours,

BOULT, CUMMINGS, CONNERS & BERRY, PLC

By:

  
Henry Walker

HW/d  
Enclosure

---

<sup>2</sup> If BellSouth's rebuttal raises new issues, the Intervenors may seek leave to file sur-rebuttal testimony

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been forwarded electronically and via U S Mail, postage prepaid, to:

Guy M. Hicks  
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc  
333 Commerce Street  
Suite 2101  
Nashville, TN 37201-3300

on this the 5<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2004



---

Henry Walker

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
All	All	All	Deleted Line Sharing in SQM/SEEM Disaggregation	Line sharing is no longer a UNE
All	All	Header	Changed Alpha/Numeric Measure Identifier to Alpha Only	SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
All	All	Data Retained	Delete Data Retained section and replace with sentence in the SQM referring to SDUM	Formerly used to list fields needed to replicate the report but it couldn't be kept current as system changes were implemented. The current Supporting Data Users Manual (SDUM) is now automatically attached to every raw data file with detailed code so this section in the SQM is unnecessary.
All	All	SEEM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	Delete entire SEEM Disaggregation section and replace with "Note" in the introduction reference to the SEEM Plan	The SEEM Disaggregation has been removed from the SQM because it is included in the SEEM Administrative Plan, which is the more appropriate location for this information. This also eliminates the possibility of conflict between the SQM and SEEM Plans
SQM Plan	N/A	Introduction	The BellSouth Service Quality Measurement Plan (SQM) describes in detail the measurements produced to evaluate the quality of service delivered to BellSouth's wholesale customers both <del>wholesale and retail</del> . The SQM was developed to respond to the requirements of the Communications Act of 1996 Section 251 (96 Act) which required BellSouth to provide non-discriminatory access to Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLEC) and their Retail Customers. The reports produced by the SQM provide regulators, CLECs and BellSouth the information necessary to monitor the delivery of non-discriminatory access.	The Introduction has been revised to update documentation references
			This plan results from the many divergent forces evolving from the 96 Act. The Georgia Public Service Commission (GSPC) Order (Docket #92-L-12430-97), LCLUG-1-7.0, the FCC's NPMR (CC-Docket #98-56-RM-01-044-7-98), the Louisiana Public Service Commission (LPSC) Order (Docket L-22252, Subdocket C-044-149-04), numerous arbitration cases, LPSC sponsored collaborative workshops (#98-02/00), and proceedings in Alabama, Mississippi, and North Carolina have and continue to influence the SQM. This version of the SQM refers to the Florida Public Service Commission Order Nos. FSC-02-1236-PAA-TR, issued December 10, 2002, FSC-03-0239-PAA-TR, issued April 22, 2003 and FSC-03-0603-CO-TP, May 15, 2003. This specific SQM is based on Order No. (to be determined) in FSPC Docket No. (to be determined) cited (to be determined).	Revised section to more accurately define the nature of the SQM and include references to the FCC and Courts of Law
			The SQM and the reports flowing from it must change to reflect the dynamic requirements of the industry. New measurements are added as new products, systems, and processes are developed and fielded. New products and services are added as the markets for them develop and the processes stabilize. The measurements <del>are also</del> will be changed to reflect the dynamic changes <del>in system</del> , described above and to correct errors, and respond to both 3rd Party audits, <del>regulators</del> and the Florida PSC Orders of the FCC, LPSC and the appropriate Courts of Law	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
			<p>Upon a particular Commission's issuance of an Order pertaining to Performance Measure no. 6, Remedy Plans in a proceeding expressly applicable to all CLECs, BellSouth shall implement such period of time measures and remedy plans specified by the Commission. In addition to law receivers BellSouth of the obligations to provide any LNF or NF contained on pursuant to Section 251 of the Act, then upon providing the Commission with 30 days written notice, BellSouth may cease reporting data or paying remedies in accordance with the changes of law. Performance measurements and remedy plans that have been adopted by the Commission can currently be accessed via the Internet at BellSouth's PMAP website (<a href="http://pmap.bellsouth.com">http://pmap.bellsouth.com</a>) in the Documentation tab. It is further anticipated that there will be any difference between the period of time measures and remedy plans on BellSouth's website and those the Commission has approved as filed in compliance with its order, the Commission-approved compliance plan will supersede as of its effective date.</p>	<p>Added a section to address the implementation schedule of the performance measurement and remedy plans after a Commission order, describes change of law provisions, and provide location of performance measurement and remedy plans</p>
Report Publication Dates			<p>Each month, preliminary SQM reports will be posted to BellSouth's SQM4 PMAP website (<a href="http://pmap.bellsouth.com">http://pmap.bellsouth.com</a>) by 8:00 AM EST on the 21st day of each month or the first business day after the 21st. The validated SQM reports will be posted by 8:00 AM on the last day of the month or the first business day after the last day of the month. <del>Reports will be posted by the 15th of the following month—SQM4 payments due will also be paid the 15th of the following month. For instance, May data will be posted in preliminary SQM4 reports on June 21. Final validated SQM reports will be posted on the last day of the month—final validated SQM4 reports will be posted and payment—finalized on the 15th of the following month.</del></p> <p>For details on SEEM, please refer to the SEEM Administrative Plan.</p> <p>BellSouth shall retain the performance measurement <del>and</del> <sup>and</sup> Data Files (SDF) for a period of 18 months and further retain the monthly reports produced in PMAP for a period of three years. Instructions for retention of the reports in the SDF are contained in the Supporting Data User Manual (SDUM). The SDUM is available on the PMAP web site and is automatically provided with each SDF download.</p>	<p>Clarification to existing processes</p> <p>Removed the SEEM requirements to prevent the possibility of conflict with the SEEM documentation</p> <p>Reference SEEM Administrative Plan for SEEM report publication information</p> <p>Added as information to clarify and reflect current nomenclature</p>
Report Delivery Methods			<p>CLEC SQM and SEEM reports will be considered delivered when posted to the website <del>The Florida Public Service Commission (FSPSC) has State Summary reports will be filed with the FSPSC as soon as possible after the last day of each month.</del></p> <p><del>The Florida Public Service Commission (FSPSC) has State Summary reports will be filed with the FSPSC as soon as possible after the last day of each month.</del></p>	<p>SRS format replaced SQM / MSS reports and reports will be considered delivered when posted to the web site</p> <p>Eliminated the redundant requirement to file copies</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
OSS	OSS-1+		Delete Average Response Interval and Percent within Interval	Removed this measure to streamline the measurement plan. This measure provides minimal information about the level of performance. These are electronic pre-ordering transactions with intervals measured in seconds. The relevant issue is whether systems are operating which is measured in OSS-2. If systems are working, even if there are differences of a few seconds between wholesale and retail preordering responses, they are inconsequential. Further OSS-2 was modified to monitor degraded service and partial outages as well, so any system degradation can be monitored in that revised measure.
I.A Interface Availability (Pre-Ordering / Ordering)	Title	OSS-2 I.A OSS Interface Availability (Pre-Ordering/Ordering)	Percent-of-time-OSS-interface-is-functionally-available-compared-to-scheduled-availability Availability percentages for CLEC interfaces and for all Legacy systems accessed by them are captured. ('Functional Availability' is the amount of time-in-hours during the reporting period that the legacy systems are available-to-users-The Planned System-Scheduled Availability is the time-in-hours per day that the legacy system is scheduled-to-be-available.)	Consistent nomenclature throughout the interface measures Wording clarification
	Definition		This measure captures the functional availability of application interfaces as a percentage of scheduled availability for the same systems. 'Functional Availability' is defined as the number of hours in the reporting period the applications'interfaces are available to users. 'Scheduled Availability' is defined as the number of hours in the reporting period the applications'interfaces are scheduled to be available.	
			Scheduled availability is posted on the Interconnection website ( <a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/ossoss_hour.html">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/ossoss_hour.html</a> )	
Exclusions			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC-impacting troubles caused by factors outside of BellSouth's purview, e.g. troubles in customer equipment, troubles in networks owned by telecommunications companies other than BellSouth, etc</li> <li>Degraded service outages which are defined as a service function that is normally performed by the CLEC, but which significantly reduced+response+time</li> <li>Scheduled OSS Maintenance</li> </ul>	<p>Degraded service outages will now be reflected in one version of the measure and in the total outage calculation</p> <p>Deleted this exclusion since it is redundant by definition</p> <p>This time is already excluded from the measure</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Business Rules		<p>This measurement captures the functional availability (full Outages) calculated upon availability of applications and interface applications utilized by CLECs for ordering and ordering &amp; a percentage of scheduled availability for the same systems. Only full and Loss of Functionality outages are included in the calculation for this measure.</p> <p>Types of outages are defined as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Full outages are defined as occurrences of either of the following <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Application/interface application is down or totally inoperative</li> <li>- Application is totally inoperative for customers attempting to access or use the application (this includes transport outages when they may be directly associated with a specific application)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Partial Loss of Functionality outages are defined as defined as - A certain function that is normally performed by the CLEC or is normally provided by an application or system is temporarily unavailable to the CLEC, where a fully functional customer normally performs or is functionally provided by an application or system is down/inoperative to any customer.</li> <li>Degraded Service is defined as occurrences of either of the following <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- When the application or system is known to any 1<sup>st</sup> organization to be processing 20% or more below normal capacity</li> <li>- When 20% or more of the clients experience slow response from the system or application</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Total Outages include Full Outages, Degraded Services and Loss of Functionality minutes, and will be calculated for diagnostic purposes.</p> <p>Comments to an internal benchmark provides a vehicle for determining whether or not CLECs and retail BellSouth entities are given comparable opportunities for use of ordering and ordering systems.</p> <p>(Note: Scheduled maintenance will not be performed between the hours of 8:00 a.m. through 9:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.)</p>	<p>The changes create a two part measure that will continue to report full outages as BellSouth does today, and add a result that includes addition to full outages and loss of functionality in addition to full outages as a diagnostic measure. Only full outages will be considered for SEEM/SQM performance compliance and for determining the overall performance level to determine the appropriate SEEM schedule to apply. Defines terms</p> <p>Included BellSouth's IT definition for degraded service</p> <p>Removed irrelevant statement</p> <p>Removed note because the SQM does not determine the scheduled hours of operation. Hours of scheduled maintenance is a business practice and is addressed in the CLEC Ordering Guide.</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Calculation	<u>OSS Interface Availability (Pre-Ordering/Ordering) = <math>(a-b)/a \times 100</math></u>	<p><u>Interface Availability (Full Outages) = <math>(a-b)/a \times 100</math></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = <u>Scheduled Availability Minutes</u></li> <li>b = <u>Scheduled Availability Full Outage Minutes</u></li> </ul> <p><u>Interface Availability (Total Outages) = <math>(a - (b + c)) / a \times 100</math></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c = <u>Loss of Functionality Minutes</u></li> <li>d = <u>Degraded Service Minutes</u></li> </ul>	Clarify full outage calculation Added Total Outage calculation as described in the Business Rules
	Report Structure		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interface Type</li> <li>Net CLEC-Specific</li> <li>Legacy System, Interface Specific</li> <li>Net Provider-Specific</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>Regional Level</li> </ul>	Report Structure changed to more clearly reflect the output report
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> <u>Interface Availability (Full Outages) Regional Level, Per-OSS Interface</u> <u>Interface Availability (Total Outages)</u>	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> <u><math>\geq 99.2\%</math></u> <u>Diagnostic</u>	Removed the redundant language for Full Outage and added Total Outage. When the interface is available but there is degraded service, the CLEC can still access the interface and there may be little or no impact on a CLEC dependent on the value and frequency that the impaired functionality would be utilized by the CLEC. Consequently, the results do not give a valid basis to evaluate system performance
MRIA Interface	Title	<b>(See Appendix D.C Tables for SQM OSS Interface Availability)</b>		
		<u>OSS → MRIA OSS Interface Availability (Maintenance &amp; Repair)</u>	Modified Appendix D to list current applications captured in measurement Also to delete tables for deleted measures OSS-1 and OSS-4	Consistent nomenclature throughout the interface measures

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
	Availability (Maintenance & Repair)	Definition	<p><del>Percent-of-time-applications-are-functionally-available-as-compared-to-scheduled-availability. Calculations-are-based upon-availability-of-applications-and-interfacing-applications-authorized-by-CLEC-for-maintenance-and-repair.</del></p> <p><del>This measurement captures the functional availability of applications/interfaces as a percentage of scheduled availabilities for the same year.</del></p> <p><del>"Functional Availability" is defined as the number of hours in the reporting period <del>that</del> the applications/interfaces are available to users. "Scheduled Availability" is defined as the number of hours in the reporting period <del>that</del> the applications/interfaces are scheduled to be available.</del></p> <p>Scheduled availability is posted on the Interconnection website. (<a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/boss/oss_hour.html">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/boss/oss_hour.html</a>)</p>	Wording clarification
	Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ CLEC-impacting troubles caused by factors outside of BellSouth's purview, e.g., troubles in customer equipment, troubles in networks owned by telecommunications companies other than BellSouth, etc</li> <li>▪ <del>Degraded-service-outages-which-are-defined-as-a-critical-function-that-is-normally-performed-by-the-CLEC-or-is-normally-provided-by-an-application-or-system-available-to-the-CLEC-but-with-significantly-reduced-response-or-presenting-time</del></li> </ul>	Delete exclusion for Degraded service Degraded service outages will now be reflected in one version of the measure

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Business Rules	<p>This measurement captures the functional availability of applications/interfaces as a percentage of scheduled availability for the same system. The Interface Availability (Full Outages) calculations are based upon availability of applications and interfacing applications utilized by CLECs for main interface and repair. Only full outages are included in calculations for this measure.</p> <p>Types of outages are defined as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full outages are defined as occurrences of either of the following <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Application/interface application is down or totally inoperative</li> <li>- Application is totally inoperative for customers attempting to access or use the application (this includes transport outages when they may be directly associated with a specific application)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Partial Loss of Functionality outages are defined as defined as - A <del>partial function that is normally performed by the CLEC or is normally provided by an application or system is temporarily unavailable to the CLEC while a new function is customer normally performs or a function normally provided by an application or system is unavailable to any customer.</del></li> <li>• Degraded Service is defined as occurrences of either of the following <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- When the application or system is known by any IT organization to be processing 20% or more below normal capacity</li> <li>- When 20% or more of the clients experience slow response from the system or application</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Total Outages include Full Outages, Degraded Services and Loss of Functionality minutes, and will be calculated to diagnostic purposes.</p> <p>Comparison-to-an-internal benchmark provides a vehicle for determining whether or not CLECs and retail BellSouth entities are given comparable opportunities for use of maintenance and repair systems.</p>	<p>The changes create a two part measure that will continue to report full outages as BellSouth does today, and add a result that includes degraded service and loss of functionality in addition to full outages as a diagnostic measure</p> <p>Only full outages will be considered for SEEM/SQM performance compliance and for determining the overall performance level to determine the appropriate SEEM schedule to apply CLECs maintain access to the interface with degraded service</p> <p>Included BellSouth's IT definition for degraded service</p>
Calculation			<p><b>ESS Interface Availability (M&amp;R) = <math>\frac{(a - b)}{a} \times 100</math></b></p> <p>Interface Availability (Full Outages) = <math>\frac{(a - b)}{a} \times 100</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Functional Scheduled Availability Minutes</li> <li>• b = Scheduled Availability Full Outages Minutes</li> </ul> <p>Interface Availability (Total Outages) = <math>\frac{(a - b - c - d)}{a} \times 100</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Loss of Functionality Minutes</li> <li>• b = Degraded Services Minutes</li> </ul>	<p>Removed irrelevant statement</p> <p>Clarify full outage calculation</p> <p>Added Total Outage calculation as described in the Business Rules</p> <p>Only full outages will be considered for SEEM/SQM main calculation CLECs maintain access to the interface with degraded service</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Interface Type</li> <li>- Non-CLEC-Specific</li> <li>- Non-Predictive-Service-Specific</li> <li>- Legacy System/Interface Specific</li> <li>- Geographic Scope</li> <li>- Regional Level</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Interface Type</li> <li>- Non-CLEC-Specific</li> <li>- Non-Predictive-Service-Specific</li> <li>- Legacy System/Interface Specific</li> <li>- Geographic Scope</li> <li>- Regional Level</li> </ul>	Report Structure changed to more clearly reflect the output report
SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	<p><b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b></p> <p>Interface Availability (Full Outages) <u>Regional Level</u>, Per-OSS-Interface &gt;= 99.5%            Interface Availability (Total Outage) <u>Regional Level</u>, Per-OSS-Interface &gt;= 99.5%</p>	<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <p>Interface Availability (Full Outages) <u>Regional Level</u>, Per-OSS-Interface &gt;= 99.5%            Interface Availability (Total Outage) <u>Regional Level</u>, Per-OSS-Interface &gt;= 99.5%</p>	<p>Removed the redundant language for Full Outage and added Total Outage. When the interface is available but there is degraded service, the CLEC can still access the interface and there may be little or no impact on a CLEC dependent on the value and frequency that the impaired functionality would be utilized by the CLEC. Consequently, the results do not provide a valid basis to evaluate system performance.</p> <p>Modified Appendix D to list current applications captured in measurement            Also to delete tables for deleted measures OSS-1 and OSS-4</p>	(See <u>Appendix DC Tables for SQM OSS Interface Availability - M&amp;R</u> )

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
OSS-4		Delete Response Interval (Maintenance & Repair)		Removed of this measure to streamline the measurement plan. The TAFI Boxes cannot distinguish between the CLEC request and the BST request, therefore both get the same treatment.
(PQ-1)			Delete Loop Makeup – Response Time – Manual	This measure provides minimal information about the level of performance. These are electronic queries to the maintenance and repair systems for transactions with intervals measured in seconds. The relevant issue is whether systems are operating which is measured in MRIA. Even if there are differences of a few seconds between wholesale and retail maintenance transactions, they are inconsequential. Further, OSS-3, now MRIA was modified to monitor degraded service and partial outages as well so any system degradation can be monitored in that measure.
ERT Loop Makeup-Response Time - Electronic	Title	(PQ-2) i. R/F Loop Makeup- Response Time - Electronic		Removal of this measure to streamline the measurement plan. Delete measure Based on low volume and low impact (10 transactions in the last 5 months)
Exclusions	Definition			SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
				Streamline the measurement plan by removing inconsequential data. Only the percent of response returned within the interval is used for monitoring performance. Average interval is simply another way to state this performance
				BellSouth should not be penalized for necessary maintenance downtime Add exclusion for test records. Test records do not impact CLECs

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Business Rules	The response interval starts when the CLEC's Mechanized Loop Makeup Service Inquiry (LMUSI) is submitted electronically through the <del>Openational-Support Systems</del> ordering interface. It ends when BellSouth's Loop Facility Assignment and Control System (LFACS) responds electronically to the CLEC with the requested Loop Makeup data via the <del>TAG-ordering</del> interface. LSRs submitted via LEds will be referred in-the-future-for-the <del>TAG-interface</del> .	This allows consistent reflection of the gateway name as technology moves forward by making business rules generic instead of referencing specific systems
			<p>Note: The Loop Makeup Service Inquiry Form does not require the CLEC to furnish the type of Loop. The CLEC determines whether the loop makeup will support the type of service they wish to order <del>if it has</del> and qualifies the loop if it r/c. concludes that the loop makeup will support the service, and wants to order it. If a <del>higher-order</del> LSR is must be submitted by the CLEC, EDI is not applicable in-this-measure.</p>	Clarify wording Remove statement about EDI as EDI now has preordering capability
Calculations			<p><b>Response Interval</b> = (a - b)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Date and time the LMUSI returned to CLEC</li> <li>b = Date and time the LMUSI is received</li> </ul> <p><b>Average Interval</b> = (c / d)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">c = Sum-of-all-response-intervals</p> <p style="text-align: center;">d = Total number of LMUSIs received within the reporting period</p> <p><b>Percent within interval</b> = (e / f) X 100</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>e = Total LMUSIs received within the interval</li> <li>f = Total number of LMUSIs processed within the reporting period</li> </ul>	Only the % within interval calculations is used to monitor performance so the Average Interval calculation is unnecessary
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Performance is evaluated by state so a regional report is unnecessary</p> <p>Changed acronym for consistency throughout the measure</p> <p>Interval buckets are no longer reasonable given the current intervals. There is no need to continue to break down data to this level of detail, especially when the CLECs can separate data into any interval buckets they choose via the raw data</p> <p>Average interval is no longer calculated</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	<b>SQM Disaggregation - Analog/Benchmark</b>		
		<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b>		
		Loops		
BMRT UNE Bulk Migration - Response Time	Title	BMRT UNE Bulk Migration - Response Time	This is a new measure that was filed in the Florida TRO hearing to address a new process that may have considerable volume	
	Definition	This report measures the average interval and percent within the interval from the submission of a CLEC Bulk Migration Notification Form to the distribution of Bulk Notification Form, including negotiated due date back to the CLEC.	This is a new measure that was filed in the Florida TRO hearing to address a new process that may have considerable volume	
	Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Projects not identified as CLEC Bulk Migration</li> <li>• Weekends and Holidays</li> <li>• Canceled Requests</li> </ul>	Only bulk Migration orders are included in the measure by definition BellSouth should not be penalized for time that center is closed	No response is provided on canceled requests
	Business Rules	The CLEC Bulk Migration process includes the submission of a Bulk Migration Notification Form to BellSouth via email. The project manager negotiates due date, assigns Bulk Order Package Identification (BOP1) number, and validates assigned PO#s in the Bulk package. BellSouth then returns the Bulk Notification Form, including negotiated due date to the CLEC.  The "Receive Date" is defined as the date the Bulk Migration Notification Form is received by the BellSouth Project Manager via email. It is counted as day zero. Bulk Migration Due Date is defined as the date BellSouth returns a response. The interval calculation is set to zero when a CLECC initiated change occurs on the Bulk Migration Notification Form.	This is a new measure that was filed in the Florida TRO hearing to address a new process that may have considerable volume	
				This measurement combines three sub-metrics: 1. From receipt of a valid Bulk Migration Notification Form (up to 99 individual items), one number, to the return of the Bulk Notification Form including negotiated due date, back to the CLEC 2. From receipt of a valid Bulk Migration Notification Form (100 up to 240 individual telephone numbers) to the return of the Bulk Migration Notification Form, including negotiated due date, back to the CLEC 3. From receipt of a valid Bulk Migration Notification Form (291 or more individual telephone numbers) to the return of the Bulk Migration Form including negotiated due date, back to the CLEC

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Calculation</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>															
				<p><u>Response Interval</u> (a - b)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Date BellSouth returns a response</li> <li>b = Date the Bulk Migration Notification Form is received</li> </ul> <p><u>Average Interval</u> = (c / d)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c = Sum of all response intervals</li> <li>d = Total number of Bulk Migration Notification Forms received within the reporting period</li> </ul> <p><u>Percent within interval</u> (<math>\geq t</math>) X 100</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>\geq</math> = Total Number of Bulk Migration Notification Forms received within the reporting period</li> <li><math>\geq t</math> = Each Number of Bulk Migration Notification Forms processed within the reporting period</li> </ul>	This is a new measure that was filed in the Florida TRC hearing to address a new process that may have considerable volume															
	Report Structure		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>State</li> </ul> <p>Intervals for manual Bulk Migration Notification Forms</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>0 - &lt;= 99 individual telephone numbers</td> <td>Notification Forms</td> </tr> <tr> <td>- 0 - &lt;= 4 Business days</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>- &gt; 4 Business days</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>100 - &lt; 200 individual telephone numbers</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>- 0 - &lt; 6 Business days</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>- &gt; 6 Business days</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;= 201 individual telephone numbers</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>- Average Interval in days</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	0 - <= 99 individual telephone numbers	Notification Forms	- 0 - <= 4 Business days		- > 4 Business days		100 - < 200 individual telephone numbers		- 0 - < 6 Business days		- > 6 Business days		>= 201 individual telephone numbers		- Average Interval in days		This is a new measure that was filed in the Florida TRC hearing to address a new process that may have considerable volume. The structure is consistent with the way that the service is offered
0 - <= 99 individual telephone numbers	Notification Forms																			
- 0 - <= 4 Business days																				
- > 4 Business days																				
100 - < 200 individual telephone numbers																				
- 0 - < 6 Business days																				
- > 6 Business days																				
>= 201 individual telephone numbers																				
- Average Interval in days																				
SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	SEEM Measure	SQM Level of Disaggregation	SEEM Tier I Tier II No	<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Benchmarks</td> <td>95% &lt;= 4 Business Days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Benchmark</td> <td>95% &lt;= 6 Business Days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Benchmark</td> <td>Diagnostic</td> </tr> </table>	Benchmarks	95% <= 4 Business Days	Benchmark	95% <= 6 Business Days	Benchmark	Diagnostic	Benchmarks were established to equal the intervals stated in the product offering $\geq 201$ individual telephone numbers does not have a standard interval									
Benchmarks	95% <= 4 Business Days																			
Benchmark	95% <= 6 Business Days																			
Benchmark	Diagnostic																			
					This process has little if any end user customer impact. It is simply a process that allows CLECs to organize large volume migrations from UNE-F to UNE-L															

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
Ordering O+		Delete Acknowledgement Message Timeliness		Removed this measure to streamline the measurement plan. This measure is of minimal use to evaluate performance. An acknowledgement is simply an electronic signal that tells a CLEC's computer that a transaction was successfully received. The relevant issue is whether the acknowledgement was sent, which is measured by AKC (O-2). If sent, measuring a few seconds of duration is irrelevant.
AKC Acknowledgment Message Completeness	Title	(O-2) AKC Acknowledgement Message Completeness		SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
Definition		This measure <del>provides the percent of Messages transmitted/LSRs received via EDI-&amp;TAG ordering interface gateways, which are acknowledged electronically</del>		Wording clarification Received requests are not referred to as Messages Change to allow consistent reflection of the gateway name as technology moves forward by using generic instead of referencing specific systems
Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Manually Submitted LSRs</li> <li>• Test Transactions/Records</li> </ul>		Performance on test transactions does not affect CLECs
Business Rules		EDI-&TAG Ordering interface gateways send Functional Acknowledgements for all transmission/ <del>L</del> SRs, which are electronically submitted by a CLEC. For these CLECs using LSRs of EDI <sub>5</sub> , many LSRs from multiple states in one transaction. If more than one CLEC uses the same ordering center, an Acknowledgement Message will be returned to the "Aggregator", however, BellSouth will not be able to determine which specific CLEC this message represented. <del>The Acknowledgement Message is returned prior to the determination of whether the LSR will be partially transmitted or fully transmitted.</del>		Wording clarification Received requests are not referred to as Messages Change to allow consistent reflection of the gateway name as technology moves forward by using generic instead of referencing specific systems Removed irrelevant note
Calculation		Acknowledgement Completeness = (a / b) X 100 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Total number of Functional Acknowledgements returned in the reporting period for <del>Messages</del> transmissions/LSRs electronically submitted by EDI-&amp;TAG ordering interface gateways respectively</li> <li>• b = Total number of electronically submitted <del>Messages</del> transmission/LSRs received in the reporting period by EDI-&amp;TAG ordering interface gateways respectively</li> </ul>		Wording clarification Received requests are not referred to as Messages Change to allow consistent reflection of the gateway name as technology moves forward by using generic instead of referencing specific systems

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Removed irrelevant note
		Note: A acknowledged message is generated before the system recognizes whether this message (LSR) will be partially or fully mechanized.		
SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>EDL Acknowledgments</del></li> <li><del>TAG</del></li> </ul>	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> Benchmark <del>99.95%</del> Benchmark <del>99.9%</del>	There is no need to separate interface types in Disaggregation 99.9% benchmark is not a reasonable expectation nor is it necessary as a minimum service level to ensure non-discrimination See SEEM matrix for rationale
SEEM Measure	SEEM	<b>Tier I</b>	<b>Tier II</b>	
PFT Percent Flow-Through Service Requests	Title	(Q3) PFT Percent Flow-Through Service Requests ( <del>Submitted</del> )		Removed the word Summary in order to combine this measure with O-4
	Definition	The percentage of Local Service Requests (LSRs) and Local Interconnection Service Requests (LISRs) submitted electronically via the CLEC mechanized ordering process that flow through and reach a status for a FOC to be issued, without manual intervention		Wording clarification
	Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fatal Rejects</li> <li>Auto Clarification</li> <li>Plants' Manual Fallout <del>for present flow-through only</del></li> <li>CLEC System Fallout</li> <li>Scheduled OSS Maintenance</li> <li>Test Translators' Records</li> <li>LSR that received a Z Status</li> </ul>		To agree with Field name on output report This is not an interval measure that needs to exclude scheduled downtime Only account for those records that are CLEC impacting Z status is assigned to original requests that are supplied before receiving a response so they do not have the opportunity to Flow Through

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
Business Rules			<p>The CLEC mechanized ordering process includes all LSRs, including supplements (subsequent versions) which are submitted through one of the three gateway interfaces—<del>the LEC and LENS</del>, or <del>the interface gateway</del>, <del>the LEC and LENS</del>, that flow through and reach a status for a FOC to be issued, without manual intervention. These LSRs can be divided into two classes of service: Business and Resale, and two types of service: Resale and Unbundled Network Elements (UNE). The CLEC mechanized ordering process does not include LSRs which are submitted manually (for example fax and courier) or are not designed to flow through (for example Planned Manual Fallout).</p> <p><b>Definitions:</b></p> <p><b>Fatal Rejects.</b> Errors that prevent an LSR, submitted electronically by the CLEC, from being processed <del>initially</del>. When an LSR is submitted by a CLEC, <del>source systems</del> <del>LBO-LNP-Gateway</del> will perform <del>basic</del> edit checks to ensure the data received is correctly formatted and complete. For example, if the PON field contains an invalid character, <del>source</del> <del>systems</del> <del>LBO-LNP-Gateway</del> will reject the LSR and the CLEC will receive a Fatal Reject.</p> <p><b>Auto-Clarification Clarifications</b> that are mechanically returned to the CLEC due to invalid data <del>in the LSR</del>, within the LSR Edit, contained within the source system <del>LBOG4-LNP</del> will perform data validity checks to ensure the data within the LSR is complete <del>and accurate</del>. For example, if the address on the LSR is not valid according to RSAG, or if the LNP is not available for the NPA/NXX requested, the CLEC will receive an Auto-Clarification.</p> <p><b>Planned Manual Fallout*</b> Planned Fallout that occurs by design. Certain LSRs are designed to failout of the Mechanized Order Process due to their complexity. These LSRs are manually processed by the LCSC. When a CLEC submits an LSR, the <del>source system</del> <del>LBOG4-LNP</del> will determine if the LSR should be forwarded to LCSC for manual handling. Following are the categories for Manual Fallout:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1—Complex*</li> <li>2—Special pricing plans</li> <li>3—Some Partial migrations (All LNP Partial Migrations)</li> <li>4—New telephone number not yet ported to BCRIS</li> <li>5—Pending order review required</li> <li>6—CSR mechanisms such as failed or missing CSR data in CRIS</li> <li>7—Expedites (requested by the CLEC)</li> <li>8—Demands restore and conversion or disconnection and conversion orders</li> <li>9—Class of service invalid in certain states with some types of service</li> <li>10—Low volume such as activity type “1” (move)</li> <li>11—More than 25 business lines, or more than 15 loops</li> <li>12—Transfer of calls option for the CLEC end users</li> <li>13—Emergency Listeners (Identifies and Captures)</li> <li>14—LNP Only—Supplemental LSRs except those of O-2 (Date Changes) on Req-Type CB</li> </ul>	<p>Wording changes to allow consistent reflection of the gateway name as technology moves forward by using generic instead of referencing specific systems and other clarifications</p> <p>Wording Change to include interface for xDSL ordering</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
			<p>*See LSR Flow-Through Matrix in Appendix E on BellSouth's PMAP website (<a href="http://pmap.bellsouth.com">http://pmap.bellsouth.com</a>) in the Documentation/Archits folder for a list of services, including complex services, and whether LSRs issued for the services are eligible to flow through. The matrix is updated annually when new services are added or the systems are approved to flow through. The current version of the Flow-Through Matrix is on the PMAP website (<a href="http://pmap.bellsouth.com">http://pmap.bellsouth.com</a>) in the Documentation/Archits folder. Any change in the flow-through order category from flow-through to non-flow-through shall require prior CLEC approval.</p> <p><b>Total System Fallout</b> Errors that require manual review by the LCSC to determine if the error is caused by the CLEC, or is due to BellSouth system functionality. If it is determined the error is caused by the CLEC, the LSR will be sent back to the CLEC for clarification. If it is determined the error is due to BellSouth system functionality, the LCSC representative will correct the error, and the LSR will continue to be processed.</p> <p><b>Z Status.</b> LSRs that receive a supplemental LSR submission prior to final disposition of the original LSR</p>	<p>Remove Flow Through Matrix from SQM and provide PMAP website address where it can be found. This facilitates keeping the matrix up to date. Currently the matrix is only updated by filing revised SQM pages which is impractical</p>
Calculation			<p><b>Percent Flow Through</b> = <math>a / [b - (c + d + e + f)] \times 100</math></p> <p>a = The total number of LSRs that flow through LSRG4AUTO the source systems and reach a status for a FOC to be issued</p> <p>b = The number of LSRs that passed the has_cavate menu, and are accepted for further service order processing from LEO4NP Gateway to LSRG4AUTO</p> <p>c = The number of LSRs that failout for planned manual processing</p> <p>d = The number of LSRs that are returned to the CLEC for auto classification</p> <p>e = The number of LSRs that are returned to the CLEC from the LCSC due to CLEC classification failure error</p> <p>f = The number of LSRs that receive a Z status</p> <p><b>Percent Achieved Flow Through</b> = <math>a / [b - (c + d + e + f)] \times 100</math></p> <p>a = The number of LSRs that flow through LSRG4AUTO and reach a status for a FOC to be issued</p> <p>b = The number of LSRs passed from LEO4NP Gateway to LSRG4AUTO</p> <p>c = The number of LSRs that are returned to the CLEC for auto classification</p> <p>d = The number of LSRs that are returned to the LCSC from the CLEC classification failure</p> <p>e = The number of LSRs that receive Z status</p>	<p>Wording changes to allow consistent reflection of the gateway name as technology moves forward by using generic instead of referencing specific systems</p> <p>Wording changes for clarification</p>
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Specific</li> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Combined O-3 and O-4 by adding CLEC Specific to report structure</p> <p>Include omitted heading</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Residential</li> <li>Business</li> <li>UNE-Loops</li> <li>UNE-P</li> <li>Resale</li> <li>LNP</li> <li>VoIPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Benchmark: 95%</li> <li>Benchmark: 94%</li> <li>Benchmark: 85%</li> <li>Benchmark: 90%</li> <li>Benchmark: 90.5%</li> <li>Benchmark: 85%</li> </ul>	Residence Benchmark is currently 95% and Business is currently 90%. BellSouth proposed to combine into one disaggregation and utilize the 90% benchmark for Resale. This level of Flow through is sufficient to allow CLECs to compete as experience has shown UNE-P disaggregation has been folded into UNE. No reason to treat UNE-P different from other UNEs.
Q4			<p>The Flow-Through Error Analysis will be posted with the Flow-Through report. The Flow-Through report provides an analysis of each LSR type (by error code), the LSRs that did not flow through, or attached a status for a FOC to be issued</p> <p>The CLEC LSR Information (aka LSR Detail Report) is available by subscription. A CLEC wishing to receive a copy of their report should submit a feedback form (see link located in the "Resources" section on left side of PMAP website). Enter the name of the report in the Comments section.</p> <p>Delete Percent Flow-through Service Requests (Detail)</p>	<p>This data is now provided as part of the new measure PFT</p>
Q6			<p>Delete Flow Through Error Analysis</p> <p>Delete CLEC LSR Information</p>	<p>This is not a measurement. BellSouth will continue to post this information as part of the Flow-Through (PFT) report (see new note above in PFT).</p> <p>This should be deleted from the SQM because it is not a measure, it is provided as information.</p> <p>BellSouth will continue to make the data available to CLECs who elect to subscribe to it. CLECs can request it via the PMAP web site (see new note above in PFT).</p>
Q7			Delete Percent Rejected Service Requests	<p>This measure only provides a view of the percentage of CLEC requests that were rejected and can be ascertained by reviewing data from Reject Interval (RI). This measure does not provide any information about performance</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	RI Reject Interval	Title	(Q-8) RI Reject Interval	SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
	Definition		<p><del>Reject the interval is the average reject for the return of a CLEC's inc response time from the receipt of a service request (Local Service Requests (LSRs) or Access Service Requests (ASRs)) to the distribution of a reject. Service requests are considered valid when they are submitted by the CLEC and pass edit checks to ensure the data received is correctly formatted and complete. When there are multiple rejects on a single version of an LSR, the first reject issued is used for the calculation of the interval duration.</del></p>	<p>Wording clarification It is more appropriate to address Multiple rejects on a single version of an LSR in the Business Rules so the statement has been moved to that section</p>
	Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Service request canceled by CLEC prior to being rejected/clarified           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Fatal Rejects</li> <li>▪ <del>Desegregated Holidays are excluded from the interval calculation for partially mechanized and non-mechanized LSRs/ASRs only</del></li> <li>• LSRs which are identified and classified as "Projects" with the exception of valid Project IDs" for UNE-P to UNE-L cap Bulk Migration</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><del>Non-business hours for Partially Mechanized and Non-Mechanized LSRs are excluded from the interval calculation. The excluded time is the time outside of normal operations which can be found at the following website <a href="http://www.floridacommunicationscommissioner.state.fl.us/">http://www.floridacommunicationscommissioner.state.fl.us/</a></del></p> <p><del>Least Interconnection Service Center (LISC) – Monday through Friday 4:30 PM until 8:00 AM From 4:30 PM Friday until 8:00 AM Monday</del></p> <p><del>The hours excluded will be altered to reflect changes in the CLEC's operating hours. The LISC will accept faxed LSRs only during posted hours of operation.</del></p> <p><del>The interval will be the amount of time between receipt of the LSR until internal closing of the center if a LSR is worked using overtime hours.</del></p> <p><del>In the case of a Partially Mechanized LSR received and worked after normal business hours, the interval will be set at one (1) minute.</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scheduled OSS Maintenance           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Test Transaction/Records</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>To identify that LSRs associated with TRO Bulk Migrations of UNE-P to UNE-L will not be excluded from the measure</p> <p>Delete Center specific hours Specific center hours, such as the LISC, should not be in the SQM because operational hours change dynamically based on the demands of the business. Additionally, CLECs are notified well in advance of any hours of operation for the centers through the Carrier Notification process. Clearer generic language is included in the Business Rules section</p> <p>BellSouth should not be penalized for scheduled OSS maintenance. Test transactions don't affect CLECs and should not be included</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Business Rules	<p>The Reject-interval is determined for each rejected LSR processed during the reporting period. The Reject-interval is the elapsed time from when BellSouth receives LSR (date and time stamps in EDI or TAG) until that LSR is rejected back to the CLEC. Elapsed time for each LSR (date and time stamps in EDI or TAG) is accumulated for each reporting dimension. The accumulated time for each reporting dimension is then divided by the associated total number of rejected LSRs to produce the reject-interval distribution.</p> <p>Service Requests are considered valid C.W. ton submitted by the CLEC and pass edit checks to ensure the data received is correctly formatted and complete. When there are multiple rejects on a single LSR, the first series issued is used for the calculation of the interval duration.</p> <p><b>Fully Mechanized</b> The elapsed time from receipt of a valid electronically submitted LSR (date and time stamp in EDI translator, or TAG ordering interface gateway) until the LSR is rejected (date and time stamp of reject in EDI translator, or TAG ordering interface gateway). Auto Classifications are considered in the Fully Mechanized category</p> <p><b>Partially Mechanized</b> The elapsed time from receipt of a valid electronically submitted LSR (date and time stamp in EDI translator, or TAG ordering interface gateway) which falls out for manual handling. The step-time-on partially mechanized LSRs is when until the LCSC Service Representative clarifies the LSR back to the CLEC via EDI translator, or TAG ordering interface gateway.</p> <p><b>Non-Mechanized</b> The elapsed time from receipt of a valid LSR (submitted via electronic ordering system) (date and time stamp of FAX or date and time made paper LSRs), are received in the LCSC) until notice of the reject (clarification) is returned to the CLEC via LON FAX Server</p> <p><b>Local Interconnection Trunks</b> Interconnection Trunks are ordered on Access Service Requests (ASRs). ASRs are submitted to and processed by the Local Interconnection Service Center (LISC) Carrier Interconnection Switching Center (CISC). Trunks data is reported as a separate category.</p> <p>Only internal business hours will be included in the internal calculation for this measure. The internal web site amount of time accrued from receipt of the LSR/ASR until normal closing of the center, if an LSR/ASR is worked using overtime hours. In the case of a partially mechanized LSR/ASR received and worked on-site not in business hours, the interval will be set at one (1) minute. The hours of operation can be found on the Interconnection website (<a href="http://www.flircm.com">http://www.flircm.com</a>) for both consumers.</p> <p><b>Bulk Migrations:</b> Requests for Bulk Migrations will come into BellSouth via a Global Request. The Global Request will be broken down into individual LSRs. These individual LSRs will be used for the measurements and will be reported within the correct product disaggregation for each measure. For the initial calculations, the original versions of the individual LSRs will be assigned the start timestamp from the receipt of the original Global Request.</p>	<p>Moved from Definition section</p> <p>Wording changes to allow consistent reflection of the gateway name as technology moves forward by using generic instead of referencing specific systems</p> <p>Clarification of business rules for non-mechanized LSRs</p> <p>Updated name of center processing ASRs for Local Interconnection Trunks</p> <p>Provided web address for hours of operations which are clearly defined on the Interconnection web site</p> <p>Provides Business Rules and definitions for the components of the new Bulk Migration process</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change																					
		Calculation	<p><b>Reject Interval = (a - b)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Date and time of service request rejection</li> <li>b = Date and time of service request receipt</li> </ul> <p><b>Average Reject Interval = (c/d)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">← e = Sum of all reject intervals ← d = Number of service requests rejected in reporting period</p> <p><b>Reject Interval Distribution Percent within Interval = (e/f) i.e., d) X 100</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>e = Service requests rejected in reported interval</li> <li>f = Total number of service requests rejected in reporting period</li> </ul>	<p>Removal of inconsequential data Average Reject Interval is not used to monitor performance and is simply another way to state performance</p> <p>Changes made to the calculations for Reject Interval provide only the calculation that is monitored</p>																					
		Report Structure	<p>One report will follow the Disaggregation Levels and their associated interval buckets</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fully Mechanized           <table> <tr><td>0 -&lt;= 4 minutes</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;4 -&lt;= 8 minutes</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;8 -&lt;= 12 minutes</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;12 -&lt;= 60 minutes</td></tr> <tr><td>0 - &lt; 1 hour</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;1 -&lt;= 4 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;4 -&lt;= 8 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;8 -&lt;= 12 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;12 -&lt;= 16 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;16 -&lt;= 20 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;20 -&lt;= 24 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;24 hours</td></tr> </table> </li> <li>Partially Mechanized           <table> <tr><td>0 -&lt;= 1 hour</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;1 -&lt;= 4 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;4 -&lt;= 8 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;8 -&lt;= 10 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>0 - &lt; 10 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;10 -&lt;= 18 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>0 -&lt;= 18 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;18 -&lt;= 24 hours</td></tr> <tr><td>&gt;24 hours</td></tr> </table> </li> </ul>	0 -<= 4 minutes	>4 -<= 8 minutes	>8 -<= 12 minutes	>12 -<= 60 minutes	0 - < 1 hour	>1 -<= 4 hours	>4 -<= 8 hours	>8 -<= 12 hours	>12 -<= 16 hours	>16 -<= 20 hours	>20 -<= 24 hours	>24 hours	0 -<= 1 hour	>1 -<= 4 hours	>4 -<= 8 hours	>8 -<= 10 hours	0 - < 10 hours	>10 -<= 18 hours	0 -<= 18 hours	>18 -<= 24 hours	>24 hours	<p>Single interval buckets for Fully Mechanized, Partially Mechanized and Non-Mechanized based on the Benchmark</p> <p>Interval buckets are no longer reasonable given the current intervals. There is no need to continue to break down data to this level of detail, especially when the CLECs can separate data into any interval buckets they choose via the raw data</p>
0 -<= 4 minutes																									
>4 -<= 8 minutes																									
>8 -<= 12 minutes																									
>12 -<= 60 minutes																									
0 - < 1 hour																									
>1 -<= 4 hours																									
>4 -<= 8 hours																									
>8 -<= 12 hours																									
>12 -<= 16 hours																									
>16 -<= 20 hours																									
>20 -<= 24 hours																									
>24 hours																									
0 -<= 1 hour																									
>1 -<= 4 hours																									
>4 -<= 8 hours																									
>8 -<= 10 hours																									
0 - < 10 hours																									
>10 -<= 18 hours																									
0 -<= 18 hours																									
>18 -<= 24 hours																									
>24 hours																									

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Non-Mechanized           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 &lt;= 1 hour</li> <li>&gt;1 &lt;= 4 hours</li> <li>&gt;4 &lt;= 8 hours</li> <li>&gt;8 &lt;= 12 hours</li> <li>&gt;12 &lt;= 16 hours</li> <li>&gt;16 &lt;= 20 hours</li> <li>&gt;20 &lt;= 24 hours</li> <li>0 &lt;= 24 hours</li> <li>&gt;24 hours</li> <li>1 &lt;= 18 hours</li> <li>Local Interconnection Trunks           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 &lt; 4 days</li> <li>0 &lt;= 16 hours</li> <li>&gt;36 hours</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Average Interval is no longer reported</p>	<p>Single interval buckets for Fully Mechanized, Partially Mechanized and Non-Mechanized Local Interconnection Trunks based on the benchmark</p>
SQM			<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <p>Fully Mechanized: 97% &lt;= 1 Hour    Partially Mechanized: 95.91% &lt;= 10 Hours    Non-Mechanized: 95.85% &lt;= 24.18 Hours</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resale—Residence Fully Mechanized</li> <li>Resale—Business Partially Mechanized</li> <li>Resale—Design (Special) Non-Mechanized</li> <li>Resale-PBX</li> <li>Resale-Centrex</li> <li>Resale-ISDN</li> <li>LNP (Standard)</li> <li>2W-Analog Loop Design</li> <li>2W-Analog Loop Non-Design</li> <li>2W-Analog Loop with TNP Non-Design</li> <li>2W-Analog Loop with LNP Non-Design</li> <li>2W-Analog Loop with LNP Non-Design</li> </ul>	<p>The changes to the Benchmarks were made for two reasons. First, BellSouth is attempting to create a Regional SQM Plan to assimilate different benchmarks across the various state SQMs and create a 'regional' benchmark since the center processing the LSRs is a regional center. For example, for Partial Mechanized LSRs, BellSouth currently has benchmarks of 83% in 10 hours, 95% in 12 hours, 90% in 7 hours and 95% in 10 hours across the BellSouth region. In an effort to obtain a 'regional' benchmark, 90% in 10 hours was proposed. For Non-Mechanized LSRs, BellSouth currently has a range of 83% in 24 hours to 95% in 24 hours. BellSouth is proposing 85% in 18 hours. For LIT, the majority of the states</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• UNE-Digital-Loop-&lt;DSL</li> <li>• UNE-Digital-Loop-&gt;DSL</li> <li>• LINE-Loop-&gt;Port-Combination</li> <li>• LINE-Combination-Other</li> <li>• LINE-ISDN-Loop</li> <li>• LINE-Other-Design</li> <li>• LINE-Other-Non-Design</li> <li>• LINE-Line-Splitting</li> <li>• EELs</li> <li>• Switch-Ports</li> <li>• UNE-&gt;DSL-(ADSL, HDSL, UCE)</li> <li>• Line-Sharing</li> <li>• Local-Interconnection-Trunks</li> </ul> <p><del>FirmTrunk- 95 ≤≤% &lt;= 36 Hours 4 Days</del></p>	<p>have 82% in 4 days, and that is BellSouth's proposal here as well.</p> <p>Second, as the volume of fully mechanized LSRs increases, the volume for partially and non-mechanized LSRs will continue to decrease. Thus, the records in these two categories will be more complex in nature which will take longer to process. BellSouth's analysis shows that for May 2004, of the over 250K LSRs received for Florida, 82% of the LSRs were fully mechanized, and that partially mechanized and non-mechanized accounted for the remaining 14% and 4% of the LSRs respectively. As this trend continues, the benchmarks should be modified to be consistent with the fact that the partially mechanized and non-mechanized LSRs will become increasingly more complicated.</p> <p>BellSouth proposes no change to the benchmark, however, this measure is not particularly sensitive to product type so product disaggregation actually reveals little, if any information, about performance quality. Additionally, BellSouth proposes to eliminate reporting of multiple products under mechanization level because many of the products have little or no monthly volume. The benchmarks for this measure are set based on the level of mechanization, not by individual products. Raw data will provide drill down to the product level.</p> <p>SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics</p>
FOCT Firm Order	Title	(Q4) FOCL Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness		

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
Confirmation Timeliness	Definition	The Interval for return of a Firm Order Confirmation (FOC Interval) is the average response time from the receipt of a Valid Access Service Request (LSR) or ASR to distribution of a FOC. <del>The interval will include all electronic facilities except</del>	<p>This is an Ordering measure and the interval should stop once the order is issued error free and the FOC is sent. The requirement to check facility availability is a business practice that should be addressed in Interconnection Agreements, not in the SQM.</p> <p>Modified Project exclusion so that valid project IDs for LSRs that are identified as Bulk Migrations, although considered a project, will not be excluded from the measurement. Bulk Migrations are unique in that they have standard intervals even though they are projects and are distinguishable from other projects. Consequently, these projects orders are included in the results</p>	<p>This is an Ordering measure and the interval should stop once the order is issued error free and the FOC is sent. The requirement to check facility availability is a business practice that should be addressed in Interconnection Agreements, not in the SQM.</p> <p>Modified Project exclusion so that valid project IDs for LSRs that are identified as Bulk Migrations, although considered a project, will not be excluded from the measurement. Bulk Migrations are unique in that they have standard intervals even though they are projects and are distinguishable from other projects. Consequently, these projects orders are included in the results</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Business Rules	<p>When multiple TOCs occur on a single LSR/ASR, the first FOC is used to measure the interval.</p> <p><b>Fully Mechanized</b> The elapsed time from receipt of a valid electronically submitted LSR (date and time stamp in EDI or FAX, during TAC, gateways) until the LSR is processed, appropriate service orders are generated and a Firm Order Confirmation is returned to the CLEC via EDI-translator or TAG-0, defining interface gateways</p> <p><b>Partially Mechanized</b> The elapsed time from receipt of a valid electronically submitted LSR (date and time stamp in EDI or FAX, during TAC, gateways) which falls out for manual handling until appropriate service orders are issued by a BellSouth service representative via Direct Order Entry (DOE) or Service Order Negotiation Generation System (SONGS) to SOCS and a Firm Order Confirmation is returned to the CLEC via EDI-translator or TAG-0, defining interface gateways</p> <p><b>Non-Mechanized</b> The elapsed time from receipt of a valid paper LSR no. submitted via electronic systems (date and time stamp of FAX or date and time paper LSRs received in LCSC) until appropriate service orders are issued by a BellSouth service representative via Direct Order Entry (DOE) or Service Order Negotiation Generation System (SONGS) to SOCS and a Firm Order Confirmation is sent to the CLEC via LCN FAX Server</p>	<p>Language moved from later in section</p> <p>Wording changes to allow consistent reflection of the gateway name as technology moves forward by using generic instead of referencing specific systems</p>
			<p><b>Local Interconnection Trunks</b> Interconnection Trunks are ordered on Access Service Requests (ASRs). ASRs are submitted to and processed by the Local Interconnection Service Center (LISC) Callrite Connection Switching Center (CSC). The elapsed time is measured from receipt of a valid ASR date and time stamp of a FAX or paper ASR received in the LISC until the appropriate orders are issued by a BellSouth representative and a FOC issued in EXACT Trunk data is reported in a separate category</p> <p>Note: When multiple FOCs occur on a single version of an LSR, the first FOC is used to measure the interval. Only normal business hours will be included in the interval calculation for this measure. The interval will be the amount of time accrued from receipt of the LSR/ASR until actual closing of the center if an LSR/ASR is worked using overtime hours. In the case of a partially mechanized LSR/ASR received and worked outside normal business hours the time will be set at 00:00:00 minute. The hours of operation can be found on the interconnection website (<a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/centers">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/centers</a>)</p>	<p>Updated name of center processing ASRs for Local Interconnection Trunks</p> <p>Removed redundant language since ASR is included in measure definition.</p> <p>Moved note to beginning of Business Rules</p> <p>Clearer language to continue existing non-business hours exclusion. Specific hours have been removed from the SQM because they change as business requirements change</p> <p>Provided web address for hours of operations which are clearly defined on the interconnection web site. Retail and Wholesale hours will remain equal</p>
			<p><b>Bulk Migrations</b> Requests for Bulk Migrations will come into BellSouth via a Global Request. The Global Request will be broken down into individual LSRs. These individual LSRs will be used for the measurements and will be reported within the correct product disaggregation for each measure. For the interval calculations, the original versions of the individual LSRs will be assigned the 'start time stamp' from the receipt of the original Global Request</p>	Provides Business Rules and definitions for the components of the new Bulk Migration process

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Calculation	<p><b>Firm Order Confirmation Interval</b> = (a - b)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Date and time of Firm Order Confirmation</li> <li>• b = Date and time of service request receipt</li> </ul> <p><b>Average FOC Interval</b> = (e / d)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• e = Sum of all Firm Order Confirmation Times</li> <li>• d = Number of service requests confirmed in reporting period</li> </ul> <p><b>FOC Interval Distribution Percent within Interval</b> = (e / f) (c - a) X 100</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• e = Service requests confirmed in designated reported interval</li> <li>• f = Total service requests confirmed in the reporting period</li> </ul>	<p>Removal of inconsequential data Average FOC Interval is not used to monitor performance and is simply another way to state performance</p> <p>Changes made to the calculations for FOC Interval provide only the calculation that is monitored and supports the benchmark</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One script with logic following four Disaggregation Levels and their associated interval buckets           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fully Mechanized               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 - &lt;= 1.5 hours</li> <li>&gt;1.5 - &lt;= 30 minutes</li> <li>&gt;30 - &lt;= 45 minutes</li> <li>&gt;45 - &lt;= 60 minutes</li> <li>&gt;60 - &lt;= 90 minutes</li> <li>&gt;90 - &lt;= 120 minutes</li> <li>&gt;120 - &lt;= 180 minutes</li> <li>0 - &lt;= 3 hours</li> <li>&gt;3 - &lt;= 6 hours</li> <li>&gt;6 - &lt;= 12 hours</li> <li>&gt;12 - &lt;= 24 hours</li> <li>&gt;24 - &lt;= 48 hours</li> <li>&gt;48 hours</li> </ul> </li> <li>Partially Mechanized               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 - &lt;= 4 hours</li> <li>&gt;4 - &lt;= 8 hours</li> <li>&gt;8 - &lt;= 10 hours</li> <li>0 - &lt;= 10 hours</li> <li>&gt;10 - &lt;= 18 hours</li> <li>0 - &lt;= 18 hours</li> <li>&gt;18 - &lt;= 24 hours</li> <li>&gt;24 - &lt;= 48 hours</li> <li>&gt;48 hours</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Clarification</p> <p>Single Interval buckets for Fully Mechanized, Partially Mechanized, Non-Mechanized and Local Interconnection Trunks based on the benchmark.</p> <p>This is the removal of unnecessary data as the number of interval buckets is excessive and not a measure of performance. There is no need to continue to break down data to this level of detail, especially when the CLECs can separate data into any interval buckets they choose via the raw data</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Non-mechanized           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>0 \leq 4</math> hours</li> <li><math>&gt;4 \leq 8</math> hours</li> <li><math>&gt;8 \leq 12</math> hours</li> <li><math>&gt;12 \leq 16</math> hours</li> <li><math>0 \leq 24</math> hours</li> <li><math>&gt;16 \leq 20</math> hours</li> <li><math>&gt;20 \leq 24</math> hours</li> <li><math>&gt;24 \leq 36</math> hours</li> <li><math>0 \leq 36</math> hours</li> <li><math>&gt;36 \leq 48</math> hours</li> <li><math>&gt;48</math> hours</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>Local Line Connection Trunks</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>0 \leq 48</math> hours</li> <li><math>&gt;48</math> hours</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>Average time until first report of non-business hours</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>0 \leq &lt; 10</math> days</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Average Interval is no longer reported</p> <p>Performance is evaluated by state so a regional report is unnecessary</p>
SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark			<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fully Mechanized <math>\leq 3</math> Hours</li> <li>Partially Mechanized <math>95\% \leq 10</math> Hours</li> <li>Non-Mechanized <math>95\% \leq 24</math> Hours</li> </ul>	<p>The changes to the Benchmarks were made for two reasons. First, BellSouth is attempting to create a Regional SQM Plan to assimilate different benchmarks across the various state SQMs and create a 'regional' benchmark since the ordering center is regional. For example, for Partial Mechanized LSRs, BellSouth currently has benchmarks of 85% in 10 hours, 95% in 12 hours, 90% in 7 hours and 95% in 10 hours across the BellSouth region. In an effort to obtain a 'regional' benchmark, 90% in 10 hours was proposed. For Non-Mechanized LSRs, BellSouth currently has a range of 85% in 36 hours to 95% in 24 hours.</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2W-Analog-Loop-with-LNP-Design</li> <li>• 2W-Analog-Loop-with-LNP-Non-Design</li> <li>• UNE-Digital-Loop-&gt;-DSL</li> <li>• UNE-Digital-Loop-&gt;-DSL+</li> <li>• UNE-Loop+Port-Combination</li> <li>• UNE-Centration-Other</li> <li>• UNE-ISDN-Loop</li> <li>• UNE-Other-Design</li> <li>• UNE-Other-Non-Design</li> <li>• UNE-Line-Splitting</li> <li>• EEs</li> <li>• Switch-Ports</li> <li>• UNE-&gt;DSL-(ADSL, HDSL, U-CLB)</li> <li>• Line-Sharing</li> <li>• Local-Interconnection-Trunks</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><del>Trunks- 95% &lt;= 48 10 Hours Days</del></p>	<p>BellSouth is proposing 90% in 24 hours For Local Interconnection Trunks (LIT), the majority of the states have 95% in 10 days, and that is BellSouth's proposal here as well</p> <p>Second, as the volume of fully mechanized LSRs increases, the volume for partially and non-mechanized LSRs will continue to decrease. Thus, the records in these two categories will be more complex in nature, which will take longer to process. BellSouth's analysis shows that for May 2004, of the over 250K LSRs received for Florida, 82% of the LSRs were fully mechanized, and that partially mechanized and non-mechanized accounted for the remaining 14% and 4% of the LSRs respectively. As this trend continues, the benchmarks should be modified to be consistent with the fact that the partially mechanized and non-mechanized LSRs will become increasingly more complicated.</p> <p>BellSouth proposes no change to the benchmark, however, this measure is not particularly sensitive to product type so product disaggregation actually reveals little, if any information, about performance quality. Additionally, BellSouth proposes to eliminate reporting of multiple products under mechanization level because many of the products have little or no monthly volume. The benchmarks for this measure are set based on the level of mechanization, not by individual products. Raw data will provide drill down to the product level</p>
SEEM Measure	SEEM Yes No	Tier I	Tier II	See SEEM Matrix for rationale

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
Q-10			Delete Service Inquiry with LSR firm Order Confirmation (FOC) Response Time Manual	This measure adds the service inquiry interval to the FOC interval for an extremely small number of orders - approximately 300 in the region in a 5 month period, and the FOC interval is also captured again in the FOC Timeliness (FOCT) measure
FOCRC Firm Order Confirmation and Reject Response Completeness	Title	(Q-11) FICRC Firm Order Confirmation and Reject Response Completeness		SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
Order Confirmation and Reject Response Completeness	Definition	<del>A response is expected from BellSouth for every LSR's service requests (LSRs) received during the reporting period that are responded to within five days of receipt or firm order confirmation (excluding firm-order confirmation and reject responses).</del>		Wording clarification
Exclusions		<del>Corresponding number of Local Service Requests Responses received to the combination of firm-order confirmation and reject responses.</del>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Service requests canceled by the CLEC prior to FOC or Rejected <del>before being sent</del></li> <li>• Fail Rejects</li> <li>• LSRs identified as "Projects" with the exception of valid Project IDs for UNI, PDI, NEI and Bulk Migrations</li> <li>• Test Transactions' Records</li> </ul>	<p>Bulk Migrations are unique in that they have standard intervals even though they are projects and are distinguishable from other projects. Consequently, these projects orders are included in the results.</p> <p>Performance on test transactions does not affect CLECs</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Business Rules		<p><u>Fully Mechanized:</u> The number of FOCs or Auto-Clearficates <u>Rejects</u>, sent to the CLEC from EDI-or-TAG <u>underas</u> interface gateways in response to electronically submitted LSRs <u>(date and time stamp in ordering interface gateways)</u></p> <p><u>Partially Mechanized:</u> The number of FOCs or Rejects sent to the CLEC from EDI-or-TAG <u>gating</u> <u>interface</u> <u>gateways</u> in response to electronically submitted LSRs <u>-date and time stamp in ordering interface gateways</u>, which fall-out for manual handling by the LCSC personnel</p> <p><u>Non-Mechanized:</u> The number of FOCs or Rejects sent to the CLECs <u>by</u> <u>via</u> <u>FAX server</u> <u>in response</u> <u>to manually submit</u> <u>LSR/ASRs</u> <u>(date and time stamp in FAX Server)</u></p>	Wording changes to allow consistent reflection of the gateway name as technology moves forward by using generic instead of referencing specific systems
			<p><u>Local Interconnection Trunks:</u> Interconnection Trunks are ordered on Access Service Requests (ASRs) ASRs are submitted to and processed by the Local Interconnection Service Center (LISC) Carrier Interconnection Switching Center (CISC). <u>Trunk data is reported as a separate category.</u></p> <p><u>Bulk Migrations:</u> Requests for Bulk Migrations will come into BellSouth via Global Requests. The Global Requests will be broken down into individual LSRs. These individual LSRs will be used for the measurements and will be reported within the correct product disaggregation for each measure</p> <p><u>For CLEC Requests:</u> <del>Percent responses is determined by comparing the number of Firm Order Commitments and Rejected transmitted by BellSouth and dividing by the number of Local Service Requests (all versions) received in the reporting period.</del></p>	<p>Updated name of center processing ASRs for Local Interconnection Trunks</p> <p>Removed redundant language since ASR is included in measure definition</p> <p>Provides Business Rules and definitions for the components of the new Bulk Migration process</p>
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One report with the following four Disaggregation Levels:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fully Mechanized;</li> <li>Partially Mechanized;</li> <li>Non-Mechanized and Local Interconnection Trunks</li> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>Geographic Scope               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State and Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Clarification</p> <p>Results are monitored by state, so a regional report is unnecessary</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	SQM Level of Disaggregation	<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resale-Residence Fully Mechanized</li> <li>Resale-Business Partially Mechanized</li> <li>Resale-Design (Speech) Non-Mechanized</li> <li>Resale-PBX</li> <li>Resale-Carrier</li> <li>Resale-ISDN</li> <li>LNP (Standalone)</li> <li>LNP (Combination)</li> <li>2W-Analog-Loop-Design</li> <li>2W-Analog-Loop+Non-Design</li> <li>2W-Analog-Loop with LNP Design</li> <li>2W-Analog-Loop with LNP+Non-Design</li> <li>2W-Analog-Loop with LNP+Non-Design</li> <li>LINE-Digital-Loop -&gt; DSL</li> <li>LINE-Digital-Loop -&gt; POTS</li> <li>LINE-Loop + Port-Combination</li> <li>LINE-Combination-Other</li> <li>LINE-ISDN-Loop</li> <li>LINE-Other-Design</li> <li>LINE-Other-Non-Design</li> <li>LINE-Line-Sharing</li> <li>EELE+</li> <li>Switch+Ports</li> <li>LINE+DSL (ADSL, HDSL, UCL)</li> <li>Line-Sharing</li> <li>Local-Interoffice-Transport</li> <li>Local-Interconnection Trunks ..</li> </ul>	<p>BellSouth proposes no change to the Benchmark, however, this measure is not particularly sensitive to product type so product disaggregation actually reveals little, if any information, about performance quality.</p> <p>Additionally, BellSouth proposes to eliminate reporting of multiple products under mechanization level because many of the products have little or no monthly volume. The benchmarks for this measure are set based on the level of mechanization, not by individual products. Raw data will provide drill down to the product level.</p>
SEEM Measure		SEEM	Tier I      Tier II	See SEEM Matrix for rationale
		Yes	X      X	95% Returned

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Q-12		Delete Speed of Answer in Ordering Center	Timeliness of answer in the LCSC is not directly affecting CLECs ability to provide service. Orders are not placed by telephone, instead the CLEC Service Rep is calling to get information. Frequently this information is already available to the CLECs or is not necessary for order processing.
SOAC Service Order Accuracy	Title	(P-11A) SOAC Service Order Accuracy		Note This measure has been moved from Provisioning to Ordering SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
	Definition	The Service Order Accuracy measurement. This metric measures the accuracy and completeness of CLEC requests for service by comparing the CLEC Local Service Request (LSR) to the completed service order after provisioning has been completed. Only electronically submitted LSRs that require manual handling (Partially Mechanized) by a BellSouth service representative in the LCSC are measured		Wording clarification
	Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Canceled Service Orders</li> <li>• Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services (Record Orders, Listing Orders, <del>test Orders, etc.</del>, which may be seeded <del>order</del> <del>order</del> C, N, R or T etc.)</li> <li>• Disconnect Orders</li> <li>• CLECs LSRs Submitted Manually (FAX or Courier)</li> <li>• CLEC LSRs submitted electronically that are not manually handled by BellSouth (Flow-Through)</li> <li>• LSRs identified as "Projects"</li> <li>• Listing Orders</li> </ul>	<p>Definition indicates that we only look at Partially Mechanized requests</p> <p>Projects are non-standard and may not have a LSR to compare to the service order</p> <p>Listing orders were already excluded from the measure BellSouth lists it separately for consistency in the Provisioning measures</p>	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Business Rules	<p>Only CLEC LSRs submitted electronically that fall out-of-the-electronic-system for manual processing (partially mechanized) by a BellSouth representative and the resulting service orders are selected for this measure. The CLEC requested services on the LSR are mechanically compared to the completed service order using the CLEC affecting service attributes shown below.</p> <p><b>Selected CLEC Affecting Service Attributes</b></p> <p>The BellSouth Local Service Request (LSR) fields identified below will be used, as applicable, for this Service Order</p> <p>Accuracy review process</p> <p><b>BellSouth LSR Fields</b></p> <p>A service affecting community of the fields listed below will determine the accuracy of the revenue measure. The fields listed below would only be captured as a miss when they are service affecting. For the purpose of the Service Order Accuracy measure, if any of the fields listed below are populated on the LSR and do not match the corresponding field on the Service Order, and the service affecting the order will be counted as a miss. In this measure, the field does not affect the correct processing of the Service Order, the field is not considered to be service affecting and therefore will not be included as a miss in this measure.</p>	Removal of redundant language already covered in the Definition
				Clarification

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
			<p>An example would be BellSouth will maintain a list of LCS/Sytem workarounds which will not be service affecting.</p> <p>This list will be identified in a document posted on the Interconnection website. CLECs may discuss any of the posted LCS/Sytem workarounds during the regular PMAP notification calls</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Company Code</li> <li>• PON</li> <li>• Billed Telephone Number</li> <li>• Telephone Number</li> <li>• Ported Telephone Number</li> <li>• Circuit ID</li> <li>• PIC</li> <li>• LPIC</li> <li>• Directory Listing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Directory Delivery Address</li> <li>- Listing Activity</li> <li>- Alphanumeric Listing Identifier Code</li> <li>- Record Type</li> <li>- Listing Type</li> <li>- Listed Telephone Number</li> <li>- Listed Name, Last Name</li> <li>- Listed Name, First Name</li> <li>- Address Indicator</li> <li>- Listed Address House Number</li> <li>- Listed Address House Number Suffix</li> <li>- Listed Address Street Directional</li> <li>- Listed Address Street Name</li> <li>- Listed Address Thoroughfare</li> <li>- Listed Address Street Suffix</li> <li>- Listed Address Locality</li> <li>- Yellow Pages Heading</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Clarification, only the noted workarounds will be posted</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Features           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Feature Activity</li> <li>- Feature Codes</li> <li>- Feature Detail *</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Hunting           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Hunt Group Activity</li> <li>- Hunt Group Identifier</li> <li>- Telephone Number Identifier</li> <li>- Hunt Type Code</li> <li>- Hunt Line Activity</li> <li>- Hunting Sequence</li> <li>- Number Type</li> <li>- Hunting Telephone Number</li> </ul> </li> <li>• E911 Listing           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Service Address House Number</li> <li>- Service Address House Number Suffix</li> <li>- Service Address Street Directional</li> <li>- Service Address Street Name</li> <li>- Service Address Thoroughfare</li> <li>- Service Address Street Suffix</li> <li>- Service Address Descriptive Location</li> </ul> </li> <li>• FATN           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ATN</li> <li>• APOT</li> <li>• CFA</li> <li>• NC</li> <li>• NCI</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>* Feature Detail will only be checked for the following USOCs GCE, GC1, CREX4, GCJRC, GCJRC, DRS, VMSAX, SR8VM, SR8AF, SMBBX, MBRX USOCs and FIDs required to continue checking the identical service will be updated on this Website</p> <p>Any changes to the USOCs and FIDs for Feature Detail will be posted on the Interconnection Website</p> <p>Percent Service Order Accuracy = <math>(a / b) \times 100</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Applicable Orders completed without error</li> <li>• b = Applicable Orders completed in reporting period</li> </ul> <p>Removal of unnecessary wording</p>
		Calculation		

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
Provisioning P-1			Delete Mean Held Order Interval & Distribution Intervals	<p>As part of the streamlined SQM, BellSouth proposes to delete several duplicative measures. This measure should be deleted since these orders are already included in the proposed Firm Order Confirmation Average Completion Interval (FOCI) and the proposed Percent Installation Appointments Met (PIAM) measures.</p> <p>To be considered as a held order, the due date must already be missed, so not only are these missed orders counted in this measure, they are counted in the proposed PIAM, and the interval calculated in this measure is included in the proposed FOCI.</p> <p>Secondly, experience has shown that transaction volumes are usually too small in the measure to be useful to evaluate performance.</p>
P-2A			Delete Jeopardy Notice Interval	<p>As part of the streamlined SQM, BellSouth proposes to delete several measures of processes that have minimal impact on CLECs. A jeopardy notice is an advance warning that BellSouth might miss the due date, so it provides no definitive information to CLECs.</p> <p>Performance for Jeopardy notice interval is not a problem. For example, for UNE-P, BellSouth averages 130hrs, obviously exceeding the 48hr target. Additionally, the interval calculated in this measure is included in the proposed FOCI.</p> <p>Jeopardy Notice Interval is not a parity measure since BellSouth does not give an electronic jeopardy notice to its retail customers.</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
P-2B		Delete Percentage of Orders Given Jeopardy / Notices		As part of the streamlined SQM, BellSouth proposes to delete several measures of processes that have minimal impact on CLECs. A jeopardy notice is an advance warning that BellSouth might miss the due date, so it provides no definitive information to CLECs.
PIAM Percent Installation Appointments Met	Title	(P-3) PIAM Percent Missed Installation Appointments <u>Met</u>		BellSouth is attempting to create a Regional SQM Plan to assimilate different measurements across the various state SQMs and meet various FCC reporting requirements. Changing this measurement to report the percentage of appointments met not only provides a measure consistent with the existing 272 measure for PIAM but it also displays the information in a format that accentuates what BellSouth did correctly instead of what went wrong.
	Definition	<del>Percent missed initial installation appointments "monitors the reliability of BellSouth commitments with respect to committed due dates to ensure that the CLEC can reliably quote expected due dates to their retail customers as compared to BellSouth. This cpiot measure is the percentage of total orders processed for which BellSouth is unable to complete the service order--or misses the committed due date--and reported for Total missed and failed User Miles."</del>		BellSouth is attempting to create a Regional SQM Plan to assimilate different measurements across the various state SQMs and meet various FCC reporting requirements. Changing this measurement to report the percentage of appointments met not only provides a measure consistent with the existing 272 measure for PIAM but it also displays the information in a format that accentuates what BellSouth did correctly instead of what went wrong.

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Orders canceled Service Orders prior to the due date—including orders that are to be provisioned on the same day they are placed (“Zero-Due Date Orders”)</li> <li>• Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services (Record Orders, Listing Orders, Test Orders, etc., which may be Order types <del>may be ended</del> C, N, R or T)</li> <li>• Disconnect (D) &amp; Connect (F) e-Orders</li> <li>• End User Misses</li> <li>• Listing Orders</li> </ul>	<p>The change for Canceled Orders is a simplification of the exclusion to ensure consistency across all the Provisioning measures.</p> <p>As a correction, End User Missed Appointments are not excluded from the measure, they are reported separately in this report.</p> <p>Listing orders were already excluded in the measurement.</p> <p>BellSouth lists it separately again for consistency in the Provisioning measures.</p>
Business Rules			<p>Percent Missed Initial Installation Appointments (PMI) is the percentage of orders with completion dates in the reporting period that are past the original committed due date. Missed Appointments caused by end user reasons will be excluded and reported separately. The first commitment date on the service order that is a missed appointment is the missed appointment code used for calculation whether it is a BellSouth missed appointment or an End User missed appointment. The “due date” is any time on the confirmed due date. Which means there cannot be a cutoff time for commitments, as certain types of orders are requested to be worked after standard business hours. Also, during Daylight Savings Time, field technicians are scheduled until 5PM in some areas, and the customer is offered a greater range of intervals from which to select. All Service orders are considered as met, unless the first missed appointment code is due to BellSouth company reasons.</p>	<p>Clarification of the Business Rules to change from a percent missed to a percent met. This is the same rule that applies to existing 272 measures.</p>
Calculation			<p><b>Percent Missed Installation Appointments Yer = (a / b) X 100</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Number of orders with Completion date in reporting period past the original committed due date where the installation appointment is not completed</li> <li>• b = Total number of orders completed during the reporting period</li> </ul>	<p>Clarification of the Business Rules to change from a percent missed to a percent met. This is the same rule that applies to existing 272 measures.</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Report Structure		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li><del>Report in Categories of &lt;10 lines/erthms, &gt;= 10 lines/erthms (except tanks)</del></li> <li><del>Dispatch/Non-Dispatch (except tanks)</del></li> <li>Geographic Scope           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Report Structure changed to eliminate categories with little or no volume, resulting in data that should be more concise and meaningful. For example, &gt;=10 lines/circuits virtually never has any data in the reports Dispatch/Non-Dispatch disaggregation is eliminated because it is not meaningful to distinguish between appointments that are dispatched or not, the important point is whether or not BellSouth met the appointment</p>
SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark			<p><b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resale Residence (<del>Non-Design</del>)</li> <li>Resale Business (<del>Non-Design</del>)</li> <li>Resale Design</li> <li><del>Resale PBX</del></li> <li><del>Resale Centres</del></li> <li><del>Resale ISDN</del></li> <li>LNP\NP (Standalone)</li> <li><del>INP (Standalone)</del></li> <li>2W<del>UNE</del> Analog Loop (Design)</li> <li>2W<del>UNE</del> F Analog Loop (Non-Design)</li> <li>2W Analog Loop With LNP Design</li> <li>2W Analog Loop With LNP Non-Design</li> <li>2W Analog Loop With INP Non-Design</li> <li>UNE Digital Loop &lt; DS1</li> <li>UNE Digital Loop &gt;= DS1</li> <li>UNE Loop + Port Combinations           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Dispatch In</del></li> <li><del>Switch Based</del></li> </ul> </li> <li>UNE Switch Ports</li> <li>UNE-Centro-Other</li> <li>1 NEL/TELs</li> </ul>	<p>Streamline the SQM plan by eliminating product disaggregations with consistently low volume. These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in the results. They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected</p> <p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retail Residence (Non-Design)</li> <li>Retail Business (<del>Non-Design</del>)</li> <li>Retail Design</li> <li><del>Retail PBX</del></li> <li><del>Retail ISDN</del></li> <li>Retail Residence and Business (POTS)</li> <li><del>Retail Residence and Business (POTS)</del></li> <li>Retail Residence, and Business <del>and Design</del></li> <li><del>(Dispatch)</del></li> <li>Retail Residence and Business - (POTS Excluding Switch Based Orders)</li> <li><del>Retail Residence and Business-Dispatch</del></li> <li><del>Retail Residence and Business - (POTS Excluding Switch Based Orders)</del></li> <li><del>Retail Residence and Business-Dispatch</del></li> <li><del>Retail Residence and Business - (POTS Excluding Switch Based Orders)</del></li> <li>Retail Digital Loop &lt; DS1</li> <li>Retail Digital Loop &gt;= DS1</li> <li>Retail Residence and Business <del>and Design</del></li> <li><del>Dispatch In</del></li> <li><del>Switch Based</del></li> <li><del>Retail Residence and Business (POTS)</del></li> <li><del>Retail Residence, Business-and Design</del></li> <li><del>Dispatch</del></li> <li>Retail DS/DS3</li> </ul>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>UNE-XDSL (HDSL, ADSL and UCL)           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>With Conditioning</li> <li>Without Conditioning</li> </ul> </li> <li>UNE ISDN           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>UNE Line Sharing Splitting Without Conditioning</li> <li>With Conditioning</li> </ul> </li> <li>UNE Other Design           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Local Transport (Without Interoffice Transport)</li> <li>Local Interconnection Trunks</li> <li>UNE Line Splitting Without Conditioning</li> <li>UNE UDSL</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>ADSL Provided to Retail Without Conditioning</p> <p>With Conditioning (BellSouth does not offer this service to Retail)</p> <p>Retail ISDN - PRI</p> <p>ADSL Provided to Retail</p> <p>ADSL Provided to Retail</p> <p>Diagnostic Retail Design</p> <p>Diagnostic Retail Residence and Business</p> <p>Retail HDSL/FDS2-Interoffice Party with Retail Trunks</p> <p>ADSL Provided to Retail</p> <p>ADSL Provided to Retail</p> <p>Retail ISDN - PRI</p>	
FOCI Firm Order Confirmation Average Completion Interval	Title	FOCI Firm Order Confirmation Average Completion Interval	<p>New measure added that combines intervals to return a FOC and to complete a service order into a single interval measure. This measure has been requested by CLFCs.</p> <p>New measure added that combines intervals to return a FOC and to complete a service order into a single interval measure. This measure has been requested by CLFCs.</p>	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Canceled Service Orders</li> <li>• Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or external structure of local services (Record Orders, Test Orders, etc which may be order types C, N, R, or T)</li> <li>• Disconnected Orders</li> <li>• L1 Assignment coded orders (where the customer has requested a later than offere<del>d</del> interval)</li> <li>• End-User Caused Missed Appointments</li> <li>• Rejected LSRs</li> <li>• LSRs identified as 'Projects' with the exception of valid 'Project IDs' for UNTP to UNI Non Bulk Migrations</li> <li>• Sched<del>uled</del> OSS Maintenance</li> <li>• Lasting Orders</li> </ul>	<p>These are the combined exclusions from the previous FOC Timeliness and Average Completion Interval measures, which are combined in this measure. For the most part, these exclusions are designed to remove activities that would create an adverse result, but are not in the control of BellSouth</p> <p>Define project exclusion so that valid project IDs for LSRs that are identified as Bulk Migrations, although considered a project, will not be excluded from the measurement. Bulk Migrations are unique in that they have standard intervals even though they are projects and are distinguishable from other projects. Consequently, these project orders are included in the results.</p> <p>Disconnect and Lasting orders have historically been excluded because CLECs allege that they tend to bias the results in favor of BellSouth. These exclusions are consistent with those for other ordering and provisioning measures</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Business Rules		<p>For CLEC orders, the actual FOC interval and completion time is determined for each order processed during the reporting period. The duration starts when BellSouth receives a valid LSR or ASR and stops when the technician or site in completes the order in SOC's. For BellSouth retail orders, an interval (representing an OC time) is added to the actual completion interval to create an analogous retail analog since BellSouth retail orders do not have a comparable ordering process. The start time for the completion interval for BellSouth retail orders is the timestamp of the first entry into SOCS and the stop time is when the technician or system completes the order in SOCS. Orders worked on zero due dates are calculated with a .33-day interval (.8 hours) in order to report a portion of a day intact. These orders are issued and won't be completed on the same day. They can be either flow through orders (no field work/ non-dispatched) or field orders (dispatched). Only valid business hours/days will be included in the calculation of this interval for FOC interval and valid business days for OC interval. Valid business days and hours can be found on the Interconnection website (<a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/#local_ordering_handbook/interval_guide">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/#local_ordering_handbook/interval_guide</a>)</p> <p><b>LSR/ASR Business Hours</b></p> <p>Only normal business hours will be included in the interval calculation for this measure. The interval will be the amount of time accrued from receipt of the LSR/ASR until normal closing at the center. If an LSR/ASR is worked using overtime hours, in the case of a partially mechanized LSR/ASR received and worked outside normal business hours, the interval will be set at one (1) minute. The hours of operation can be found on the Interconnection website (<a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/centers">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/centers</a>).</p> <p><b>Mechanized Rules For LSR Receipt</b></p> <p><b>Fully Mechanized.</b> The elapsed time from receipt of a valid electronically submitted LSR date and time stamp in ordering interface gateway(s) that does not fall out for manual handling until the LSR is processed, appropriate service orders are generated and a Firm Order Confirmation is returned to the CLEC via ordering interface gateway(s).</p> <p><b>Partially Mechanized.</b> The elapsed time from receipt of a valid electronically submitted LSR date and time stamp in ordering interface gateway(s) which falls out for manual handling until appropriate service orders (i.e. e issued by a BellSouth service representative, via Direct Order Entry (DOE) or Service Order Negotiation Generation System (SONGS)) to SOCS and a Firm Order Confirmation is returned to the CLEC via ordering interface gateway(s).</p> <p><b>Non-Mechanized.</b> The elapsed time from receipt of a valid LSR date and time stamp of t X or date and time LSRs received in the center until appropriate service orders are issued by a BellSouth service representative via Direct Order Entry (DOE) or Service Order Negotiation Generation System (SONGS) to SOCS and a Firm Order Confirmation is sent to the CLEC.</p> <p><b>Local Interconnection Trunks.</b> Interconnection trunks are ordered on Access Service Requests (ASRs). ASRs are submitted to and processed by the generic Trunk data is reported separately.</p> <p>When multiple FOCs occur on a single request, the first FOC is used to measure the interval.</p>	Combines the business rules of FOC timeliness and former OC1 measures

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Calculation	<p><u>Firm Order Confirmation Completion Interval (j..b)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Service order completion date and time</li> <li>b = Service request recent date and time</li> </ul> <p><u>Firm Order Confirmation Average Completion Interval - (c..d)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c = Sum of all completion intervals</li> <li>d = Count of orders completed in reporting period</li> </ul>	New calculation required for new measure
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLFC Specific</li> <li>CLFC Aggregate</li> <li>BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>Reported in categories of &lt; 6 lines/air units, <math>\geq 6</math> lines/air units (except trunks)</li> <li>Displaced/Non-Displaced categories applicable to all levels except trunks</li> <li>Totally Mechanized, Partially Mechanized and Non-Mechanized Local Interconnection Trunks</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>Site</li> </ul>	Consistent with Report Structure for FCC Timeliness and former OCI measures

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change			Rationale for Proposed Change
			Performance Standard (FOC/OCI)			
			Business Days (OCI) (Days Added to Interval)			
			F	M	N	
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark					
Retail Residence (Non-Design)	Retail Residence (Non-Design)	Retail Residence (Non-Design)	5	1.0	2.5	Combines performance standards for FOC Timeliness and former OCI measures
Retail Business (Non-Design)	Retail Business (Non-Design)	Retail Business (Non-Design)	5	1.0	2.5	Streamline the SQM plan by eliminating product disaggregations with consistently low volume. These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The removed will continue to be included in the results. They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
Retail Design	Retail Design	Retail Design	5	1.0	2.5	
UNI/PIIN <sup>2</sup> (Standardized)	UNI/PIIN <sup>2</sup> (Standardized)	UNI/PIIN <sup>2</sup> (Standardized)	5	1.0	2.5	
UNI Analog Loop Design	UNI Analog Loop Non Design	UNI Analog Loop Non Design	5	1.0	2.5	
UNI Digital Line < DS	UNI Digital Loop ≥ DS	UNI Digital Loop ≥ DS	5	1.0	2.5	
UNI Loop Port Connections	UNI Loop Port Connections	UNI Loop Port Connections	5	1.0	2.5	
UNI ELLs	UNI ELLs	UNI ELLs (HDSL, ADSL and UCL) without conditioning, 6 Days	5	1.0	2.5	
UNI SDSL (HDSL, ADSL and UCL) with screening, 12 Days	UNI SDSL (HDSL, ADSL and UCL) with screening, 12 Days	ADSL Provided to Retail	5	0	2.5	
UNI Line Splitter w/o without conditioning	UNI Line Splitter w/o without conditioning	UNI Line Splitter w/o without conditioning	5	0	2.5	
UNI ISDN	UNI ISDN	UNI ISDN	5	1.0	2.5	
UNI Other Design	UNI Other Design	UNI Other Design	5	1.0	2.5	
UNI Other Non Design	UNI Other Non Design	UNI Other Non Design	5	1.0	2.5	
Local Interconnection Trunks	Local Interconnection Trunks	Local Interconnection Trunks	5	1.0	2.5	
SEEM Measure	SEEM Measure	SEEM Measure	Yes	X	X	This is the measure of Ordering Timeliness and a high profile measure of provisioning timeliness although PMIA is the principal measure of provisioning timeliness
P-4			Delete Average Completion Interval (OCI) & Order Completion Interval Distribution			This information is now included in the FOC1 measure

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
P.S.		Delete Average Completion Notice Interval		The completion notice is only one means for CLECs to determine whether an order has been completed. CLECs have other tools to check on order status such as CSOTS Average Completion Notice Interval exists today as a parity measure but this is actually better service than is provided to retail because BellSouth retail operations do not get a notification that the service order work is complete. Retail has to check completions in SOCS. Further, this function has only a minimal impact on the CLEC, because the work has been completed and at worse can result in temporary billing conflicts if the customer's billing date falls in the period between work completion and completion notice delivery, and the CLEC does not check the order status in CSOTS. Any such conflicts that occur would be fixed in the next billing cycle.
P.6		Delete % Completions/Attempts without Notice or < 24 Hours Notice		This is simply another measure of FOC Timeliness which is already measured twice, in both FOC T and FOC L. If FOCs are returned in a timely manner, the CLEC will have adequate notice of completions before the due date. FOC timeliness provides specific intervals for delivery of a response with a due date, the FOC should be sent out within X hours of receipt and this would allow the customer enough time to be notified of committed due date without regards to whether we sent it within 24 hours of dispatch.
CCCI	Title	#7 CCCI Coordinated Customer Conversations Interval – Hot Cut Duration		Measure changed to include time to notify CLEC after the hot cut has been completed
Coordinated Customer Conversations Interval – Hot Cut Duration	Definition			Wording clarification and measure changed to include time to notify CLEC after hot cut is complete

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any order canceled by the CLEC will be excluded from this measurement if canceled Service Orders</li> <li>Delays caused by the due to CLEC following Disconnection of the Unbundled Loop</li> <li>Unbundled Loops where there is no existing subscriber loop and loops where coordination is not requested</li> <li>Non-Coordinated Conversions</li> <li>Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services (Record Orders, Test Orders, etc. which may be order types: C, N, R, or T)</li> <li>Testing Orders</li> </ul>	Brevity Any CLEC caused delay should be excluded Brevity These type orders do not affect timeliness of the coordinated hot cut provided to CLEC
	Business Rules		<p>When the service order includes LNP, the interval includes the total time for the cut-over including the translation time to place the line back in service on the ported line. When the service order includes NIP, the interval includes the total time calculated for the entire cut-over time for the service order and then divided by the number of ports.</p> <p>Coordinated conversions are scheduled between the CLEC and BellSouth. The start time for this measure will be the mutually agreed upon start of the conversion and the stop time will be when the CLECs notified after the conversion is complete. The conversion interval, or the entire service order, is calculated and then divided by the number of loops converted to determine the average duration per loop.</p>	Revised Business Rules to be consistent with definition and calculation of the measure
Calculation			<p><b>Coordinated Customer Conversions Interval = (a - b) / c</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Completion date and time for <del>each connection of a Coordinated Unbundled Loop of CLEC notification</del></li> <li>b = <del>Disconnect date and time of an Unbundled Loop connection</del></li> <li>c = Number of loops per order</li> </ul> <p><b>Percent Coordinated Customer Conversions (for each interval) = (e/d) (d/c) X 100</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>e = Total number of Coordinated Customer Conversions for each interval (loops) within &lt;~ 20 minutes</li> <li>d = Total number of Unbundled Loop with Coordinated Customer Conversions (loops) for the reporting period</li> </ul>	Revised to include CLEC notification time Revised to include CLEC notification time

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>The interval break point is 0.5 = 0 &lt;= 5, 5 &lt;= 15 &lt;= 15 and greater, plus Overall Average Interval</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> </ul>	<p>Remove unnecessary data, the number of interval buckets is excessive and not a measure of performance CLECs can arrange their data into any buckets they choose using supporting data, so there is no need to report all of this information routinely</p> <p>Performance is monitored by state so regional report is unnecessary</p>	
SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark		<p><b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unbundled Loops with INP Coordinated Customer Conversations (% of time) 95% &lt;= 15 Minutes</li> <li>Unbundled Loops with LNP 95% &lt;= 15 Minutes</li> </ul>	<p>Very low volume in INP To account for adding notification time to the interval</p>	
HCT Coordinated Customer Conversions – Hot Cut Timeliness Percent within Interval	Title Definition	<p>(P-7A) HCT Coordinated Customer Conversions – Hot Cut Timeliness Percent within Interval</p> <p>This metric measures the percentage of orders where BellSouth begins the conversion of an unbundled loop on a coordinated and/or a time specific order at within a timely manner of the CLEC requested start time. It measures the percentage of orders where the cut begins within 15 minutes of the requested start time of the order and the average interval.</p>	<p>Average Interval is not used to evaluate performance so no longer provided</p> <p>Two time frames are needed 15 min for non IDLC and usually 2 hours for IDLC. Instead of describing these details here, they are in the business rules</p>	Brevity
	Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any order canceled by the CLEC will be excluded from this measurement</li> <li>Delays caused by the CLEC</li> <li>Unbundled Loops where there is no existing subscriber loop and loops where coordination is not requested</li> <li>Subsequent unbundled loops on multiple loop orders after the first loop</li> <li>Test Orders</li> <li>Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services (Record Order, Test Order, etc. which may be order types C, N, R or T)</li> <li>Listing Orders</li> </ul>	<p>Wording clarification</p> <p>These type orders do not affect timeliness of the coordinated hot cut provided to CLEC</p>	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Business Rules		<p>This report measures whether BellSouth begins the cut-over-of-an unbundled loop-on-a-coordinated-and-on-time-specific order-at-the-CLEC-requested-start-time. The cut is considered 'on time' if it starts <math>\leq</math> 15 minutes before or after the requested start time. Using the scheduled time-the-measurement-within-a 15-minute interval and the actual-cut-over-start-time-the-measurement-within-a 15-minute interval. If a cut involves multiple lines, the cut will be considered "on time" if the first line is cut within the '15 minute' interval <math>\leq</math> 15 minutes includes intervals that began 15 minutes or less before the-scheduled-cut-time-and-ended-but-began-15-minutes-or-less-after-the-scheduled-cut-time,-15-minutes,-&gt;30-minutes-freelodes-cuts-within-15-00-30-00-minutes-either-prior-to-or-after-the-scheduled-cut-time,-&gt;30-minutes-must-be-each-greater-than-30-00-minutes-either-prior-to-or-after-the-scheduled-cut-time,-If Integrated Digital Loop Carrier (IDLC) is involved, a four-hour window applies to the start-time-(8 A.M. to 5 P.M.) This only applies if BellSouth must notify the CLEC by 10:30 AM on the day before the due date that the service is on-IDLC and then the 'on time' interval is <math>\leq</math> 2 hours before or after the requested start time.</p>	<p>Clarify business rules and define which interval applies for IDLC and non-IDLC loops</p>
Calculation			<p><u>% Percent within Interval</u> = <math>(a / b) \times 100</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Total number of coordinated unbundled loop orders for-the-month converted on time</li> <li>b = Total number of coordinated unbundled loop orders for the reporting period</li> </ul> <p><u>Interval</u> = <math>(c - d)</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c = Scheduled Time-for-Cross-Connection-of-a-Coordinated-Unbundled-Loop-Order</li> <li>d = Actual Start Date and Time-of-a-Coordinated-Unbundled-Loop-Order</li> </ul> <p><u>Average Interval</u> = <math>(e / f)</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>e = Sum-of-all Intervals</li> <li>f = Total Number-of-Coordinated-Unbundled-Loop-Orders for-the-reporting-period</li> </ul>	<p>Timeliness is evaluated by percent within interval calculation</p> <p>Interval and Average Interval is not used to evaluate performance so it is no longer provided</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Report Structure	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>Reported in intervals of early, on-time and late calls. % &lt;= 15 minutes, % &gt; 15 minutes, % &lt;= 30 minutes, % &gt; 30 minutes, % &gt; 45 minutes.</li> <li>Geographic Scope           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> </ul> </li> <li>Percentages are reported in intervals of early, on-time and late calls for IDLC and non-IDLC calls</li> </ul> <p>On-Time (Non-IDLC)</p> <p>&lt;= 15 minutes</p> <p>Note - This is a 30 minute bucket representing a cut that begins 15 minutes or less before or after the scheduled start time.</p> <p>Early (Non-IDLC)</p> <p>&gt;15 minutes &lt;= 30 minutes</p> <p>&gt;30 minutes &lt;= 60 minutes</p> <p>&gt;60 minutes &lt;= 120 minutes</p> <p>&gt;120 minutes &lt;= 180 minutes</p> <p>&gt;180 minutes &lt;= 240 minutes</p> <p>&lt;= 240 minutes</p> <p>Late (Non-IDLC)</p> <p>&gt;15 minutes &lt;= 30 minutes</p> <p>&gt;30 minutes &lt;= 60 minutes</p> <p>&gt;60 minutes &lt;= 120 minutes</p> <p>&gt;120 minutes &lt;= 180 minutes</p> <p>&gt;180 minutes &lt;= 240 minutes</p> <p>&gt;240 minutes</p> <p>Overall Average Interval for non-IDLC</p>	<p>Reporting results in these three separate distributions is unnecessary, only one of them is used to evaluate performance</p> <p>Performance is monitored by state, so regional report is unnecessary</p> <p>Remove unnecessary data, the number of interval buckets is excessive and not a measure of performance CLECs can arrange their data into any buckets they choose using raw data, so there is no need to report all of this information routinely</p>	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
			<p>On-Time (IDLC)</p> <p>&lt;= 2 hours</p> <p>Note—This is a 1-hour bucket representing &lt;= one hour IDLC that begins 2 hours or less before or after the scheduled start time</p> <p>Early (IDLC)</p> <p>&gt;2 hours</p> <p>Late (IDLC)</p> <p>&gt;2 hours</p> <p>Other Average Interval for IDLC</p>	<p>Reporting results in these three separate distributions is unnecessary, only one of them is used to evaluate performance</p>
SQM	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	Product Reporting Level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- SL1-Time Specific Non-IDLC</li> <li>- SL1 Non-Time Specific</li> <li>- SL2-Time Specific</li> <li>- SL2 Non-Time Specific</li> <li>- SL-IDLC</li> <li>- SL2-IDLC</li> </ul>	<p>The former disaggregations were not meaningful, the only relevant ones are the proposed disaggregations.</p>
RT Coordinated Customer Conversions – Average Recover Time	Title	(P-7B) RT Coordinated Customer Conversions – Average Recover Time	<p>Measures the time between notification and resolution by BellSouth of a service outage found that can be isolated to the BellSouth side of the network. The time between notification and resolution by BellSouth must be measured to ensure that CLEC customers do not experience unacceptable lengthy service outages during a Coordinated Customer Conversations. This report measures outages associated with Coordinated Customer Conversations prior to service order completion which can be isolated to BellSouth's side of the network.</p>	<p>SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics</p> <p>Simply define measure here, the removed language is addressed in the business rules</p>
	Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Customer Conversations</u> where service outages are due to end-user caused reasons when the CLEC agrees</li> <li>• <u>Customer Conversations</u> where service outages are due to end-user caused reasons when the CLEC disagrees</li> <li>• Test Orders</li> <li>• Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or admin. activity use of local services (Record Orders, Test Orders, etc. which may be order types C, N, R or T)</li> <li>• Listing Orders</li> </ul>	<p>Only account for those outages caused by BellSouth</p> <p>These orders do not affect performance on coordinated hot cuts for CLECs</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Business Rules		<p>Measures the outage duration time related to Coordinated Customer Conversions from the initial trouble notification until the service <del>#able</del> has been restored and the CLEC has been notified <del>The duration time is defined as the time from the initial trouble notification until the trouble has been restored and the CLEC has been notified.</del> The interval is calculated on the total outage time for the circuits divided by the total number of outages restored during the report period to give the average outage duration. This measure also displays <del>the overall percentage of orders which did not experience a trouble during a coordinated conversion</del></p>	<p>Wording clarification and duration definition moved to calculation section</p> <p>Overall percentage is necessary to assess the value of the results for this measure</p>
Calculation			<p><b>Recovery Time = (a - b)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Date and time that the initial trouble is cleared and the <del>Closed by</del> CLEC is notified</li> <li>b = Date and time the initial trouble is opened with BellSouth</li> </ul> <p><b>Average Recovery Time = (c / d)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c = Sum of all the Recovery Times per event</li> <li>d = Number of troubles per event referred to BellSouth</li> </ul> <p><b>Percentage of items with No Troubles (e/f) X 100</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>e = Total items in the reporting period that did not have a trouble during a coordinated conversion</li> <li>f = Total items for the reporting period</li> </ul>	<p>Clarification</p>
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Add calculation for overall percentage, which is necessary to assess the value of these measurement results</p> <p>Performance is monitored by state so regional report is unnecessary</p>
SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark			<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <p><del>Unbundled Loops with LNP</del> Coordinated Customer Conversions (Loops)      <del>→ 5 Hours</del>      <del>→ 4 Hours</del></p> <p><del>→ Unbundled Loops with LNP</del></p>	<p>LNP has very low volume</p> <p>History has shown that long intervals on this measure do not necessarily indicate a performance problem because the number of such troubles is so small. In the rare cases when a trouble occurs, it is a very unusual case they may be very complicated to solve so a meaningful benchmark interval can't be established</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
PT Hot Cut Conversions - Percent Provisioning Troubles Received within 5 Days of a Completed Service Order	Title <del>(P-HC) P1</del> Hot Cut Conversions - % Percent Provisioning Troubles Received within 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ Days of a Completed Service Order	Definition	This report measures the percentage of provisioning troubles received within 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ days of a completed service order associated with a Coordinated and Non-Coordinated Customer Hot Cut Conversion (ECC) process and ensures the quality and accuracy of Coordinated Customer Hot Cut Conversion activities	Any trouble connected to initial conversion should be captured looking forward 5 days particularly on hot cuts where conversion troubles are noticed almost immediately
		Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>An order canceled by the CLEC Can sled Order</del></li> <li>Troubles caused by Customer Provided Equipment (CPE) or CLEC Equipment</li> <li>Listing Orders</li> <li>Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services</li> <li>Received Orders Test Orders etc. which may be order types C, N, R, or I</li> <li>Troubles outside of BellSouth's control</li> <li>Disconnect Orders</li> </ul>	Wording change to match title from 7 Days to 5 Days. Any trouble connected to initial conversion should be captured looking forward 5 days particularly on hot cuts where conversion troubles are noticed almost immediately
Business Rules			<del>Measures the quality and accuracy of completed service orders associated with Coordinated and Non-coordinated Customer Conversions.</del>	<del>Wording change to match title from 7 Days to 5 Days. Calculation language is covered in the calculation section</del>
Calculation			% Percentage of Provisioning Troubles within 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ Days of Service Order Completion = $(a / b) \times 100$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = The sum of all ECC Hot Cut Services Order Circuits completed in the previous reporting period</li> <li>b = The total number of ECC Hot Cut Services Order Circuits completed in the previous reporting period</li> </ul>	Wording change to match title from 7 Days to 5 Days
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Performance is monitored by state so regional report is unnecessary

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> • UNE Loops Design • UNE-Loop-Near-Design	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> $\leq 3\%$ $\leq 2\%$	Design characteristic should have little, if any, effect on how our performance. Most of these loops are non-design so no need to maintain separate reporting
	SEEM Measure	<b>SEEM</b> Yes No	<b>Tier I</b> X	See SEEM matrix for rationale
CNDD Non-Coordinated Customer Conversions – Percent Completed and Notified on Due Date	Title	CNDD Non-Coordinated Customer Conversions - Percent Completed and Notified on Due Date		This is a new measure that was filed in the TRO proceeding to address a process that may have considerably increased volume
Definition		This report measures the percentage of non-coordinated conversions that BellSouth completed and provided notification to the CLEC on the due date during the reporting period		This is a new measure that was filed in the TRO proceeding to address a process that may have considerably increased volume
Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Canceled Service Orders</li> <li>• Delays Caused by the CLEC</li> <li>• Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services</li> <li>(Record Order, 1st Order, etc which may be order types C, N, R, m, l)</li> </ul>		Situations outside of BellSouth's control
Business Rules		The order is considered successfully completed if the order is completed on the due date and the CLEC is notified on the due date		This is the only characteristic that can be measured for timeliness on these orders
Calculation		<b>Percent Completed and Notified on Due Date = (a / b) * 100</b>		This is the only characteristic that can be measured for timeliness on these orders
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a - Total number of non coordinated conversions completed on the due date with CLEC notification</li> <li>• b - Total number of non-coordinated conversions for the reporting period</li> </ul>		
Report Structure		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Specific</li> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• Geographic Scope</li> <li>• State</li> </ul>		These are the levels at which performance is evaluated

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	<u>SQM Level of Disaggregation</u> • Non-Coordinated Conversions	<u>SQM Analog/Benchmark</u> 95% Completed on Due Date with CLEC Notification	Benchmark is consistent with other hot cut benchmarks and performance greater than this level is not necessary to fulfill the nondiscrimination standard
	SEEM Measure	<u>SEEM</u> <u>Tier I</u> <u>Tier II</u> <u>X</u>		Only timeliness measure for this product and recognition that process may be critical for a transition period.
P-8		Delete Cooperative Acceptance Testing - % of xDSL Loops Successfully Passing Cooperative Testing		This is a secondary process designed to reduce troubles at installation on a small number of orders. The customer impacting event is the occurrence of a trouble, which is captured in the measure Percent Provisioning Troubles (PPT)
	PPT Percent Provisioning Troubles within 5 Days of Service Order Completion	Title <del>(P-9) PPT % Provisioning Troubles within 40 5 Days of Service Order Completion</del>	Most troubles connected to initial installation should be captured within 5 days of order completion. This has been the historical interval used to monitor retail performance also. A longer interval increase likelihood that non-installation troubles are reflected in the measure	Most troubles connected to initial installation should be captured within 5 days of order completion. This has been the historical interval used to monitor retail performance also. A longer interval increase likelihood that non-installation troubles are reflected in the measure
	Definition	This report measures <del>Percent Pending Troubles within 30 days of service order completion</del> . It calculates the percentage of troubles received within 5 days of service order completion <del>within 30 days of service order completion</del>		
	Exclusions	Canceled Service Orders Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services (Record Orders, <del>Listing</del> Orders, Test Orders, etc.) <del>Test order types which may be order types C, N, R, or T</del> • <del>Del &amp; Disconnect</del> Orders • Trouble reports caused and closed out to Customer Provided Equipment (CPE), or CLECs Equipment • Listing Orders • Troubles outside of BellSouth's control	BellSouth should not be held accountable for any troubles outside their control (for example, cable cuts, acts of God, war, etc.) Listing orders were already excluded, they are simply listed separately	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Business Rules		<p><del>Measures the quantity and accuracy of completed orders. The first trouble report received after the completion of a service order completion is counted in this measure. Subsequent trouble reports are measured in Repeat Report Rate.</del></p> <p><del>When the completed service order is matched to a trouble report, it is uniquely counted one time in the material Reports are established. Candidates are identified by searching for the prior report period for all completed service orders and then searching for all trouble reports received within 5 days of the service order completion date following 30-days after completion of the service order for a trouble report issue date.</del></p> <p><del>D&amp;F orders are excluded as there is no subsequent activity following a disconnect.</del></p> <p><del>Note - Standalone LNP historical data is not available in the maintenance systems (AMOS or WFA).</del></p>	Clarification
Calculations			<p><u>% Percent Provisioning Troubles within 30 5 Days of Service Order Activity Completion = (a / b) X 100</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Troubles Reports + All total completed orders receiving a trouble report within 30-days of the following service order(s) completion</li> <li>b = All service orders completed in the previous reporting period calendar month</li> </ul>	Clarification and conversion to 5 day interval
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLFC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li><del>Reported in categories of 10 line circuits - 10 line circuits (except tanks)</del></li> <li><del>Dispatch Non-Dispatch (except tanks)</del></li> <li>Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Volume categories were eliminated because nearly all of the volume occurs in only one category</p> <p>Dispatch/Non-Dispatch disaggregation is eliminated because it is not meaningful to distinguish between provisioning troubles that are dispatched or not, the important point is whether or not BellSouth had a provisioning trouble within 5 days of service order completion</p> <p>Performance is evaluated by state so regional report is unnecessary</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
P-11	LOOS LNP – Percent Out of Service < 60 Minutes	Title	Delete Service Order Accuracy  (P-13B) LOOS_LNP – Percent Out of Service < 60 Minutes	This measure is being replaced by (P-11A) SOAC, which was requested by CLECs SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
Definition			This report measures the percentage of time that BellSouth performs electronic system updates within 60 minutes of receiving LNP activation numbers for LNP-related conversions where the time required to facilitate the activation of the port in BellSouth's network is less than 60 minutes expressed as a percentage of total number of activations that took place.	Wording clarification
Exclusions			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Caused Errors</li> <li>• NPAC Caused errors unless caused by BellSouth</li> <li>• Standalone LNP orders with more than 500 number activations</li> <li>• Circle services of BellSouth or the CLECs associated with internal or administrative use of local service;</li> <li>(Record Orders, Test Orders, etc., which may be order types C, N, R or T)</li> <li>• Listing Orders</li> <li>• Scheduled OSS Maintenance</li> </ul>	Performance on these types of orders does not affect CLECs BellSouth should not be penalized for legitimate maintenance downtime
Business Rules			The interval starts when <del>here is</del> the ESI Number Manager broadcast message is sent to BellSouth's gateway <del>Reception of the NPAC broadcast message in BellSouth's LSMS</del> . The end time is the confirmation received from the local Service Management Systems (LSMS), which advises that BellSouth's electronic systems have successfully been updated. A disconnect time for all telephone numbers contained within a order will be calculated and a charge for present a disconnect time for the order as a whole, when the provisioning event is successfully completed in BellSouth's network as reflected in BellSouth's LSMS. Count the number of activations that took place in less than 60 minutes.	Wording clarification
Calculations			<p><b>Percent Out of Service &lt; 60 Minutes = (a / b) X 100</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Number of orders containing activations provisioned in less than 60 minutes</li> <li>• b = Total orders containing LNP Activations</li> </ul>	When you miss one activation, you generally miss the entire order
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Specific</li> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- State</li> <li>- Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Performance is monitored by state so regional report is unnecessary

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation - Analog / Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> LNP	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> $\geq 96.5\% \geq 93\%$	Performance greater than this level is not necessary to fulfill the nondiscrimination standard as evidenced by performance in other jurisdictions
SEEM Measure	SEEM	Tier I Yes	Tier II X	See SEEM Matrix for rationale
LAT LNP- Percentage of Time BellSouth Applies the 10-Digit Trigger Prior to the LNP Order Due Date Definition	(P-13C) LAT LNP- Percentage of Time BellSouth Applies the 10-Digit Trigger Prior to the LNP Order Due Date Definition	This report measures the percentage of time BellSouth applies a 10-digit trigger for orders containing, prior to the due date numbers LNP-#s prior to the due date	SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics	SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remote Call Forwarding, DIDs, and ISDN Data TNs</li> <li>• Excludes CLEC or customer caused misses or delays</li> <li>• Order activities of BellSouth or the C.I.C. associated with internal or administrative use of [redacted]</li> <li>• Services (Record Orders, Test Orders, etc., which may be order types C, N, R or J)</li> <li>• Zero, due dated expedited orders requested by the C.I.C.</li> <li>• Listing Order<sup>2</sup></li> </ul>	<p>Exclude these classes of service that are not triggerable orders</p> <p>Cannot do work 1 day prior to the due date on zero due dated orders</p> <p>Administrative and Listing orders do not affect performance for CLECs on this measure</p>	
Business Rules		OBEST The number of LNP TNs orders where the 10-digit trigger was applied prior to the due date, divided by the total number of LNP TNs orders where the 10-digit trigger was applicable	Wording clarification	
Calculation		<p><b>Percentage of 10-Digit Trigger Applications</b> = <math>(a / b) \times 100</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a = Count of LNP TNs orders for which 10-digit trigger was applied prior to due date</li> <li>- b = Total LNP TNs orders for which 10-digit triggers were applicable</li> </ul>	Wording change to match Business Rules	
Report Structure		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Specific</li> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- State</li> <li>- Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Performance is monitored by state so regional report is unnecessary</p>	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		SQM Level of Disaggregation • LNP (Standalone)	SQM Analog/Benchmark Benchmark ≥ 95%	Clarification
SEEM Measure	SEEM	Tier I      Tier II	Yes      *	See SEEM matrix for rationale
DTNT LNP - Disconnect Timeliness (Non-Trigger)	Title Definition	(#43D) DIN T LNP – Average Disconnect Timeliness Interval (Non-Trigger)	[This sport measure is the <del>disconnected timeliness percentage</del> or time translations are removed from BellSouth's switch within 12 hours of the receipt of a non-triggerable port connection message. When multiple numbers are placed on a single order, translation is for each number must be removed within the interval as defined as the interval between the first ESI Number Manager receives the valid Number Period message from NPAC (signifying the CLEC Activate) until the time the Disconnector is completed in the Central Office switch. This internal effectively measures BellSouth responsibility by isolating it from impacts that are caused by CLEC related activities.]	Measure is not an interval but rather a percent within an interval Wording clarification
Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Canceled Service Orders</li> <li>• Order activities of BellSouth or the CLEC associated with internal or administrative use of local services (Record Orders, Listing Orders, Test Orders, etc.) where identifiable Order types which may be <u>order types</u> C.N, R, or T)</li> <li>• Listing Orders</li> <li>• CLEC Caused Errors</li> <li>• NPAC <del>caused</del> Errors, unless caused by BellSouth</li> <li>• Incomplete ports where only a subset of the total requested lines on the LSR are submitted to Activate Messages have been received compared with the LSR and create messages</li> <li>• Orders which are completed for 10 digit triggers, except those that did not receive 10 digit triggers prior to the port out date</li> <li>• LSRs where the CLEC did not contact BTF BellSouth within 30 minutes after Activate Message</li> </ul>	<p>Clarification</p> <p>Listing orders already excluded, just stated separately</p> <p>These orders by definition of the measure are not included, eliminate unnecessary exclusion</p>	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change				
	Business Rules		<p>The Disconnect Timeliness Interval is determined for each telephone number ported associated with a disconnected service order processed on an LSR during the reporting period. The Disconnect Timeliness Interval is the elapsed time from when BellSouth receives a valid 'Number Ported' message in ESI Number Manager (signifying the CLEC 'activates') for each telephone number ported until each number on the service order is disconnected in the BellSouth Central Office switch. Elapsed time for each ported number is accumulated for each reporting dimension. The accumulated time for each reporting dimension is then divided by the total number of selected telephone numbers disconnected in the reporting period. Non-business hours will be excluded from the duration calculation for unscheduled after-hours LNP ports. This will yield a benchmark equivalent to 12:00 noon the next business day thus keeping the benchmark at 4 hours.</p>	Wording clarification				
Calculations			<p>Disconnect Timeliness Interval = <math>(a - b) / 110</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>a = \text{Completed Date and Time in Central Office switch for each number on disconnected order Number}</math> of non-ringer specific orders within 12 hours</li> <li><math>b = \text{Valid Number Ported message received date and time (real nr. of non ringers) of orders during report period}</math></li> </ul> <p>Average Disconnect Timeliness Interval = <math>(e / d)</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>e = \text{Sum of all Disconnected Timeliness Intervals}</math></li> <li><math>d = \text{Total Number of disconnected numbers completed in reporting period}</math></li> </ul>	<p>When you miss one telephone number, you generally miss all telephone numbers on that order</p> <p>This is a benchmark measure that only needs to have a percent within benchmark calculation, no average interval calculation is needed</p>				
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Performance is monitored by state so regional report is unnecessary				
SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Analog / Benchmark			<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>LNP (Normal Working Hours and Approved After Hours)</td> <td>95% &lt;= 4.12 Hours</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LNP (Unscheduled After Hours Ports)</td> <td>95% &lt;= 4 Hours (excluding non-business hours)</td> </tr> </table>	LNP (Normal Working Hours and Approved After Hours)	95% <= 4.12 Hours	LNP (Unscheduled After Hours Ports)	95% <= 4 Hours (excluding non-business hours)	No need to separate these two groups of orders, there is nothing unique about the provisioning of one versus the other
LNP (Normal Working Hours and Approved After Hours)	95% <= 4.12 Hours							
LNP (Unscheduled After Hours Ports)	95% <= 4 Hours (excluding non-business hours)							
SEEM Measure	SEEM	Tier I	Tier II	See SEEM matrix for rationale				
	Yes	*	X					

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
<b>Maintenance &amp; Repair Appointments Met</b>	PRAM Percent	Title	(M&R->) PRAM Missed Percentage Repair Appointments Met	Change measure to provide results based on what was done right instead of what was missed
		Definition	This report measures the percentage of customer trouble reports met cleared by the committed date and time	Change measure to provide results based on what was done right instead of what was missed
		Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trouble tickets canceled at the CLEC request</li> <li>• BellSouth trouble reports associated with internal or administrative service</li> <li>• Customer Provided Equipment (CPE) Failures or CLEC Equipment Troubles</li> <li>• Informational Tickets</li> <li>• Troubles Outside BellSouth's Control</li> </ul>	Specifically state that informational tickets are not included. Since they are not trouble reports they have not been included in the measure. BellSouth should not be held accountable for any troubles outside their control (for example cable cuts, acts of God, war etc)
		Business Rules	<p>The negotiated commitment date and time is established when the repair report is received. The cleared time is the date and time that BellSouth personnel clear the trouble and closes the customer trouble report in <u>either</u> their Computer Access Terminal (CAT) or workstation. If this is after the commitment time, the report is flagged as a 'missed commitment' or a 'missed repair appointment'. When the data for this measure is collected for BellSouth and CLEC, <del>it can be used to compare the percentage of the time repair appointments are missed due to BellSouth reasons. (No access reports are not considered as a part of this measure because they are not a missed appointment)</del></p> <p><b>Note—Appointments intervals vary with forced availability in the POTS environment. Specials and Think intervals are standard interval appointments and no greater than 24 hours. Standard LNP historical data is not available in the Mathematics systems (LAMOS or WEA).</b></p>	Clarification  The note is information and not needed for the measure
		Calculation	<p><b>Percentage of Missed Repair Appointments Met = (a / b) X 100</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Count of customer troubles met cleared by the quoted commitment date and time</li> <li>• b = Total customer trouble reports closed in the reporting period</li> </ul>	Change calculation to agree with change in definition

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
SQM Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark	Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dispatch/Non-Dispatch</li> <li>• CLEC Specific</li> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>• Geographic Scope</li> <li>• State</li> <li>• Region</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dispatch/Non-Dispatch</li> <li>• CLEC Specific</li> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>• Geographic Scope</li> <li>• State</li> <li>• Region</li> </ul>	Performance is monitored by state so regional report is unnecessary
SQM Level of Disaggregation		<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <p>Resale Residence {Non-Design}</p> <p>Resale Business {Non-Design}</p> <p>Resale Design</p> <p>Resale PBX</p> <p>Resale-Centrex</p> <p>Resale-ISDN</p> <p>2W UNE Analog Loop {Loop Design}</p> <p>2W UNE Analog Loop {Non-Design}</p>	<p>Streamline plan by eliminating product disaggregations with consistently low volume. These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results. They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected.</p> <p>Modify product categories so that each product is reported only once</p> <p>(Consolidated Disaggregation is the same for all M&amp;R measures where appropriate)</p>	
CTR Customer	Title	CTR Customer Trouble Report Rate	CTR Customer Trouble Report Rate	SQM measure identifier modified to facilitate better identification of metrics

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
Trouble Report Rate	Definition	This report measures the percentage of initial and repeated customer direct or referred customer troubles reported <u>closed</u> within a calendar month, per 100 lines served in service.		Wording clarification
Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trouble tickets canceled at the CLEC request</li> <li>BellSouth trouble reports lines associated with internal or administrative service</li> <li>Customer Provided Equipment (CPE) troubles or CLEC Equipment Troubles</li> <li>Informational Tickets</li> <li>Trouble Outcome of BellSouth's Control</li> </ul>		<p>Specifically state that informational tickets are not included. Since they are not trouble reports they have not been included in the measure</p> <p>BellSouth should not be held accountable for any troubles outside their control (for example cable cuts, acts of God, war etc)</p>	
Business Rules		Customer Trouble Report Rate contains all closed customer direct reports, including repeat reports as computed by <del>excluding the number of maintenance initial and repeated trouble reports during the reporting period. The resulting number of trouble reports are divided by the total "number of service" lines, ports or combinations that exist for the CLECs and BellSouth respectively at the end of the report month</del>		Wording clarification
Calculation		$\text{Customer Trouble Report Rate} = (a / b) \times 100$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Count of initial and repeated customer trouble reports closed in the current reporting period</li> <li>b = Number of Service-Access Lines in service at end of the reporting period</li> </ul>		Wording clarification
Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dispatch/Non-Dispatch</li> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State</li> <li>• Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			Performance is evaluated by state so regional report is unnecessary

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation - Analog/Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b>	<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Resale Residence (Non-Design)</li> <li>• Resale Business (Non-Design)</li> <li>• Resale Design</li> <li>• Resale PBX</li> <li>• Resale Center</li> <li>• Resale ISDN</li> <li>• 2W UNE Analog Loop (Design)</li> <li>• 2W UNE Analog Loop (Non-Design)</li> <li>• UNE Digital Loop &lt; DS1</li> <li>• UNE Digital Loop &gt;= DS1</li> <li>• UNE Loop + Port Combinations</li> <li>• LINE ELLs</li> <li>• UNE Switch Boxes</li> <li>• UNE Central-Other</li> <li>• UNE xDSL (HDSL, ADSL and UCL)</li> <li>• UNE ISDN</li> <li>• UNE Line Sharing Splitting</li> <li>• UNE Other Design</li> <li>• UNE Other Non-Design</li> <li>• Local Transport (Unbundled-Interface Transport)</li> <li>• Local Interconnection Trunks</li> </ul>	<p>Streamline plan by eliminating product disaggregations with consistently low volume These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected</p> <p>Modify product categories so that each product is reported only once</p> <p>(Consolidated Disaggregation is the same for all M&amp;R measures where appropriate.)</p>
MAD Maintenance Average Duration	Title	SEEM	Tier I	See SEEM Matrix for rationale
	Definition	SEEM	Tier II	<p>SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARI\$ measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics</p> <p>The measure is simply defined here, the start and stop times are based in the business rules and are unchanged</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trouble tickets canceled at the CLEC request</li> <li>BellSouth trouble reports associated with internal or administrative service</li> <li>Customer Provided Equipment (CPE) troubles or CLEC Equipment Troubles</li> <li>Internal trouble tickets</li> <li>Trouble outside of BellSouth's control</li> </ul>	<p>Specifically state that informational tickets are not included. Since they are not trouble reports they have not been included in the measure</p> <p>BellSouth should not be held accountable for any troubles outside their control (for example cable cuts, acts of God, war etc.)</p>
Business Rules			<p>For <del>every</del> [the] duration the check starts on the date and time of the receipt of the repair request information to a correct telephone number, trouble description etc. for the repair request. The check and stops on the date and time the service is restored and the BellSouth or CLEC customer is notified (when the technician completes the trouble ticket on his/her CAT or work systems)</p> <p>For tickets administered through WFA, CLECs, and BellSouth, durations do not include No Access, Delayed Maintenance and Referred Time.</p>	<p>Wording clarification</p> <p>Clarification to explain that this time has already been included in the source data received from WFA. BellSouth should not be penalized for this time, which is outside its control</p> <p>Performance is evaluated by state so regional report is unnecessary</p>
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dispatch/Non-Dispatch</li> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> </ul>	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b>	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b>	Streamline plan by eliminating product disaggregations with consistently low volume These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	Resale Residence (Non-Design)	Resale Residence (Non-Design)	Resale Residence (Non-Design)	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	Resale Business (No Design)	Resale Business (No Design)	Resale Business (No Design)	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	Resale POTS	Resale POTS	Resale POTS	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	Resale PBX	Resale PBX	Resale PBX	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	Resale Call Center	Resale Call Center	Resale Call Center	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	Resale ISDN	Resale ISDN	Resale ISDN	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	2W LINE Analog Loop (Design)	2W LINE Analog Loop (Design)	2W LINE Analog Loop (Design)	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	2W UINF Analog Loop (Non-Design)	2W UINF Analog Loop (Non-Design)	2W UINF Analog Loop (Non-Design)	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE Digital Loop < DS1	UNE Digital Loop < DS1	UNE Digital Loop < DS1	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE Digital Loop >= DS1	UNE Digital Loop >= DS1	UNE Digital Loop >= DS1	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE Loop + Port Combinations	UNE Loop + Port Combinations	UNE Loop + Port Combinations	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE ELLs	UNE ELLs	UNE ELLs	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE Shared Ports	UNE Shared Ports	UNE Shared Ports	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE Combo Other	UNE Combo Other	UNE Combo Other	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE xDSL (HDSL, ADSL and UCL)	UNE xDSL (HDSL, ADSL and UCL)	UNE xDSL (HDSL, ADSL and UCL)	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE ISDN	UNE ISDN	UNE ISDN	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE Line Sharing Splitting	UNE Line Sharing Splitting	UNE Line Sharing Splitting	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE Other Design	UNE Other Design	UNE Other Design	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	UNE Other Non-Design	UNE Other Non-Design	UNE Other Non-Design	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	Local Transport (Customer Premises Equipment - Transport)	Local Transport (Customer Premises Equipment - Transport)	Local Transport (Customer Premises Equipment - Transport)	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
	Local Interconnection Trunks	Local Interconnection Trunks	Local Interconnection Trunks	These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected
PRT	Title	(M&R-4) PR.1 Percent Repeat Customer Troubles within 30 Days	(M&R-4) PR.1 Percent Repeat Customer Troubles within 30 Days	Revised title to clarify that these are customer trouble reports
	Definition	Percent Customer Repeat Troubles within 30 Days measures the percent of customer troubles during the current reporting period that had at least one prior trouble ticket on the same line/service, anywhere in the proceeding 30 calendar days from the receipt of the current trouble report. This report measures the percentage of customer trouble reports received within thirty days of a previous report.	Definition simplification	
	Definition	Percent Customer Repeat Troubles within 30 Days measures the percent of customer troubles during the current reporting period that had at least one prior trouble ticket on the same line/service, anywhere in the proceeding 30 calendar days from the receipt of the current trouble report. This report measures the percentage of customer trouble reports received within thirty days of a previous report.	Definition simplification	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
		Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trouble tickets canceled at the CLEC request</li> <li>• BellSouth trouble reports associated with internal or administrative service</li> <li>• Customer Provided Equipment (CPE) Troubles or CLEC equipment troubles</li> <li>• International Tickets</li> <li>• Troubles outside of BellSouth's control</li> </ul>	<p>Specifically state that informational tickets are not included. Since they are not trouble reports they have not been included in the measure</p> <p>BellSouth should not be held accountable for any trouble outside their control (for example cable cuts, acts of God, war etc)</p>
Business Rules			<p>This measure includes Customer trouble reports considered for this report where are based on the same line/circuit, received within 30 days of an original customer trouble report. Candidates for this measure are determined by using either the 'cleared date' from L1 MOS or the 'closed date' from WFA of the first trouble, and the 'received date' of the next trouble</p>	<p>Clarification of the Business Rules</p> <p>Specified which M&amp;R systems use the 'cleared date' and 'closed date' language</p>
Calculation			<p><b>Percent Repeat Customer Troubles within 30 Days = (a / b) X 100</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Count of repeat customer troubles open <del>where the cleared date - where more than one trouble report was issued for the same service line</del>, within a continuous 30 day period</li> <li>• b = Count of Total customer trouble reports using the cleared date closed in the reporting period</li> </ul>	<p>Revised Calculation language to specify 'repeat' customer trouble reports and match the Business Rules</p> <p>Combined cleared date and closed date language, using closed in the reporting period</p>
Report Structure		Dispatch/Non-Dispatch	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Specific</li> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>• Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- State</li> <li>—— Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Performance is evaluated by state so regional report is unnecessary</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog Benchmark	SQM Level of Disaggregation	SQM Analog/Benchmark	Streamline plan by eliminating product disaggregations with consistently low volume. These low volumes render the measure virtually useless to evaluate performance. The products in the disaggregations that were removed will continue to be included in results. They will simply be part of another category instead of reported separately. Since the volumes are low, performance monitoring for either category would not be adversely affected.
	Resale Residence [Non-DSL signal]	Retail Residence [Non-DSL signal]		
	Resale Business [No Design]	Retail Business [No Design]		
	Resale Design	Retail Design		
	Resale PBX	Retail PBX		
	Resale Centerex	Retail Centerex		
	Resale ISDN	Retail ISDN		
	2W UNE Analog Loop [Design]	Retail Residence, and Business and Design (Dispatch)		
	2W INF Analog Loop [Non-Design]	Retail Residence and Business (POTS) (Exclusion of Line including Switch Based Feature Troubles)		(Consolidated Disaggregation is the same for all M&R measures where appropriate )
	UNE Digital Loop < DS1	Retail Digital Loop < DS1		
	UNE Digital Loop >= DS1	Retail Digital Loop >= DS1		
	UNE Loop + Port Combinations	Retail Residence and Business		
	UNE EELs	Retail DS1/DS3		
	LINE-Switch Ports	Retail Residence and Business (POTS)		
	LINE-Centrale Other	Retail Residence, Business and Design Dispatch		
	UNE xDSL (HDSL, ADSL, and UCL)	ADSL Provided to Retail		
	UNE ISDN	Retail ISDN – BR		
	UNE Line Sharing Utilizing	ADSL Provided to Retail		
	UNE Other Design	Retail Design Diagnostic		
	UNE Other Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business Diagnostic		
	Local Transport (Established Interface Transport)	Retail DS1/DS3 Interface		
	Local Interconnection Trunks	Retail with Retail Links		
M&R §	Delete Out of Service (OOS) > 24 Hours			Remove duplicate measures. Not required because it is simply another time distribution of the Maintenance Average Duration (MAD) measure.
				That measure provides in which product rollups the average exceeded 24 hours duration for a trouble report. Since maintenance durations greater than 24 hours normally involve an out of service condition, the information is actually captured in the MAD measure.

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
AAT Average Answer Times – Repair Centers	Title <del>(A&amp;R-6) AAT Average Answer Times – Repair Centers</del>			SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
Exclusions	Volume of Abandoned Calls			The volume of abandoned calls cannot be captured by the Automatic Call Distributors. However, the time that the abandoned call was in the queue is included in the total answer time
Business Rules	The duration <del>of each</del> starts when a CLEC representative or BellSouth customer makes a choice on the repair center's menu and is put in queue for the next repair attendant. <del>The</del> <del>and</del> <del>each</del> stops when the repair attendant answers the call. <del>Abandoned calls are not included in the volume of calls identified but are included in total seconds.</del>			Classification of Business rules to state that abandoned calls are not counted in the volume but the time is included
Note: <del>The Total Call Center is a combined BellSouth Residential and Business number</del>				
Calculation	Answer Time for BellSouth Repair Centers = (a - b)			Wording Clarification
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Time BellSouth repair attendant answers call</li> <li>• b = Time of entry into queue after ACD selection</li> </ul>			
	Average Answer Time for BellSouth Repair Centers = (c / d)			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• c = Sum of all answer times</li> <li>• d = Total number of calls by LSC reporting period</li> </ul>			
SQM Level of Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark	SQM Level of Disaggregation Region CLEC/BellSouth Service Centers and BellSouth Repair Centers are regional  <b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> For CLEC, Average Answer Times in UNE Center and BRMC are comparable to the Average Answer Times in the BellSouth Repair Centers			Wording clarification
	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> CLEC Average Answer Time _____ BellSouth Average Answer Time _____			

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
M&R-7			Delete Mean Time to Notify CLEC of Network Outages	<p>There are few CLECs who want this process anymore. When first implemented, 480 CLECs were on the notification list for the region. Now there are only 161 for the region, a 2/3 reduction. To the extent that there are network outages, these troubles are captured in other measurements. The process for sending the notification for CLECs and retail are similar. BellSouth will continue to offer this service to any customer who asks for their name to be put on the E-Mail list, but the measurement of this process is not necessary.</p>
BILLING	BIA Invoice Accuracy	Title	(#1) BIA Invoice Accuracy  This measure <del>provides</del> reports the percentage-of accuracy of the billing invoices rendered to CLECs during the current month by BellSouth to both wholesale and retail customers	<p>Wording Clarification</p>
		Definition		
		Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adjustments not related to billing errors (e.g., credits for service outage, special promotion credits, adjustments to satisfy the customer, adjustments as per agreements and/o settlements with CLEC, adjustments related to the implementation of regulatory mandated or so it ac: negotiated rate changes)</li> <li>• Test Accounts</li> </ul>	Necessary to exclude adjustments that are not billing 'errors'. Examples include pricing changes, bankruptcy settlements
		Business Rules	<p>The accuracy-of-billing invoices delivered by BellSouth to the CLEC must enable them to provide a degree-of-billing accuracy comparable to BellSouth bills rendered to retail customers of BellSouth. CLECs request adjustments on bills determined to be incorrect. The BellSouth Billing verification process includes manually analyzing a sample of local bills from each bill period. The bill verification process draws from a mix of different customer billing options and types of service. An end-to-end auditing process is performed for new products and services. Internal measurement controls are maintained on all billing processes. The CLEC-specific raw data file (which is available on the PMAP web site) will contain the number of bills and adjustments for the reporting month. The number of bills and bill adjustments will be displayed by QCA and/or ACNA. Absolute value of adjustment amounts related to billing errors appearing on the bill during the report month are used to compute revenue accuracy. All bill periods are included in a given month.</p>	<p>The proposed deletions describe the bill verification process and are thus process language does not belong in the business rules of a measurement. These deletions do have no bearing on the calculations.</p> <p>The inserted language clarifies the calculation</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		Calculation	<p>Invoice Accuracy = <math>[(a - b) / a] \times 100</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Absolute value of total billed revenues during current report month</li> <li>b = Absolute value of total billing error related adjustments during current report month</li> </ul> <p><b>Measure of Adjustments</b> = <math>(c - d) / c \times 100</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c = Number of Bills in current month</li> <li>d = Number of Billing-related-Adjustments in current month</li> </ul>	<p>Wording clarification</p> <p>Delete Measure of Adjustments- because it is not a meaningful measurement. There can be numerous adjustments to a single bill – all for valid reasons – and the result in this measurement is a negative number. As an example for the period Jan 2003 through May 2004, the Measure of Adjustment reported in FL ranged from a low of -7.65% to a high of 95% at the CLEC aggregate level</p>
	Report Structure		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLEC Specific</li> <li>CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>State</li> <li>Region</li> <li>Number of Adjustments</li> </ul>	<p>Reporting at State Level. Regional results are not useful for State Commission</p> <p>Deletion of Number adjustments – see above</p>
SQM Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark	SQM Level of Disaggregation		<p><b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b></p> <p>Party with BellSouth Retail Aggregate</p> <p>CLEC Invoice Accuracy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Product/Service Type</li> <li>Resale</li> <li>UNE</li> <li>Interconnection</li> </ul>	<p>Wording clarification Moved SQM disaggregation below</p> <p>To clarify CLEC and retail comparisons</p>
BTI Mean Time to Deliver Invoices	Title		<p><b>(B-2) BTI</b> Mean Time to Deliver Invoices</p>	<p>SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics</p>
	Definition		<p>This report measures the mean interval for timeliness of billing invoices sent to CLECs in agreed upon format. CRIS based invoices are measured in business days and CARS based invoices in calendar days delivered to USPS (U.S. Postal Service) or transmitted to the customer in an agreed upon format.</p>	<p>Wording Clarification and to delete portion of definition that is actually a business rule</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Business Rules		<p>Invoice timeliness is determined by calculating the interval between the bill period date and actual transmission or distribution of the invoice. Bill Period is calculated as follows: CRIS BILLS * The number of workdays + n - reported for CRIS bills. This is calculated by counting the Bill Period date as the first workday. Weekends and holidays are excluded when counting workdays. The BILLS are counted in the CRIS work-day category for the purposes of the measurement since there will be a greater number of weekend days reported from the CRIS system. To determine the number of workdays begin counting the bill period date as the first workday in the bill period date if the bill period date is a weekend or holiday. The invoice transmission date is counted as the last workday. If voice transmission date is the workday the invoice is delivered to the Post Office or transmitted to the customer. CLEC bills and BellSouth bills transmitted in less than or equal to one day difference will be considered part of the number of calendar days reported for CABs bills. This is calculated by counting the day following the Bill Period date as the first calendar day. Weekends and holidays are included when counting the calendar days.</p>	<p>Revised to more clearly state the calculation of invoice timeliness and to remove the separate language for CRIS and CABs bills. This business rule would apply to both.</p> <p>Evaluation of parity should be changed to bills delivered in <math>n \leq 1</math> day difference will be considered parity. Under the current calculation the difference between CLEC and retail is frequently a fraction of one day. This numeric difference is not material nor does it reflect a material difference in customer service.</p>
Calculation			<p><b>Invoice Timeliness = (a - b)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a = Invoice Transmission Date</li> <li>• b = Close Date of Scheduled Bill Cycle Period Date</li> </ul> <p><b>Mean Time to Deliver Invoices = (c / d)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• c = Sum of all invoice timeliness intervals</li> <li>• d = Count of invoices transmitted in reporting period</li> </ul>	<p>The "b" term reworded to clarify the bill cycle close date</p>
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Specific           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>• Geographic Scope</li> <li>• State</li> <li>• Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Reporting at State Level    Regional results are Not useful for State Commission</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> → Product/Hardware Type → State	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b>	Wording clarification to more clearly show the how CLEC results are compared to retail results
		The average delivery intervals are compared as follows:		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Resale CRLs</li> <li>• UNE CRLs</li> <li>• Interconnection CARs</li> </ul>	Retail CRLs Retail CRLs Retail CARs	
		<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> → CLEC Average Delivery Intervals for both CRLS and CARs. <del>Intervals are comparable to BellSouth Average delivery for both systems.</del>		
B-3		Delete Usage Data Delivery Accuracy measure		Not a key measurement since it captures the accuracy of the packs, not the content of the packs
B-4		Delete Usage Data Delivery Completeness		Delete as duplicative. This measurement is similar to B-5. Both measure Usage Data Delivery, but at different points. B-4 measures at 30 days, B-5 measures at 6 days. Both are not needed.
UDDT Usage Data Delivery Timeliness	Title	(B-5) UDDT Usage Data Delivery Timeliness	SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics	SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
	Definition	<del>This measure provides a percentage of total measures recorded usage data <del>transferred by BellSouth and usage recorded by other companies and sent to BellSouth for billing</del> that is delivered to the appropriate CLEC within six (6) calendar days from the receipt of the initial recording. A penalty measure is also provided showing timeliness of BellSouth messages processed and transmitted via CHADS. Timeliness, Completeness, and Mean Time to Deliver Usage measures are reported on the same report.</del>	Wording clarification	The last sentence referring to a retail comparison is not appropriate for this measurement which uses a benchmark
	Business Rules	<del>The purpose of this measurement is to demonstrate the level of timeliness for processing and transmission of usage data delivered to the appropriate CLEC. The usage data will be mechanically transferred or mailed to the CLEC data processing center once daily. The timeliness interval of usage recorded by other companies is measured from the date BellSouth receives the records to the date BellSouth distributes to the CLEC. Method of delivery is at the option of the CLEC</del>	Wording clarification to remove 'definition-type' language from the business rules	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• CLEC Specific</li> <li>• Geographic Scope</li> <li>- Region</li> </ul>		Wording clarification
SQM Level of Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark				Clarification
B-6	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> <del>Region Usage Data Delivery Timeliness</del>	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> <del>&gt;= 95% Delivered within 6 <u>Sim</u> Calendar Days</del>		Should be eliminated. This measure is directly correlated to B-5 timeliness. B-5 measures % in 6 days and B-4 measures % in 30 days. B-6 is average days to deliver, but is not measuring anything additional that is meaningful.
B-7	Delete Mean Time to Deliver Usage			B-7 and B-8 do not have a significant meaning to the CLEC or to the Commission. BellSouth does not bill the CLEC's end user and BellSouth's recurring and non-recurring charges have little, if any, impact on the CLEC's billing to the end user.
B-8	Delete Recurring Charge Completeness			Both of these measurements pertain to getting the billing initiated when service is installed. If there is a problem, and the data for this measurement shows that there is not, the problem is self-correcting since BellSouth has the incentive to initiate billing commensurate with the installation of service.
B-9	Delete Non-Recurring Charge Completeness			See B-7
	Delete Percent Daily Usage Feed Errors Corrected in "X" Business Days			This measure consistently has had no activity in the last 12 months

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
	B-10		Delete Percent Billing Errors Corrected in "X" Business Days	As Staff is aware, there is significant volume in this measurement, but the dollar value of most of this volume is very small. While it is in the interest of the CLECs and BellSouth to resolve large billing disputes quickly, this measurement evaluates all disputes equally, regardless of the value.
				BellSouth is willing to consider another dispute timeliness metric
Operator Services and Directory Assistance	GS-1	Delete Speed to Answer Performance / Average Speed to Answer - Toll	These measures are Party By Design. The architecture of the operator services processes and network are such that BellSouth handles retail and CLEC customers the same way. The KPMG audit in Georgia and Florida confirmed that this process is party by design.	
	GS-2	Delete Speed to Answer Performance / Percent Answered within "X" Seconds - Toll	See OS-1	
	DA-1	Delete Speed to Answer Performance / Average Speed to Answer - Directory Assistance (DA)	See OS-1	
	DA-2	Delete Speed to Answer Performance / Percent Answered within "X" Seconds - Directory Assistance (DA)	See OS-1	
Database Update Information	D-1	Delete Average Database Update Interval	Delete this measure because the update process is essentially parity by design. The intervals for Directory Assistance and LIIDB are the same or within 1 or 2 hundredths of an hour for both BST and CLEC. As an example, service order numbers are not assigned so as to identify it as a BST order or a CLEC order. As an order is completed it flows to the respective systems to be updated. The orders are not sorted, identified, or updated in any way that gives preference to any particular order. This measure has been verified as parity by design by a KPMG audit.	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
D-2			Delete Percent Database Update Accuracy	Should be deleted since the accuracy of databases is also being assessed by the mechanized service order accuracy measurement
D-3			Delete Percent NXXs and LRNs Loaded by the LERG Effective Date	This is not a key measurement and BellSouth's performance has been excellent. For example, BellSouth has achieved a 100% benchmark on this measure for the last 12 months. To the extent that there are problems with loading NXX and LRNs, these problems would affect the M&R measurements. Lastly, the CLECs are not interested in this metric as recent statistics shows CLECs rarely view this measure [From 11/03 through 2/04 only 12% of CLECs took the opportunity to view this report.]
E911	E-4		Delete Timeliness	This measurement should be eliminated because the E911 processes, including those measured by Timeliness, Accuracy and Mean Interval are Party By Design. KPMG confirmed that it was party by design in the GA and FL audits
E22			Delete Accuracy	See E-1 above
	E-3		Delete Mean Interval	See E-1 above
Trunk Group Performance	TGPA Trunk Group Performance Aggregate	Title	(TGP-1) TGPA Trunk Group Performance Aggregate	BellSouth is proposing to combine the current TGP-1 (aggregate) and TGP-2 (CLEC Specific) measures into one measurements with an Aggregate and CLEC-specific dimension – similar to many ordering, provisioning and M&R metrics. By deleting Aggregate from the title, this will allow for combining of TGP-1 (Aggregate) and TGP-2 (CLEC specific) in one measure and still provide the same data
		Definition	The Trunk-Group-Performance-report-displays, over-a-reporting-cycle, aggregate, average-trunk-group-blocking-data-for each-hour-of-each-day-of-the-reporting-cycle, for both CLEC-affecting-and-BellSouth-affecting-trunk-groups.	Clarification and simplification of the definition to remove language that already appears (and is better suited) to the SQM sections for business rules and reporting structure
			This measure, termed Trunk Group Blocking performances for both BellSouth and CLEC,	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
		Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trunk groups blocked due to unanticipated significant increases in CLEC traffic (<i>An unanticipated significant increase in CLEC traffic is indicated by a 10% increase for small trunk groups of 1800 CCS for future 6 months traffic when the increase was not forecasted by the CLEC</i>)</li> <li>• Orders <del>delayed or refused by CLEC</del></li> <li>• Trunk groups for which <del>there was no</del> valid data is not available for an entire study period</li> <li>• Duplicate trunk group information</li> <li>• Trunk groups blocked due to CLEC network/equipment failure</li> <li>• Final groups actually overflowing, not blocked</li> </ul>	Wording clarification to better define 'significant increase'.
		Business Rules	<p>The purpose of the Trunk Group Performance report is to provide trunk blocking measurements on CLEC and BellSouth trunk groups for comparison only. It is not the intent of the report that it be used for network management and/or engineering. <del>BellSouth should notify the CLEC when such blocking meets the exclusion criteria for orders that are delayed or refused by the CLEC and report the results, both with and without the exclusions. An unanticipated significant increase in traffic is indicated by a 20% increase for small trunk groups of 1800 CCS for large groups over the previous 6 months traffic when the increase was not forecasted by the CLEC.</del></p>	<p>The third sentence is deleted. This is a BellSouth operational practice. It is not a measurement issue and does not affect the measurement.</p> <p>The fourth sentence is already captured in the exclusions. Removal eliminates duplicative language.</p>
		Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CLEC Specific</li> <li>• CLEC Aggregate</li> <li>• BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>• Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- State</li> <li>- <del>With and Without Exclusions for Orders Delayed or Refused by CLEC</del></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>By adding CLEC Specific this allows for the deletion of 'GP-2 Trunk Group Performance-CLEC Specific'</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> CLEC Aggregate and LEC Specific	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> BellSouth Aggregate Any consecutive 2 consecutive hours <del>performed</del> in a 24-hour period where CLEC blockage exceeds BellSouth blockage by more than 0.5% using trunk groups 1, 3, 4, 5, 10 <del>in tier 1, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16</del> (where applicable) and 16 for BellSouth Any consecutive 2 hour periods in 24 hours where CLEC blockage exceeds BellSouth blockage by more than 0.5% using trunk group 1, 3, 4, 5, 10, <del>and 16</del> for CLECs and tier BellSouth	By adding CLEC Specific this allows for the deletion of TGP-2 Trunk Group Performance – CLEC Specific
	SEEM Measure	<b>SEEM</b> Yes	<b>Tier I</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Tier II</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	By adding Tier for CLEC Specific this allows for the deletion of TGP-2 Trunk Group Performance – CLEC Specific
TGP-2		Delete Trunk Group Performance – CLEC Specific		Combine this data with TGP-1 as noted above
Collocation	ART Collocation Average Response Time	Title	<del>(C-1) ART Collocation Average Response Time</del>	SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PAMS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
	Definition		This report measures the average time (calculated in calendar days) from the date BellSouth receives a complete and accurate collocation application (including receipt of application fee required to the date BellSouth returns a response) or in writing BellSouth fails to respond to whether or not space is available within the required number of calendar days as designated by the customer after having received a bona fide application for physical collocation. BellSouth must respond with space availability and a price quote	Wording clarification
	Business Rules		The <del>clock-started interval begins</del> on the date that BellSouth receives a complete and accurate collocation application accompanied by the appropriate application fee if required. The <del>clock</del> interval stops on the date that BellSouth returns a response. The <del>clock</del> interval will restart upon receipt of changes to the original application request	Wording clarification There really is no 'clock' associated with this measurement Interval is a more suitable term

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Individual CLEC (<del>all</del>-aggregate) Specific</li> <li>CLC Aggregate of all CLECs</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>State</li> </ul>		Wording clarification Wording clarification
SQM Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Virtual – State Virtual</li> <li>Virtual – Physical Caged Augment</li> <li>Virtual – Physical Cageless</li> <li>Physical Caged <del>and</del> Virtual Augment</li> <li>Physical Caged Augment</li> <li>Physical Cageless Initial</li> <li>Physical Cageless Augment</li> </ul>	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> <del>Virtual – 15 Calendar Days</del> <del>Physical Caged – 15 Calendar Days</del> <del>Physical Cageless – 15 Calendar Days</del> <del>15 Calendar Days</del> <del>15 Calendar Days</del> <del>15 Calendar Days</del>	Wording clarification to change "State" to "Virtual." "State" is not a disaggregation classification of SQM Disaggregation structure
AT Collocation Average Arrangement Time	Title	(C-2) AT Collocation Average Arrangement Time		SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
Definition	This report measures the average time (earliest to latest calendar day) from receipt of a complete and accurate bona fide firm order (including appropriate fee if required) to the date BellSouth completes the collocation arrangement and notifies the CLEC. Performance in notifying a collocation arrangement.		Revision removes a phrase more appropriate for a Business Rule from the definition	Revision removes a phrase more appropriate for a Business Rule from the definition
Exclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any bona fide firm order canceled by the CLEC</li> <li>A new bona fide firm order with a CLEC negotiated interval longer than the benchmark interval.</li> </ul>		If the CLEC requests an interval outside the benchmark, a miss should not be counted	If the CLEC requests an interval outside the benchmark, a miss should not be counted
Business Rules	The check starts in interval for collocation, transmission, begins on the date that BellSouth receives a complete and accurate bona fide firm order accompanied by the appropriate fee, if required, and ends. The check steps on the date that BellSouth completes the collocation arrangement and notifies the CLEC. The table associated with the specific settlement request will be provided prior to completion of the arrangement.		Wording revision to substitute interval for clock – more appropriate for this measurement. Some collocation requests do not require a fee. Last sentence deleted because it is a business practice that is in the individual CLEC's contract and should not be part of the measurement.	Wording revision to substitute interval for clock – more appropriate for this measurement. Some collocation requests do not require a fee. Last sentence deleted because it is a business practice that is in the individual CLEC's contract and should not be part of the measurement.
Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Individual CLEC (<del>all</del>-aggregate) Specific</li> <li>CLC Aggregate of all CLECs</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>State</li> </ul>			Wording clarification

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
SQM Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Virtual Initial Virtual Final</del> Augment (without space increase)</li> <li>Virtual-Augment (<del>with</del>) <del>Space Increase</del>)</li> <li>Physical Caged-Initial (Ornate)</li> <li>Physical Caged-Augment (without space increase)</li> <li>Physical Caged-Augment (with space increase)</li> <li>Physical Cageless-Initial</li> <li>Physical Cageless-Augment (with space increase)</li> <li>Physical Cageless-Augment (<del>with</del> space increase)</li> </ul>	<del>Vinitial—60 Calendar Days (Without Space Increase)</del> <del>Vfinal—60 Calendar Days (Without Space Increase)</del> <del>Physical-Caged—90 Calendar Days (Ornate)</del> <del>Physical-Caged-Augment—45 Calendar Days (Without Space Increase)</del> <del>Physical-Caged-Augment—90 Calendar Days (With Space Increase)</del> <del>Physical-Cageless—90 Calendar Days Physical-Cageless-Augment—45 Calendar Days (Without Space Increase)</del> <del>Physical-Cageless-Augment—90 Calendar Days (With Space Increase)</del>	Revised wording and line spacing to more closely conform to FL Order PSC-03-0011-COTP
PMDD Collocation Percent of Due Dates Missed	Title	(C-3) PMDD Collocation Percent of Due Dates Missed		SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
	Definition	This report measures the percentage of missed due dates for both <del>virtual and physical</del> collocation arrangements		Wording clarification to broaden measurement definition to include all collocation arrangements. The disaggregations are listed below
Business Rules		Percent Due Dates Missed is the percentage of total collocation arrangements which BellSouth is unable to complete by <del>end-of-the BellSouth committed due date</del> <del>The arrangement(s) considered a missed due date before the committed due date</del>		Wording clarification The deleted sentence is redundant
Calculation		% Percent of Due Dates Missed = $(a / b) \times 100$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Number of completed <del>orders</del> collocation arrangements that were not completed by <del>the BellSouth</del></li> <li>committed due date <del>during in the reporting period</del></li> <li>b = total number of <del>orders</del> collocation requests completed in the reporting period</li> </ul>	Wording clarification

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change														
	Report Structure		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Individually CLEC Specific (has) aggregate</li> <li>CLTC Aggregate of all CLECs</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>State</li> </ul>	Wording clarification														
SQM Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark	SQM Virtual		<p>State Virtual</p> <table> <tr><td>Physical</td><td>&gt;= 95% on time</td></tr> <tr><td>Virtual-Initial</td><td>&gt;= 95% on time</td></tr> <tr><td>Virtual- Augment</td><td>&gt;= 95% on time</td></tr> <tr><td>Physical Caged- Initial</td><td>&gt;= 95% on time</td></tr> <tr><td>Physical Caged- Augment</td><td>&gt;= 95% on time</td></tr> <tr><td>Physical Cageless- Initial</td><td>&gt;= 95% on time</td></tr> <tr><td>Physical Cageless- Augment</td><td>&gt;= 95% on time</td></tr> </table>	Physical	>= 95% on time	Virtual-Initial	>= 95% on time	Virtual- Augment	>= 95% on time	Physical Caged- Initial	>= 95% on time	Physical Caged- Augment	>= 95% on time	Physical Cageless- Initial	>= 95% on time	Physical Cageless- Augment	>= 95% on time	Disaggregation and benchmark clarification
Physical	>= 95% on time																	
Virtual-Initial	>= 95% on time																	
Virtual- Augment	>= 95% on time																	
Physical Caged- Initial	>= 95% on time																	
Physical Caged- Augment	>= 95% on time																	
Physical Cageless- Initial	>= 95% on time																	
Physical Cageless- Augment	>= 95% on time																	
Change Management	CMN Title	(CMN → CMN Timeliness of Change Management Notices)	<p>This report measures whether CLECs receive required software release notices on time to prepare for BellSouth interface/system changes so CLEC interfaces are not impaired by change. The CCP is used by BellSouth and the CLECs to manage reduced chances to the BellSouth local interfaces.</p> <p>Exclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changes to release dates for reasons outside BellSouth control, such as the system software vendor changes—For example a patch to fix a software problem</li> <li>Type 6 Change Requests (Defects/Expedites), as defined by the Change Control Process (CCP)</li> </ul> <p>Business Rules</p> <p><small>This section is designed to measure the percent of change management notices sent to the CLECs according to established standards and timelines set forth in the Change Control Process. The CCP is used by BellSouth and the CLECs to manage requested changes to the BellSouth Local Interfaces. The check starts [interval] days on the notification date—the check stops [interval] days on the software release date. When project events occur (scope changes, analysis information, etc.), the software release date may change. A revised notification would be required and the check interval would restart. Based on release constraints for defects/expedites, notification may be less than the agreed upon interval in the CCP for new features.</small></p>	<p>SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics</p> <p>Wording clarification, primarily to add a definition of the CCP which is used elsewhere in the Change Management metrics. This definition has been moved from the business rules section</p> <p>Minor wording clarification</p> <p>Wording clarification</p>														

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
(CM4-2)	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> • Region-to-office	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> 98% on time	Wording Clarification
CMD	Title	Delete Change Management Notice Average Delay Days	(CM4-3) CMD Timelessness of <del>Documents</del> Documentation Associated with Change	CM-2 is not needed because it only measures those notices missed in the CM-1 measurement above. In order for any activity to appear in this measurement, it has to have failed CM-1. Therefore it is duplicative
CMD	Definition	This report measures whether CLECs received requirements or business rule documentation on time to prepare for BellSouth interface/system changes so CLEC interfaces are not impaired by change. The CCP is used by BellSouth and the CLECs to mitigate required changes to the BellSouth local interfaces.	This report measures whether CLECs received requirements or business rule documentation on time to prepare for BellSouth interface/system changes so CLEC interfaces are not impaired by change. The CCP is used by BellSouth and the CLECs to mitigate required changes to the BellSouth local interfaces.	Wording clarification, primarily to add a definition of the CCP which is used elsewhere in the Change Management metrics. This definition has been moved from the business rules section
Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation for release dates that ship less than 30 days for reasons outside BellSouth's control, such as changes due to Regulatory mandate, a change mandated by regulatory or legal entities (Federal Communications Commission/FCC), a state commission/authority or state and federal health or CLEC request</li> <li>Type 6 Change Requests (Defects/Expedites), as defined by the Change Control Process</li> </ul>		Wording clarification
Business Rules		The <del>check</del> - <del>start</del> interval begins on the date the business rule documentation is released. The <del>check</del> - <del>stop</del> and ends on the software release date. When project events occur (scope changes, analysis information, etc.), the software release date may change. Revisions to documentation could be required and the <del>check</del> interval would restart.  <del>This metric is designed to measure the percentage of business rule documentation sent to the CLECs according to all documentation standards and timeframes set forth and be found in the Change Control Process, except for which can be found at the Interconnection website, i.e. (<a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/markets/icc/cpn_live/index.htm">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/markets/icc/cpn_live/index.htm</a>) The CCP is used by BellSouth and the CLECs to manage requested changes to the BellSouth Local Interfaces.</del>	Clarified the determination of time calculation Interval is a more appropriate term than clock for this metric  Moved CCP definition from Business Rules section to Definition	
Calculation		Timelessness of <del>Documents</del> Documentation Associated with Change = (a / b) X 100	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Change Management documentation sent within required timeframes after notices</li> <li>b = Total number of Change Management documentation sent</li> </ul>	Change calculation title to match measurement title change

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	SQM Disaggregation - Analog / Benchmark	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> Region-Discernment	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> 98% on Time	Wording Clarification
{CM-4}		Delete Change Management Documentation Average Delay Days		CM-4 is not needed because it only measures those documentation releases missed in the CM-3 measurement above. In order for any activity to appear in this measurement, it has to have failed CM-3. Therefore it is duplicative
ION	Title Notification of CLEC Interface Outages	{CM-5} ION Notification of CLEC Interface Outages	This report measures the time it takes BellSouth to notify the CLECs of an <del>outage-of-an</del> interface outage as defined by the Change Control Process (CCP) documentation.	SQM measure identifier modified to facilitate better identification of metrics
Business Rules	Definition	This measure measures the process of notifying CLECs of an <del>interface-outage-as-defined-by-the-Change-Control-Process</del> <del>outage</del> <del>of an interface</del> notification. BellSouth has 15 minutes to notify the CLECs via email, once the Help Desk has verified the existence of an outage. An outage is verified to exist when one or more of the following conditions occur	This measure measures the process of notifying CLECs of an <del>interface-outage-as-defined-by-the-Change-Control-Process</del> <del>outage</del> <del>of an interface</del> notification. BellSouth has 15 minutes to notify the CLECs via email, once the Help Desk has verified the existence of an outage. An outage is verified to exist when one or more of the following conditions occur	Wording clarification to better define an outage.
		1 BellSouth can duplicate a CLEC reported system error 2 BellSouth finds an error message within the system error log that identically matches a CLEC reported system outage 3 When 3 or more CLECs report the identical type of outage 4 BellSouth detects a problem due to the loss of functionality for users of a system	1 BellSouth can duplicate a CLEC reported system error 2 BellSouth finds an error message within the system error log that identically matches a CLEC reported system outage 3 When 3 or more CLECs report the identical type of outage 4 BellSouth detects a problem due to the loss of functionality for users of a system	Wording clarification per the KPMG Florida Exception 81 and to change clock to interval which is a more appropriate term for this measurement
		<b>Note-</b> The 15-minute <del>interval</del> begins once a CLEC reported <del>outage</del> or BellSouth detected outage has lasted for 20 minutes and has been verified. If the outage is not verified within 20 minutes, the <del>interval</del> begins at the point of verification	<b>Note-</b> The 15-minute <del>interval</del> begins once a CLEC reported <del>outage</del> or BellSouth detected outage has lasted for 20 minutes and has been verified. If the outage is not verified within 20 minutes, the <del>interval</del> begins at the point of verification	
PSEC	Title Percentage of Software Errors Corrected in "X", Business Days	{CM-6} PSLC Percentage of Software Errors Corrected in "X" {40-30-45} Business Days	This report measures the percentage of all outstanding software errors, due and overdue, to be-corrected by BellSouth in "X" {40-30-45} business days within the <del>monthly</del> report period	SQM measure identifier modified to facilitate better identification of metrics
	Definition			Wording clarification

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
	Business Rules		<p>This metric is designed to measure BellSouth's performance each month in correcting identified software errors within the specified interval. The check starts after day 15 when a Software Error is validated per the Change Control Process (CCP) copy of which can be found at <a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/interconnection/index.html">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/interconnection/index.html</a>, and stops ends when the error is corrected and the notice is posted to the change control website. Currently X business days is defined in the CCP's 10 = Severity 2, 30 = Severity 3, and 45 = Severity 4. The current intervals for this measure will be consistent with the intervals set in the CCP. A copy of the most current CCP can be found on the Interconnection website (<a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/interconnection/index.html">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/interconnection/index.html</a>). The monthly report should include all defects, due and overdue, to be corrected within the report period. Software defects are defined as Type 6 Change Requests in the Change Control Process.</p>	First sentence is a definition, not a business rule Remaining changes are for clarification
Calculation			<p><b>Percentage of Software Errors Corrected in "X" (10-30-45) Business Days = (a / b) X 100</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Total number of software errors corrected where in "X" = 10-30-or-45-business days as defined for each severity level. Severity 2, Severity 3, and Severity 4</li> <li>b = Total number of Severity 2, Severity 3, and Severity 4 software errors requiring correction where "X" = 10-30-or-45-Business-Days as defined</li> </ul>	Wording clarification
Report Structure			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Severity 2 = 10 Business Days</li> <li>Severity 3 = 30 Business Days</li> <li>Severity 4 = 45 Business Days</li> <li>Geographic Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Region</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Report Structure changed to region since this software errors are resolved for the region
SQM Disaggregation – Analog Benchmark			<p><b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> Region</p>	Wording clarification
PCRAR Percentage of Change Requests Accepted or Rejected	Title		<p><b>PCRAR Percentage of Change Requests Accepted or Rejected Within 10 Days</b></p>	PCRAR Percentage of Change Requests Accepted or Rejected Within 10 Days
	Definition		<p>This report measures the percentage of change requests other than Type 1 or Type 6 Change Requests, submitted by CECs that are accepted or rejected by BellSouth on 10 business days within the report period</p>	PCRAR Percentage of Change Requests Accepted or Rejected Within 10 Days
	Exclusions		<p>Change requests that are canceled or withdrawn before a response from BellSouth is due</p>	Wording clarification

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
Rejected Within 10 Days	Business Rules	The acceptance/rejection interval starts when the acknowledgement is due to the CLEC per the Change Control Process, a copy of which can be found at the interconnection website ( <a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/markets/icc/crp/live/index.html">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/markets/icc/crp/live/index.html</a> ). The <del>start</del> interval ends when BellSouth issues an acceptance or rejection notice to the CLEC. This metric includes all change requests not subject to the above exclusions that have been responded to within <del>not just those received and accepted or rejected in the same reporting period</del> .	<b>Percentage of Change Requests Accepted or Rejected within 10 Business Days = <math>(a / b) \times 100</math></b>	Wording clarification
Report Structure		BellSouth Aggregate Geographic Scope - Region	Report Structure changed to region since this process is at the region level	
SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark Title	<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b> Report Requests Accepted/Rejected	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> 95% within Interval		Wording clarification
PCRR Percent Change Requests Rejected	(CRA-8) PCRR Percent Change Requests Rejected Definition	This report measures the percentage of change requests (other than Type 1 or Type 6 Change Requests) submitted by CLECs that are rejected <del>by-seaon</del> within the report period	Wording clarification. The words 'by reason' are being eliminated from the definition as it is more appropriately addressed in the business rules and the disaggregation.	SQM measure identifier modified to facilitate better identification of metrics
Business Rules	Exclusions	• Change requests <del>that are</del> canceled or withdrawn before a response from BellSouth is due		Wording clarification
			This metric includes any rejected change requests in the reporting period, regardless of whether received early or late. The metric will be disaggregated by major categories of rejections per the Change Control Process, a copy of which can be found at the interconnection website ( <a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/markets/icc/crp/live/index.html">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/markets/icc/crp/live/index.html</a> ). These reasons are cost, technical feasibility, and industry direction. This metric includes all change requests not subject to the above exclusions that have been responded to within <del>not just those received and accepted or rejected in the same reporting period</del> .	Wording clarification

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change						
	Calculation	Percent Change Requests Rejected = $(a / b) \times 100$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Total number of change requests rejected</li> <li>b = Total number of change requests submitted responded to within the reporting period</li> </ul>	Wording clarification						
Report Structure		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>Cost</li> <li>Technical Feasibility</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>Region</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report Structure changed to region since this process is at the region level</li> </ul>							
SQM Level of Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	Reason	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Diagnostic</td> <td>Diagnostic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Diagnostic</td> <td>Diagnostic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Diagnostic</td> <td>Diagnostic</td> </tr> </table>	Diagnostic	Diagnostic	Diagnostic	Diagnostic	Diagnostic	Diagnostic		Wording clarification
Diagnostic	Diagnostic									
Diagnostic	Diagnostic									
Diagnostic	Diagnostic									
NDPR Number of Defects in Production Releases (Type 6 CR)	Title	({EM-9}) DPR Number of Defects in Production Releases (Type 6 CR)		SQM measure identifier modified to facilitate better identification of metrics						
Definition		This report measures the number of defects in production releases. This measure will be presented as the number of Type 6 Severity 4 defects, the number of Type 6 Severity 3 defects without a mechanized work around, and the number of Type 6 Severity 2 defects resulting within a three week period from a production release date. The definition of Type 6 Change Requests (CR) and Severity 4, Severity 3, and Severity 2 defects can be found in the Change Control Process document.	<p>Wording changes to correct a mistake in the labeling the severity defects. The current definition specifies Severity 1, 2, and 3. However Severity 1 defects are actually system outages, not defects in production releases.</p> <p>Defects in production releases are Severity 2, 3, 4</p>							
Business Rules		This metric measures the number of Type 6 Severity 4 defects, the number of Type 6 Severity 3 defects without a mechanized work around, and the number of Type 6 Severity 2 defects resulting within a three week period from a production release date. The definitions of Type 6 Change Requests (CR) and Severity 4, 3, and 2 defects can be found in the Change Control Process, which can be found on the Interconnection website <a href="http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/markets/icc/cp/live/index.html">http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/markets/icc/cp/live/index.html</a> .	Corrects the Severity level numbers							
Calculation		The number of Type 6 Severity 4 defects, the number of Type 6 Severity 3 defects without a mechanized work around, and the number of Type 6 Severity 2 defects	Additional classification of the CCP							
Report Structure		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Production Releases</li> <li>Number of Type 6 Severity 4 defects</li> <li>Number of Type 6 Severity 3 defects without a mechanized work around</li> <li>Number of Type 6 Severity 2 defects</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>Region</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corrects the Severity level numbers</li> <li>Noted that this is a regional metric</li> </ul>							

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain	Measurement	Section	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		<b>SQM Level of Disaggregation</b>	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b>	Corrects the Severity level numbers
SV Software Validation	Title	Region—Number of Type 6 Severity 1 Defects Region—Number of Type 6 Severity 2 Defects Without a mechanized workflow Region—Number of Type 6 Severity 3 Defects	0 Defects 0 Defects without a mechanized workflow 0 Defects	
Business Rules	Definition	<del>(CAVE-14) SV Software Validation</del>	SQM measure identifier modified to facilitate better identification of metrics	
		BellSouth maintains a test deck of transactions that are used to validate that functionality in software production releases works as designed. Each transaction in the test deck is assigned a weight factor which is based on the weights that have been assigned to the metrics. Within the software validation metric, weight factors will be allocated among transaction types (e.g. Pre-Order, Order Resale, Order UNE-P) and then equally distributed across transactions within the specific type.	Wording clarification	
		BellSouth will begin to execute the software validation test deck within one (1) business day following a production release. Test deck transactions will be executed using production release software in the CAVE environment. Within seven (7) business days following completion of the production release software validation test in CAVE, BellSouth will report the number of test deck transactions that failed. Each failed transaction will be multiplied by the transaction's weight factor.	The test deck scenario weight table can be found in the Change Control Process, a copy of which can be found at the <a href="http://www.interconnection.ws/hst">Interconnection.ws/hst</a> (http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/markets/ec/cp_live/index.html)	Report Structure changed to region since this process is at the region level
Report Structure	SQM Disaggregation – Analog / Benchmark	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>Geographic Scope</li> <li>Region</li> </ul>	<b>SQM Analog/Benchmark</b> $\leq 5\%$	Wording clarification

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
FCRIP Percentage of Change Requests Implemented within 60 Weeks of Prioritization	Title	(EM-14) FCRIP Percentage of Change Requests Implemented within 60 Weeks of Prioritization	This report measures whether BellSouth provides CLECs timely implementation of prioritized change requests.	SQM measure identifier modified to insure consistency with the PARIS measure identifiers and facilitate better identification of metrics
Definition Exclusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change requests that are implemented later than 60 weeks with the consent of the CLECs</li> <li>Change requests where BellSouth has regulatory authority to exceed the interval</li> </ul>		Wording clarification
Business Rules		<p><del>This metric is designed to measure BellSouth's monthly performance in fulfilling prioritized change requests. The week starts interval when a first catch change request begins, which it has first been prioritized as described in the Change Control Process, and ends the week when the change request has been implemented by BellSouth and made available to the CLECs. BellSouth will begin reporting this monthly measure with the next release for diagnostic purposes and will be measured for SEMA purposes 60 weeks from first prioritization meeting following completion of this measure.</del></p>	<p>First sentence eliminated as it is not a business rule. Remaining changes are proposed as the language in the original measurement, when first ordered by the FL PSC, is no longer needed in the future</p>	
Calculation		<p><u>Percentage of Type 5 CLEC Initiated Change Requests Implemented on Time = <math>(a / b) \times 100</math></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a = Total number of prioritized Type 5 Change Requests implemented within the data month having an implementation interval less than or equal to 60 weeks from the most recent release prioritization date <del>each month that are less than or equal to 60 weeks from the date of their first prioritization plus all other prioritized change requests existing at the end of the month that are less than or equal to 60 weeks after from prioritization</del></li> <li>b = All entries in "a" above plus all Type 5 Change Requests implemented within the data month <del>prioritized more than 60 weeks before the end of the monthly reporting period</del></li> </ul>	<p><u>Percentage of Type 4 BellSouth Initiated Change Requests Implemented on Time = <math>(c / d) \times 100</math></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c = Total number of prioritized Type 4 Change Requests implemented within the data month having an implementation interval less than or equal to 60 weeks from the release prioritization date <del>each month that are less than or equal to 60 weeks from the date of the release prioritization plus all other Type 4 prioritized change requests existing at the end of the month that are less than or equal to 60 weeks of age from prioritization</del></li> <li>d = Total number of prioritized Type 4 Change Requests implemented within the data month <del>entries in "a" above plus all Type 4 Change Requests prioritized more than 60 weeks before the end of the monthly reporting period</del></li> </ul>	

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
	Report Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• BellSouth Aggregate</li> <li>• Type 4 Requests Implemented</li> <li>• Type 5 Requests Implemented</li> <li>• % Percent Implemented within 16, 32, 48 and 60 weeks</li> <li>• Geographic Zone</li> <li>- Region</li> </ul>		Report Structure changed to region since this process is at the region level
SQM Level of Disaggregation – Analog/Benchmark	Region	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Type 4 Requests Implemented</li> <li>• Type 5 Requests Implemented</li> </ul>	95% within Interval 95% within Interval 95% within Interval	Wording clarification
SEEM Measure	<b>SEEM</b>	<b>Tier I</b> <b>Tier II</b>	Yes                  X	
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>Reporting Scope</b>	Delete Appendix A – Reporting Scope		
<b>Appendix B</b>	Glossary of Acronyms and Terms	The Glossary contains updates and corrections		

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Domain Appendix E	Measurement	Section BellSouth Audit Policy	Proposed Change	Rationale for Proposed Change
		<p><b>Appendix G: BellSouth Audit Policy</b></p> <p><b>C-1: BellSouth's Internal Audit Policy</b></p> <p>BellSouth's internal efforts to make certain that the reports produced by the PMAP platform are of the highest accuracy has been formalized into a Performance Measurements Quality Assurance Plan (PMQAP) that documents and augments existing quality assurance processes integral to the production and validation of Performance Measurement data. The plan consists of three sections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1—Change Control addresses the quality assurance steps involved in the introduction of new measurements and changes to existing measurements;</li> <li>2—Production addresses the quality assurance steps used to create monthly SQM reports;</li> <li>3—Monthly Validation addresses the quality assurance steps used to ensure accurate posting of monthly results.</li> </ul> <p>The BellSouth PMQAP will ensure that BellSouth effectively and consistently provides accurate performance measurements data for the activities included in the SQM. The BellSouth Internal Audit department will audit this plan and its quality assurance steps annually beginning in 4Q01.</p> <p><b>C-2: BellSouth's External Audit Policy</b></p> <p>BellSouth currently provides many CLECs with certain audit rights as a part of their individual interconnection agreements. However, it is not feasible for BellSouth to undergo an audit of its SQM for every CLEC with which it has a contract. BellSouth has developed a proposed Audit Plan for use by the parties to an audit. If requested by a Public Service Commission or by a CLEC exercising contractual audit rights, BellSouth will agree to undergo an comprehensive audit of its Performance Metrics Quality Assurance Plan (PMQAP) the <del>current year aggregate level</del> reports for both BellSouth and the CLECs every one year for each of the next five (5) years (2001–2005, 2005–2011) to be conducted by an independent third party auditor jointly selected by BellSouth and the CLEC. The results of audits will be made available to all the parties subject to proper safeguards to protect proprietary information. Requested <u>this aggregate level</u> audits includes the following specifications</p>		<p>These revisions conform to the audit plan discussed with the FL PSC Staff</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
	BellSouth Audit Policy		<p>1 The cost shall be borne <del>50%</del> by BellSouth and <del>50%</del> by the CLECs or CLECs expressing their contractual rights. If no party is sharing its costs, or if this audit, BellSouth may utilize its internal audit, or an external auditor, to conduct the audit.</p> <p>2 Should an independent third party auditor <del>shall</del> be selected, <del>mean cost</del>, <del>with respect to</del>, it shall be selected by BellSouth, with input from the PSC, if applicable, and the CLEC(s)-fitter parties bearing the cost of the audit.</p> <p>3 <del>BellSouth, the PSC, and the CLEC(s) shall jointly determine the scope of the audit. Due to the regional nature of the</del> <del>2</del> <del>processes used to generate performance metric data, BellSouth will agree to the m<sup>n</sup> c * b<sub>1</sub> c<sub>1</sub> e<sub>1</sub> g<sub>1</sub> n<sub>1</sub> v<sub>1</sub> l<sub>1</sub> d<sub>1</sub> p<sub>1</sub> y<sub>1</sub> audits are intended to provide the basis for the PSCs and CLECs to determine that the SQM and PMAP and SREP produce accurate data that reflects each State's Order for performance measurements. Once this has been verified by an initial audit, the BellSouth-PMACP will provide the basis for future audits.</del></p> <p><del>BellSouth reserves the right to make changes to this audit policy as growth and changes in the industry dictate.</del></p>	<p>These <del>comprehensive</del> audits are intended to provide the basis for the PSCs and CLECs to determine that the SQM and PMAP and SREP produce accurate data that reflects each State's Order for performance measurements. Once this has been verified by an initial audit, the BellSouth-PMACP will provide the basis for future audits.</p> <p><del>BellSouth reserves the right to make changes to this audit policy as growth and changes in the industry dictate.</del></p>
<b>Appendix D-C:</b>	OSS-Interface Tables		<p>Updated Interface Availability (IA) and Interface Availability (Maintenance and Repair) (MRIA) tables</p> <p>OSS-1 removed from Interface Tables</p> <p>OSS-4 removed from Interface Tables</p>	<p>Updates to reflect current applications in Interface Availability (IA) and Interface Availability (Maintenance and Repair) (MRIA)</p> <p>OSS-1 and OSS-4 measures were deleted from the SQM</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
<u>Appendix D</u>		BellSouth's Reporting of Performance Data and Recalibration of SFRM Payments Reporting Policy	<p>BellSouth will make available, posted performance data as reflected in the Service Quality Measurement (SQM) reports and recalculated under the Self-Effectuating Enforcement Mechanism (SEEM) payments using the Party Analysis and Remedy Information System (PARIS), to the extent technically feasible, under the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Those measures included in a state's specific SQM plan, with corresponding sub-metrics, are subject to reporting. A notice will be placed on the PMAP website advising C.L.R.C., where requested data is available.</li> <li>2. Performance sub-metric calculations, to the extent it is in a softfix in performance, in the Exercisable from a "re-party" condition to an "out-of-party" condition will be available for reporting.</li> <li>3. Performance sub-metric calculations with benchmarks that are in an "out-of-party" condition will be available for reporting, where there is a <math>\geq 2\%</math> decline in BellSouth's performance at the sub-metric level.</li> <li>4. Performance sub-metric calculations with retail analogues that are in an "out-of-party" condition will be available to reporting whenever there is a decline in performance as shown by an adverse change of <math>&lt; -5</math> in the Z-score at the sub-metric level.</li> <li>5. Any data recalculations that reflect an improvement in BellSouth's performance will be reported at BellSouth's discretion. However, to alleviate re-performance must improve by at least <math>2\%</math> for benchmark measures, and the Z-score must improve by at least <math>\geq 5</math> for retail analogies at the sub-metric level to qualify for reporting.</li> <li>6. Performance data will be made available for a maximum of three months in arrears.</li> <li>7. When updated performance data has been made available for reporting or when a payment error in PARIS has been discovered, BellSouth will recalculate applicable SFRM payments. Where technically feasible, SFRM payments will be subject to recalculation for a maximum of three months in arrears from the date updated performance data was made available on the date when the payment error was discovered.</li> <li>8. Any adjustments for underpayment of Tier 1 and Tier 2 calculated remedies will be made consistent with the terms of the state-specific SEEM plan, including the payment of interest. Any adjustments for overpayment of Tier 1 and Tier 2 remedies will be made at BellSouth's discretion.</li> <li>9. Any adjustments for underpayments will be made in the next month's payment cycle after the recalculation is made. The final current month PARIS report will reflect the transacted dollars, including adjustments for two months where applicable. Questions regarding the adjustment should be made in accordance with the tier that receives used to address C.I.C. questions related to SFRM payments.</li> </ol>	<p>This Appendix incorporates into the SQM the Commission approved Reporting policy</p> <p>This Appendix incorporates into the SQM the Commission approved Reporting policy</p>
<u>Appendix E</u>		Description of Raw Data and Other Supporting Data Files	<p>BellSouth Service Quality Measurement Plan (SQMP)</p> <p>Raw (Supporting) Data Files (SDF)</p> <p>Other Supporting Data Files (OSDF)</p>	<p>These additions are proposed to incorporate what had been separate documents for the supporting raw data files into the SQM. There are 2 ½ pages of Appendix E.</p>

## Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Rationale for Proposed Change</b>
		LSR Flow Through Matrix	The current version of the LSR Flow-Through Matrix is on BellSouth's PMAP website ( <a href="http://pmap.bellsouth.com">http://pmap.bellsouth.com</a> ) in the Documentation/Exhibits folder and contains a list of services, including complex services, and whether LSRs issued for the services are eligible to flow through	As a result of flow through improvement efforts, the flow through capability of products occasionally changes from not eligible for flow through to one that is flow through capable. Placing this matrix on the PMAP website will allow it to be current.



---

Tracy Hatch  
Senior Attorney  
Law and Government Affairs  
Southern Region

Suite 700  
101 N Monroe Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
850-425-6360

August 18, 2004

**BY ELECTRONIC FILING**

Ms Blanca Bayó, Director  
The Commission Clerk and Administrative Services  
Room 110, Easley Building  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 000121A-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Pursuant to Staff's memorandum dated August 16, 2004, attached please find the CLEC Coalition's Comments into the matrix addressing proposed modifications to BellSouth's Performance Assessment Plan in the above-referenced docket. Pursuant to the Commission's Electronic Filing Requirements, this version should be considered the official copy for purposes of the docket file. Copies of this document will be served on all parties via electronic and U S Mail

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely yours,

*s/ Tracy W Hatch*

Tracy W. Hatch

TWH/las  
Attachment  
cc: Parties of Record

*CLEC COALITION RESPONSE*

August 27, 2004

BellSouth and CLEC-Proposed Florida SQM Modifications

Measure Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
SQM Introduction/Report Publication Date/Report Delivery Methods	<p>Introduction (BST Matrix, p 1-2)</p> <p>1) Revise to update documentation references 2) Revise to more accurately define the nature of the SQM and include references to the FCC and Courts of Law 3) Add a section to address implementation schedule after a Commission order</p>	<p>1) CLEC's AGREE. CLECs do not oppose referring to wholesale services only (although this should not relieve BST from reporting retail performance to determine party). CLECs do not object to referring to all FL-specific orders that created the SQM and SEEM plans and revisions</p> <p>2) CLEC's DISAGREE. The deletions are unnecessary and in fact, not helpful, in that they eliminate useful background information regarding the nature of the SQM. The proposed additions, in addition to the deletions, do not "more accurately define the nature of the SQM", because the SQM does reflect previous FPSC Orders and is not based on a future FPSC order. Furthermore, references to the FCC and Courts of Law are not necessary, because any changes would derive from FPSC orders, as stated in the existing language</p> <p>3) CLEC's DISAGREE. The proposed language of the second sentence, pertaining to change of law provisions, is inaccurate and unnecessary, in that it suggests that BellSouth may cease reporting data or paying remedies if BellSouth is no longer required "to provide any UNE or UNE combination pursuant to Section 251 of the Act". This Commission's jurisdiction over the SEEM plan is based on Florida statutes designed to ensure "the development of fair and effective competition" (F.S.A. §364.01(3)) and to preclude anticompetitive behavior (F.S.A. §364.01(4)(g)). Order No PSC-01-1819-FOF-TP, FPSC Docket No 000121-TP, issued September 10, 2001, at p. 8. In addition to discouraging anti-</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)		<p>competitive behavior and encouraging fair and effective competition, <u>in BellSouth's own words</u>, “the purpose of the enforcement provisions of the [SEEM] plan is to prevent ‘backsliding’ after BellSouth obtains authority to provide interLATA service.” BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc Brief of the Evidence, FPSC Docket 000121-TP, filed May 31, 2001, p 1</p> <p>The FCC Order granting 271 authority in Georgia and Louisiana expressly states that the performance plan is intended to ensure that a BOC meets its <u>271 obligations</u></p> <p>“In prior Orders, the [Federal Communications] Commission has explained that one factor it may consider as part of its public interest analysis is whether a BOC would have adequate incentive to continue to satisfy the <u>requirements of Section 271</u> after entering the long distance market. Although it is not a requirement for Section 271 Authority that a BOC be subject to such performance assurance mechanisms, the Commission previously has found that the existence of the satisfactory performance monitoring and enforcement mechanisms is probative evidence that the BOC will continue to meet its <u>271 obligations</u> after grant of such authority.”</p> <p><i>In the Matter of Joint Application by BellSouth Corporation, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc And BellSouth Long Distance, Inc for Provision of In-Region, InterLATA Services in Georgia and Louisiana, CC Docket No 02-35, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 17 FCC Rcd 9018, 9181082, ¶ 291 (2002) (emphasis added)</i></p> <p>Because the SEEM Plan is intended to enforce BellSouth’s <u>271 obligations</u> following the grant of 271 authority, continuing obligations under 271 should be included in the Plan until the FCC forbears from enforcing the specific obligation under 47 U S C § 160</p>

Measure/ Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
Report Publication Dates (BST Matrix p 2)	1) Clarify existing process 2) Remove SEEM requirements 3) Reference SEEM Admin Plan	1) CLEC's AGREE. CLECs do not oppose adding next business day language 2) CLEC's DISAGREE. CLECs want to ensure that language on when reports are late and when remedies are paid is retained. This should not be subject to change unless CLECs agree and Commission orders consensus. Therefore, any change to location of this information should not be in document where BST's unilateral changes may be missed in compliance filings. CLECs agree that language should not conflict with administrative plan 3) CLEC's AGREE. So long as all raw data used for metrics, including excluded data, are contained on Supporting Data Files and SDUM is complete information to interpret the files
Report Delivery Methods (BST Matrix p 2)	Updated and word clarification	CLEC's AGREE. CLECs do not oppose changes
	<b>SQM - ALL Measures</b>	<b>CLEC's DISAGREE.</b> Line sharing is a checklist item 4 loop transmission facility, which BellSouth is obligated to provide pursuant to 47 U S C § 271 <i>et seq.</i> The Commission's jurisdiction over the SEEM Plan is based on Florida statutes designed to ensure "the development of fair and effective competition" ((F S A §364.01(3)) and to preclude anticompetitive behavior (F S A §364.01(4)(g)). Order No PSC-1819-FOF-TP, FPL Docket No 000121-TP, issued September 10, 2001, at p 8. In addition to discouraging anti-competitive behavior and encouraging fair and effective competition, <u>in</u> BellSouth's own words, "the purpose of the enforcement provisions of the [SEEM] plan is to prevent 'backsliding' after BellSouth obtains authority to provide interLATA service" BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc Brief of the Evidence,

Measure/ Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
		<p>FPSC Docket 000121-TP, filed May 31, 2001, p 1</p> <p>The FCC Order granting 271 authority in Georgia and Louisiana expressly states that the performance plan is intended to ensure that a BOC meets its <u>271</u> obligations</p> <p>In prior Orders, the [Federal Communications] Commission has explained that one factor it may consider as part of its public interest analysis is whether a BOC would have adequate incentive to continue to satisfy the <u>requirements of Section 271</u> after entering the long distance market. Although it is not a requirement for Section 271 Authority that a BOC be subject to such performance assurance mechanisms, the Commission previously has found that the existence of the satisfactory performance monitoring and enforcement mechanisms is probative evidence that the BOC will continue to meet its <u>271 obligations</u> after grant of such authority</p> <p><i>In the Matter of Joint Application by BellSouth Corporation, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc And BellSouth Long Distance, Inc for Provision of In-Region, InterLATA Services in Georgia and Louisiana, CC Docket No 02-35, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 17 FCC Rcd 9018, 9181082, ¶ 291 (2002) (emphasis added)</i></p> <p>Because the SEEM Plan is intended to enforce BellSouth's <u>271 obligations</u> following grant of 271 authority, continuing obligations under 271 (like line sharing) should be included in the Plan until the FCC forbears from enforcing the specific obligation under 47 U S C § 160</p> <p>CLEC's AGREE. CLECs do not oppose so long as SDUM attached to files is current and up to date as promised</p> <p>CLEC's DISAGREE. It is easier to understand metric results when you are able to make side-by-side comparisons of the SQM and SEEM</p>
Data Retained (BST Matrix p 1)	<p><b>Delete Data Retained section</b>            -replace with sentence in the SQM referring to SDUM</p>	
SEEM Disagg (BST Matrix p 1)	<p><b>Delete entire SEEM Disagg section</b>            - replace with "note" in the introduction reference to the SEEM plan</p>	

Measure/ Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)		disaggregations for each product If SQM and SEEM disaggregations are the same, then they only need to be listed once If the disaggregations are different, then they should be listed separately
P-11	<p><b>Change title to every measure</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- add acronym to every measure</li> <li>- delete existing numbering scheme</li> </ul> <p><b>Move measure from Provisioning to Ordering section of SQM</b></p>	<p><b>CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART.</b> While CLECs do not oppose the addition of acronyms to the titles, we strongly DISAGREE with the arbitrary removal of the numbering scheme in the current SQM</p> <p>New Comment</p> <p>New Comment</p> <p>Customer-affecting problems from SOA errors are more in line with the provisioning errors Thus, CLECs cannot support moving this measure from Provisioning to Ordering</p>
OSS-1 (BST matrix, p 3 )		<p><b>Pre-Ordering</b></p> <p><b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> This is not a redundant measure All other Bell Operating Company plans have both a measure of system availability and of query response times CLECs are due parity in query response times OSS-1 would not pick up if CSR retrieval is consistently slower for CLEC than for BST and this is the normal function, not some special degradation from an interface malfunction CLECs rely heavily on efficient query responses, since many of these queries are done while the customer is waiting on the line Slow response times can anger customers when several multi-second transactions are combined</p>
OSS-2 (BST matrix, p 3-5 ) (CLEC Response, Appendix B)		<p><b>Disagg:</b> Modfy Appendix C to include Batch Scheduler, EXACT, SOEG, LMU, and LQS as OSS Interface types</p> <p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section.</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs agree with the caveat that scheduled availability only excludes set maintenance window</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART. Agree not to exclude degraded service but would like to keep scheduled maintenance as only time of day exclusion</p> <p><b>-Title: Modified Title</b>  <b>-Definition:</b> Wording clarification  <b>-Exclusions</b>  1) Remove exclusions for degraded service,  2) Remove exclusion for Scheduled OSS Maintenance  <b>-Business Rules:</b>  1) Wording clarifications,</p>

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
	<p>2) Added language to define degraded service,</p> <p>3) Delete note on hours of schedule maintenance</p> <p>-Calculation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Clarify full outage calculation,</li> <li>2) Added total outage calculation</li> </ol> <p>-Report Structure: Wording modifications</p> <p>-Disagg:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Added Total Outage,</li> <li>2) Modified Appendix D</li> </ol> <p>-Standard: Added Total Outage as a diagnostic measure</p> <p>-SEEM: No changes</p>	<p>exclusion</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs believe full outages and degraded service impairments should be part of remedied metric</p> <p>Total outages should be the remedied calculation CLECs want to keep language and have a say on when maintenance should be scheduled to keep from impeding their business plans. CLECs would not oppose a definition, agreed to in change control, of what degraded service is to merit inclusion</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs agreement is contingent upon Total Outages being the remedied calculation</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE. So long as all interfaces used by CLECs continue to be covered, the change is acceptable</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) CLECs AGREE. BST may disaggregate full outages separately from total outages</li> <li>2) CLECs DISAGREE. PSIMS should be included or alternatively, BST should confirm what system replaced PSIMS. Are COG and DOM still functioning or has SGG replaced these systems? Appendix C should be modified to include Batch Scheduler, EXACT, SOEG, LMU, and LQS as OSS Interface types</li> </ol> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. 99.5% standard should apply to total outage, not full outages only</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p>	<p>Title: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs agree so long as scheduled availability only excludes set maintenance window</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p>
OSS-3 (BST matrix, p 5-8)	<p>-Title: Modified Title</p> <p>-Definition: Wording clarification</p> <p>-Exclusions: Remove exclusion for degraded service</p> <p>-Business Rules:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording clarifications,</li> </ol>		

Measure Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)
Response (Agree/Disagree)	
	<p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. CLECs believe full outages and degraded service impairments should be part of remedied metric Total outages should be part of the remedied calculation CLECs want to keep language and have a say on when maintenance should be scheduled to keep from impeding their business plans CLECs would not oppose a definition, agreed to in change control, of what degraded service is to merit inclusion CLECs request further discussions with BST on definition of "degraded service" CLECs are not quite sure about the origin of BST's definition of "degraded service"</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLEC's AGREE. CLECs agreement is contingent on total outages being the remedied calculation</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLEC's AGREE. So long as all interfaces used by CLECs continue to be covered, the change is acceptable</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLEC's AGREE. BST may disaggregate full outages separately from total outages</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. 99.5% standard should apply to total outages not full outages only</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p>
OSS-4 (BST matrix, p 9 )	<p><b>Delete Measure.</b> -Modified OSS-3 to monitor degraded service that would have been captured in this measure</p>
PO-1 (BST matrix, p 9 )	<p><b>Delete Measure (low volume and low impact)</b></p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
PO-2 (BST matrix, p 9-11 )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li><b>Definition:</b> Remove capturing of average interval It's a redundant way of stating performance—percent of response returned is used for monitoring performance</li> <li>-Exclusions: Added exclusions for Scheduled OSS Maintenance and Test Transactions/Records</li> <li><b>Business Rules:</b> Wording clarifications</li> <li><b>Calculations:</b> Delete calculation for average interval</li> <li><b>Report Structure:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Delete regional report,</li> <li>2) Delete irrelevant report buckets</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Disagg: Wording Clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-under what conditions a CLEC must request manual loop makeup</li> </ul>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs accept deletion of average interval BST should, however, be able to produce data on averages and dispersions to review the benchmark for this measure as may be requested in six-month reviews</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE. New exclusions are acceptable so long as test transactions do not include orders for live customers even if they are the first transactions for a new product</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs accept use of generic terms as long as all types of interfaces/gateways used by CLECs for this activity are covered</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs do not oppose eliminating average interval metric But BST must be willing to provide data needed to evaluate benchmarks in future six-month review</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs do not oppose elimination of disaggregations</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> No Change</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Change</p> <p><b>CLECs AGREE.</b> CLECs support adding a metric that measures bulk migration response times The details of metric need to be reviewed and compared to CLEC proposals for bulk hot cuts in collaborative meetings</p> <p><b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> CLECs oppose omitting a benchmark and inclusion in the SEEM plan</p> <p><b>CLEC NOTE:</b> The timing and need for this measure should be discussed at the Sep. 2 workshop</p>
Bulk Migration Response Time (BST matrix, p 11-12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-New Measure</li> <li>-Captures response time for bulk migration orders</li> <li>-Not proposed to be added as a Tier 1 or Tier 2 measure</li> </ul>		

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
	<<Sharon Norris w/ AT&T>>		
			<p><b>Ordering</b></p>
O-1 (BST matrix, p 13 )	<p><b>-Delete Measure.</b></p> <p>-This measure is of minimal use to evaluate performance An acknowledgement is simply an electronic signal that tells a CLEC's computer that a transaction was successfully received</p>		<p>CLECs <b>DISAGREE</b>. This is the first warning that orders are not being processed MCI, for one, had lots of orders lost in system in September 2003 after BST made some software changes The remedies generated caused BST to promptly fix the problems so MCI was not stalled into the next month in getting orders through the system This metric is critical to catching systems' problems before they lead to thousands of angry customers that may switch back to BST</p>
O-2 (BST matrix, p 13-14 )	<p><b>-Title Modified title</b></p> <p><b>-Definition:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Exclusions:</b> Added exclusion for Test Transactions/Records</p>	<p><b>-Business Rules:</b> Wording clarification and deletion of irrelevant note</p> <p><b>-Calculation:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b> Deletion of irrelevant note</p> <p><b>-Disagg:</b> Combined interfaces types (EDI and TAG)</p> <p><b>-Standard:</b> Revised benchmark from 99.9% to 99.5%</p> <p><b>-SEEM:</b> Remove from Tier 1</p>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE</b>. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs <b>AGREE</b>.</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs <b>AGREE</b>. CLECs agree to exclusions so long as test transactions are never defined as those involving live customers</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs <b>AGREE</b>. CLECs do not oppose use of general interface language so long as all systems used are covered Removal of note is accepted</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs <b>AGREE</b>.</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs <b>AGREE</b>. Disagg: CLECs <b>AGREE</b>. So long as CLEC's gateway is covered in metric</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE</b>. CLECs oppose weakening of benchmark on this metric System likely will start missing many when it begins to fail, making slight change proposed by BST irrelevant</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE</b>. CLECs see no reason to eliminate Tier 1 remedies as the loss of orders at this initial state creates burdens for CLECs and potential problems meeting customer requirements for service delivery</p>

Measure/ Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)  Response (Agree/Disagree)
O-3  (BST matrix, p 14-17 ) (CLEC Response, Appendix B)	<p><b>-Title:</b> Modified title</p> <p><b>-Definition:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Exclusions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Remove exclusion for Scheduled OSS Maintenance,</li> <li>2) Add exclusions for Test Transactions/Records and LSRs that receive a Z status</li> </ul> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1)Wording clarifications,</li> <li>2) Removed categories for manual fallout and make categories available on PMAP website,</li> <li>3) Remove flow-through matrix and provided PMAP website where it can be found</li> </ul> <p><b>-Calculation:</b> Remove Achieved Flow-through calculation Not used to measure performance</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b> Add CLEC Specific report (0-4 combined into this measure)</p> <p><b>-Disagg:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Roll-up Res and Bus into Resale,</li> <li>2) Roll-up UNE-L and UNE-P into UNE</li> </ul> <p><b>-Standard:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Delete Res benchmark of 95% and Business benchmark of 90% Both rolled-up into Resale with 90% benchmark,</li> <li>2) Delete UNE-L benchmark of 85% and UNE-P benchmark of 90% Both rolled-up into UNE with a benchmark of 85%</li> </ul> <p><b>-SEEM:</b> No changes</p> <p><b>-MISC:</b> Note provided to explain availability of flow-through error analysis and CLEC LSR information</p> <p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs believe these exclusions are acceptable so long as test orders are not defined as involving live customers and BST provides more information on how Z designation gets applied and how CLECs can verify proper use of this exclusion</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs do not oppose clarifications and wording change and reference to web site CLECs expect list to expand and not retract from current flow through capabilities, so list is not to be shortened from levels defined currently in metric Calculation: CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs believe view of total ordering that flows through is critical It is not enough only to see percentage rates for orders designed to flow through CLECs and the PSC need to monitor whether BST is being responsive to adding products and features CLECs most order to flow through eligibility list</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs do not oppose adding CLEC-specific and aggregate results in the same metric so long as achieved disaggregation is retained CLECs request that BST provide examples of how the reports will differ, if at all, before we close this issue</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs oppose collapsing disaggregations and urge adoption of their proposed addition to better monitor UNE-L with LNP flow through UNE-P flow-through could mask problems with UNE-L flow-through if combined, because of current volume differences</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs do not believe existing standards are appropriate, particularly under BST's proposal to collapse disaggregations</p>

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
O-4 (BST matrix, p 17)	<p><b>-Delete Measure.</b></p> <p>-Data will be captured in proposed modifications to O-3</p>	<p><b>-Delete Measure (not a measure)</b></p> <p>-Will post error analysis with the flow-through report and add information for obtaining error analysis in a footnote to O-3</p>	<p><b>CLECs AGREE.</b> CLECs do not oppose this being a web posting instead of being part of the SQM classifications</p>
Flow-Through Error Analysis (BST matrix, p 17)			<p><b>CLECs AGREE.</b> CLECs do not oppose having to subscribe to this information so long as notice is given before PMAP reporting ends and subscription must start and all data remains identical to prior format</p>
O-6 (BST matrix, p 17)	<p><b>-Delete Measure.</b></p> <p>-BST will provide website where CLEC LSR info can be found for CLECs who elect to subscribe for info Add footnote to O-3 describing how to obtain CLEC LSR info</p>		<p><b>CLECs AGREE.</b> CLECs do not oppose having to subscribe to this information so long as notice is given before PMAP reporting ends and subscription must start and all data remains identical to prior format</p>
O-7 (BST matrix, p 17 ) (CLEC Response, Appendix B)		<p><b>-Delete Measure.</b></p> <p>-Info can be ascertained by reviewing data from Reject Interval</p>	<p><b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> This measure allows CLECs the ability to measure reject intervals It is imperative that CLECs are able to see how many of their orders are being rejected BST CLECs have also proposed an additional disaggregation to see if batch hot cut orders get rejected BST rejection errors have been a problem for at least one CLEC in the coalition Specifically, ITCom^DeltaCom has been receiving invalid rejects and clarifications These are costly and require re-processing and delay customer service delivery A list of these invalid rejects/clarifications has been sent to BellSouth for investigation and a call is scheduled weekly or as needed CLECs need this measure to remain until this situation is resolved</p>
O-8 (BST matrix, p 18-22)	<p><b>-Title:</b> Modified title</p> <p><b>-Definition:</b> Wording clarification</p>	<p><b>Exclusions:</b> Modify project exclusion so batch hot cuts will not be excluded</p>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs do no oppose</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
(CLEC Response, Appendix B)	<p><b>-Exclusions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Remove exclusion for Center specific hours,</li> <li>2) Modified project exclusion so that valid project IDs for LSRs that are identified as Bulk Migrations will not be excluded,</li> <li>3) Add exclusions for Scheduled OSS/Maintenance and Test Transactions/Records</li> </ul> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wordings Clarifications,</li> <li>2) Provided web address for hours of operations,</li> <li>3) Added note</li> </ul> <p>to reflect the Bulk Migration process</p> <p><b>-Calculation:</b> Delete Average Reject Interval Calculation, not used to state performance</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b> Delete interval buckets not relevant to standard---can be obtained from raw data</p> <p><b>-Disagg:</b> Delete product disagg ---little to no volume for many products Product level can be obtained from raw data</p> <p><b>Standard:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Revise Partially Mech benchmark from 95%&lt;=10 hours to 90%&lt;=10 hours,</li> <li>2) Revise Non-Mech benchmark from 95%&lt;=24 hours to 85%&lt;=18 hours,</li> <li>3) Revise LIT from 95%&lt;=36 hours to 85%&lt;=4 days</li> </ul> <p>-Benchmarks revised in attempt to regionalize benchmarks for all BST states</p> <p><b>-SEEM:</b> Remove from Tier 1 and Tier 2</p>	<p>discussing issues removed from definition in business rules</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs agree this measure should cover batch hot cuts CLECs will also accept additional exclusions so long as test orders are not defined as those involving live customers CLECs are unclear on how BST intends to account for non-business hours This requires further discussion</p> <p>In the Exclusion that begins "LSRs identified as "Projects , the wording needs to be changed from "UNE-P" to "UNE-L" only</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs do not oppose changes except for indication that business hours apply to fully-mechanized orders Only schedule system down time should be applied here Any web posting should not expand scheduled down time from current hours without CLEC acceptance</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs do not oppose eliminating average interval so long as BST will provide interval information at six month reviews as needed to evaluate benchmarks</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs do not oppose elimination of dispersion buckets so long as BST will provide interval information at six month reviews as needed to evaluate benchmarks</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs may agree to combine some products, (e.g INP and LNP), but not to eliminate all disaggregations as doing so would mask differences in performance</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs do not see reason to lessen benchmarks particularly since BST's were less stringent than many other Bell companies</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs see no reason why long reject intervals that can lead to delays in getting an order accepted and moved toward timely provisioning should be eliminated</p>	

Measure/ Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
O-9  (BST matrix, p 22-28)  (CLEC Response, Appendix B)	<p>-Title: Modified title</p> <p>-Definition: Wording clarifications</p> <p>-Exclusions: 1) Remove exclusion for Center specific hours, 2) Modified project exclusion so that valid project IDs for LSRs that are identified as Bulk Migrations will not be excluded, 3) Add exclusions for Scheduled OSS/Maintenance and Test Transactions/Records</p> <p>-Business Rules:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording Clarifications,</li> <li>2) Provided web address for hours of operations,</li> <li>3) Added note</li> </ul> <p>-Report Structure: Delete interval buckets---can be obtained from raw data</p> <p>-Disagg: Delete product disagg --little to no volume for many products Product level can be obtained from raw data</p> <p>-Standard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Revise Partially Mech benchmark from <math>95\% \leq 10</math> hours to <math>90\% \leq 10</math> hours,</li> <li>2) Revise Non-Mech benchmark from <math>95\% \leq 24</math> hours to <math>90\% \leq 24</math> hours,</li> <li>3) Revise LIT from <math>95\% \leq 48</math> hours to <math>95\% \leq 10</math> days</li> </ul> <p>-Benchmarks revised in attempt to regionalize benchmarks for all BST states</p> <p>-SEEM: Remove from Tier 1 and Tier 2</p>	<p><b>Exclusions:</b> Modify project exclusion so that LNP (standalone) and batch hot cuts will not be excluded</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> Add LNP Standalone (Projects) as a level of disaggregation</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> Add benchmarks for LNP Standalone (Projects) -- 95% within 24 hours (1-10 numbers), 95% within 48 hours (11-999 numbers)</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> Include new LNP Standalone disagg in SEEM Tier 1 and Tier 2</p> <p>In the Exclusion that begins "LSRs identified as "Projects , the wording needs to be changed from "UNE-P" to "UNE-L" only</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLEC's AGREE. CLECs do not oppose changes except for indication that business hours apply to fully mechanized orders. Only schedule system down time should be applied here. Any web posting should not expand scheduled down time from current hours without CLEC acceptance</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLEC's AGREE. CLECs do not oppose eliminating average interval so long as BST will provide interval information at six month reviews as needed to evaluate benchmarks</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLEC's AGREE. CLECs do not oppose elimination of dispersion buckets so long as BST will provide interval information at six month reviews as needed to evaluate benchmarks</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. CLECs may agree to collapsing some but not all product categories as</p>

Measure Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
		<p>done so would mask differences in performance</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs do not see reason to lessen benchmarks particularly since BST's were less stringent than many other Bell companies</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs see no reason why long FOC intervals that can keep CLEC from informing customers of due dates should be eliminated from the remedy plan</p>
O-10 (BST matrix, p 29 )	<p><b>-Delete Measure.</b></p> <p>-This measure captures an extremely small number of orders and the interval for this measure is captured in O-9</p>	<p>CLECs AGREE.</p>
O-11 (BST matrix, p 29-31 ) (CLEC Response, Appendix B)	<p><b>-Title:</b> Modified title</p> <p><b>-Definition:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Exclusions:</b></p> <p>1) Modified project exclusion so that valid project IDs for LSRs that are identified as Bulk Migrations will not be excluded,</p> <p>2) Add exclusion for Test Transactions/Records</p> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b></p> <p>1) Wording Clarifications,</p> <p>2) Added note to reflect the Bulk Migration process</p> <p><b>-Calculation:</b> No changes</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b></p> <p>1) Wording clarifications,</p> <p>2) Delete regional report</p> <p><b>-Disagg</b> Delete product disagg -- little to no volume for many products Product level can be obtained from raw data</p> <p><b>-Standard:</b> No changes</p> <p><b>-SEEM:</b> Remove from Tier 1</p> <p><b>-Delete Measure</b></p>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs do not oppose changes or additions for bulk hot cuts, but would like explanation of assignment to disaggregation category</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs may agree to combine some categories but not all as doing so would mask differences in performance.</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs oppose removal of Tier I remedies BST has not explained why missing FOCs and Rejects do not harm their individual relationships with customers</p> <p><b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> CLECs do not believe this</p>

Measure	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
Reference (BST matrix, p 32)	-Timeliness of answer in the LCSC is not directly affecting CLECs ability to provide service Orders are not placed by phone, CLEC is calling to get info	-Title: Modified title (Move measure from Provisioning to Ordering)  -Definition: Wording clarifications  -Exclusions: 1) Remove exclusion for CLEC LSRs submitted manually, 2) Add exclusion for LSRs identified as projects  -Business Rules: Wording clarifications  -Calculation: Wording Clarification  -Disagg: No changes  -Standard: No changes  -SEEM: No changes	<p>metric is unnecessary Long hold times can burden staff serving customers and delay resolution of customer-affecting problems</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE.</b> This measure should remain in the Provisioning section of the SQM See also CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE.</b> Customer-affected problems from SOA errors are more in line with the provisioning errors</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE.</b> CLECs disagree on the exclusion of project orders, as these are typically large and very customer-impacting workarounds All elements should be compared to the CLEC's requested service order LSRs coded as projects, particularly those for batch hot cuts should not be excluded from determining if the order was provisioned as requested by the CLEC CLECs want to ensure that listing orders are part of what is being measured CLECs request that BST confirm that test orders are not defined as orders involving live customers</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE.</b> CLECs want to add "considered" to read " workarounds which will not be "considered" service affecting " in the first sentence of 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph under BST LSR Fields</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE.</b> CLECs may agree if deletion of the word applicable does not expand the denominator of LSRs beyond those subject to the automatic checking of accuracy</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs <b>AGREE.</b></p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> No changes</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> No changes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No changes</p>
P-11	(BST matrix, p 32-35)		

Measure/Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
		<b>Provisioning</b>
P-1 (BST matrix, p 36)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>-Delete Measure</b></li> <li>-Orders captured in this measure would be included in the proposed FOCI and proposed PIAM measures</li> <li>-Transaction volumes are too small to be useful to evaluate performance</li> </ul>	<p>Retain Existing SQM Tool to evaluate services which are experiencing delays CLECs order tracking systems are better equipped to monitor and validate this measure as opposed to the FOCI &amp; PIAM</p> <p><b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> This measure should remain in the SQM. It serves as a tool to evaluate services that are experiencing delays BST's order tracking systems for CLECs are better equipped to monitor and validate this measure as opposed to the FOCI and PIAM measures</p>
P-2A (BST matrix, p 36)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>-Delete Measure</b></li> <li>-Performance for Jeopardy has not been a problem</li> <li>-The interval captured in this measure is included in the proposed FOCI</li> </ul>	<p><b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> Having orders placed in jeopardy is a critical concern to CLECs for many reasons, not the least of which is that it directly impacts customer satisfaction During the 6-month review in LA, Staff pointed out that just because BST does not provide jeopardy notices to its retail end users, does not mean that they should not give jeopardy notices to its wholesale customers Since BST provides jeopardy notices to itself, it must provide jeopardy notices to the CLECs So whether BST gives jeopardy notices to its retail customers has no bearing on their obligation to provide wholesale customers with jeopardy notices</p> <p>In addition, as facilities-based competition increases, the number of jeopardiess may also increase It should cause BellSouth no harm to continue reporting this measure since the mechanisms are already in place to track and capture this data The CLECs cannot support the deletion of this measure</p>
P-2B (BST matrix, p 37 )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>-Delete Measure</b></li> <li>-Minimal impact on CLECs</li> </ul>	<p><b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> Clearly, the % of orders given jeopardy notices, when compared to retail, is very important to CLECs BST is attempting to make changes that are burdensome to the CLECs and that prohibit CLECs from having a clear comparison of service</p>
P-3 (BST matrix, p 37-40 )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>-Title:</b> Modified title (from % missed to % met)</li> <li><b>-Definition:</b> Changed to reflect percent of installation appointments met</li> </ul>	<p><b>Title: CLECs DISAGREE.</b> Modifying the title of this measure from "missed", which clearly points out the intent of the measure, to "met" is not a fair</p>

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
	<p><b>-Exclusions:</b> Removed Exclusion for End User Misses</p> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b> Changed to reflect percent of installation appointments met</p> <p><b>-Calculation:</b> Changed to reflect percent of installation appointments met</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Changed to eliminate categories with little to no volume,</li> <li>2) Delete regional report</li> </ul> <p><b>-Disag:</b> Remove products with low volume</p> <p><b>-Standard:</b> parity (see disag changes)</p> <p><b>-SEEM:</b> No changes</p>	<p><b>Representation:</b> representation of the real issue at hand -- the number of installation appts BST misses. The CLECs' tracking systems were established for the purpose of tracking misses from the customer's point of view</p> <p><b>BST's reasons for missing the appt</b> should be clearly noted. Also, see CLEC comment under SQM - All Measures</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs do not support changing the measure from missed to met</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. Currently, technicians remove inside wire/jacks or report misses when their allotted time cannot be met</p> <p>Excluding test orders is questionable since the determination of these orders is not defined</p> <p>BST is attempting to re-define "Cancelled Service Orders". Previously, only service orders cancelled before the due date were allowed to be excluded, but now BST wants to exclude ALL cancelled service orders regardless of when they were cancelled</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. BellSouth has limited this measure to capture only the first missed appointment reason code entered on a service order. This limits the measure's effectiveness because missed appointment codes are used every time a service order's due date is changed. So for example, if CLECs supplement an order for a new due date, BST will apply a subscriber-missed appointment code and that service order will be excluded from this measurement. CLECs believe that ANY BellSouth missed appointment code should be counted as a BellSouth miss - regardless of whether the order had a previous subscriber-missed appointment. Just because a due date was missed shouldn't give BellSouth carte blanche to miss future install dates. SBC's Missed Appointment measure counts any SBC missed reason and so should BST's</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs DISAGREE.</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. BST appears to be removing categories where there</p>	

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CEEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
			<p>performance has typically been problematic</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE.</b> BST appears to be removing product disaggregations where there performance has typically been problematic</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE.</b></p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p>
FOCI- FOC Average Completion Interval (BST matrix, p 40-44 )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-New measure</li> <li>-Combines intervals to return a FOC and to complete a service order into a single interval measure</li> <li>-Added to SEEM Tier 1 and Tier 2</li> </ul>		<p><b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b></p> <p>While CLECs requested a measure of this type, this measure, as constructed, is absolutely inappropriate and completely and unequivocally rejected by the CLECs. The artificial padding of intervals that include ILEC "FOC" times render this measure completely useless for monitoring for discrimination. Further, the information reported by this measure is misleading because it reports service intervals for ILEC customers that never occurred. This measure, although in place in Georgia, at least has no SEEM impact in that state and so the effect of its harmful data is somewhat mitigated. However, BST has the audacity to propose that this farce of a metric be the basis for its penalty payments for both FOC and OCI in Florida</p>
P-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Delete Measure</li> </ul> <p>(BST matrix, p 44 ) (CLEC Response, Appendix B)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-This info is now included in the proposed FOCI measure</li> </ul>	<p><b>Disagg:</b> Add disagg for batch hot cuts</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> Batch Hot Cuts 98% in 5 days</p>
P-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Delete Measure</li> </ul> <p>(BST matrix, p 45 )</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-CLECs can check order status in CSOTS. This is party measure, but actually better service than that provided to retail because retail does not get a notification that a service order is complete</li> </ul>	
P-6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Delete Measure</li> </ul> <p>(BST matrix, p 45 )</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Another measure of FOC Timeliness which is already measured in FOCT and proposed FOCI</li> </ul>	
			<p><b>CLECs DISAGREE</b> Real-time CSOTS does not exist BST's current systems clearly status the life of an order, as well as, clearly indicate completion and error status following completion of the order</p> <p><b>CLECs DISAGREE</b> A CLEC's credibility to its customer depends on its accuracy in scheduling appointments. If CLECs are unable to notify their customers and arrange for access to a customer's</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
P-7 (BST matrix, p 45-47) (CLEC Response, Appendix B)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification to include time to notify CLEC after hot cut is complete</li> <li>-Exclusions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Remove exclusion for Unbundled Loops where there is no existing subscriber loop,</li> <li>2) Add exclusion for non-coordinated conversions,</li> <li>3) Add exclusion for BellSouth or CLEC internal or administrative orders,</li> <li>4) Add exclusion for listing orders</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Business Rules: Revised to reflect start and stop times which includes CLEC notification time</li> <li>Calculation: Revised to include CLEC notification time</li> <li>Report Structure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Delete unnecessary interval buckets</li> <li>2) Delete regional report</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Disagg: Roll-up INP and LNP loops into one disagg category CCC (loops)</li> <li>-Standard: Revise benchmark of 95%&lt;=15 minutes to 95%&lt;=20 minutes to account for adding CLEC notification time to the interval</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p><b>Title:</b> Include Non-Coordinated Conversations in this measure</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> Stop time is notification to the CLEC that the cut is complete</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> Include Non-Coordinated Conversations</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> Add additional migration types (See CLEC Comments p 5)</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> Revise benchmark of 95% &lt;= 15 minutes to 95% &lt;= 10 minutes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No changes.</p>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE Non-Coordinated Conversations should be included in this measure See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLEC's AGREE</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE Non-Coordinated Conversations should be included in this measure</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE The Start Time should be when the loop is actually disconnected. The Stop Time is notification to CLECs that the cut is complete</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE Include Non-Coordinated Conversations</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE Interval breaks out points to those areas for concern</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE Add additional migration types (See CLEC Comments p 5)</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE Revise benchmark of 95% in less than or equal to 15 minutes to 95% in less than or equal to 10 minutes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No changes.</p>
P-7A (BST matrix, p 47-50) (CLEC Response p 5 and Appendix B)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Delete reference to average interval in title Average interval not used to evaluate performance</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Remove exclusion for test orders,</li> <li>2) Add exclusion for BellSouth or CLEC internal or administrative orders,</li> <li>3) Add exclusion for listing orders</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Business Rules: Modified to identify intervals for IDLC and non-IDLC loops</li> <li>-Calculation: Delete calculations for interval and average interval, not</li> </ul>	<p><b>Disagg:</b> Add additional migration types</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> define criteria for tcst order exclusions</p> <p><b>1) Remove exclusion for test orders,</b></p> <p><b>2) Add exclusion for BellSouth or CLEC internal or administrative orders,</b></p> <p><b>3) Add exclusion for listing orders</b></p>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLEC's AGREE.. Need to define criteria for test order exclusions</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLEC's AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART. Need to add additional</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
<p>used to evaluate performance</p> <p>-Report Structure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Remove requirement to report results in three separate distributions, 2)</li> <li>Delete regional report,</li> <li>3) Delete unnecessary interval buckets</li> </ul> <p>-Disagg: 1) Delete SL1 and SL2 time and non-time specific</p> <p>2) New disagg of Non-IDLC and IDLC</p> <p>-Standard: Benchmark for IDLC 95% within + or -2 hours of scheduled start time</p> <p>-SEEM: No changes</p>	<p>-SEEM: No Change</p>	<p>migration types, e.g. EELS, and hot cuts away from loops and EELs to UNE-P and resale CLECs will support collapsing SL1 and SL2</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Change</p>	
<p>P-7B</p> <p>(BST matrix, p 50-51)</p> <p>(CLEC Response p 5 and Appendix B)</p>	<p>-Title: Modified Title</p> <p>-Definition: Wording clarification (simplified)</p> <p>-Exclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording Clarification,</li> <li>2) Remove exclusion for test orders,</li> <li>3) Add exclusion for BellSouth or CLEC internal or administrative orders,</li> <li>4) Add exclusion for listing orders</li> </ul> <p>-Business Rules:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording clarification,</li> <li>2) Add language to capture the overall percentage of orders</li> </ul> <p>-Calculation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording clarification,</li> <li>2) Add calculation for overall percentage</li> </ul> <p>-Report Structure: Delete regional report</p> <p>-Disagg: Roll-up INP and LNP loops to CCC (loops)</p> <p>-Standard: Remove benchmark of &lt;=5 hours and make diagnostic for CCC (loops)</p> <p>-SEEM: No changes</p>	<p>-Title: Include Non-Coordinated Customer Conversions in this measure</p> <p>-Definition: Include Non-Coordinated Customer Conversions</p> <p>-Exclusions: Add exclusion for test orders</p> <p>-Business Rules: Include Non-Coordinated Customer Conversions</p> <p>-Disagg: Add additional migration types –</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> Revise benchmark of &lt;= 5 hours to &lt;= 2 hours</p> <p>-SEEM: Add to SEEM Tier 1 and Tier 2</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. EELs should be added as a separate disaggregation</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. Performance should improve rather than ask CLECs to accept a lower standard</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p>	<p>Title: CLEC's DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section Leave days "as</p>
<p>P-7C</p>	<p>-Title: Changed from 7 days to 5 days</p>	<p>-Definition: Include Non-Coordinated Customer</p>	<p>Title: CLEC's DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section Leave days "as</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
(BST matrix, p 52-53 )  (CLEC Response p 5 and Appendix B)	<p><b>-Definition:</b> Wording clarifications and change from 7 days to 5 days</p> <p><b>-Exclusions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording clarifications,</li> <li>2) Add exclusion for listing orders,</li> <li>3) add exclusion for BellSouth or CLEC internal or administrative orders,</li> <li>4) Add exclusion for troubles outside of BellSouth's control,</li> <li>5) Add exclusion for disconnect orders</li> </ul> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b> Wording clarification and change from 7 days to 5 days</p> <p><b>-Calculation:</b> Wording clarification and change from 7 days to 5 days</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Delete dispatch/non-dispatch reports</li> <li>2) Delete regional report</li> </ul> <p><b>-Disagg:</b> Roll-up UNE loops design and non-design into UNE loops</p> <p><b>-Standard:</b> Revise benchmark of &lt;=3% to &lt;=5%</p> <p><b>-SEEM</b> Remove from SEEM Tier 1 and Tier 2</p>	<p><b>Conversions</b></p> <p><b>-Exclusions:</b> Add exclusion for test orders Define troubles outside BST control</p> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b> Include Non-Coordinated Customer Conversions</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> Add additional migration types</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> Include Non-Coordinated Customer Conversions</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> No Change</p> <p>Seem No Change</p>	<p><b>IS</b></p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. Leave days "as is"</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. This issue requires further discussion at Sep 2 workshop</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE IN PART</p> <p>CLECs accept other changes, but do not support change from 7 days to 5 days</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. CLECs do not support change from 7 days to 5 days</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. Regional reports and those separately dispatch from non-dispatch are meaningful</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. Loop type is a meaningful difference Add disaggregation for EELs</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. Performance should improve rather than ask CLECs to accept a lower standard (i.e., from &lt;= 3% to &lt;= 5%)</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE.</p>
CNDD Non-CCC Percent Completed and Notified Due Date (BST matrix, p 53-54 )	<p><b>New measure.</b></p> <p>Measures the percentage of non-coordinated conversions that BellSouth completed and provided notification to the CLECs on the due date</p>		<p><b>CLECs AGREE.</b></p>
P-7D Coordinated/Non-coordinated Customer Conversions-Percent Without Service Disruption (CLEC Response, Appendix A, p 5-6)		<p><b>-New Measure</b></p> <p>Measures the percentage of hot cuts that are completed without a loss of service due to BellSouth-caused service interruptions outside of the initial customer cutover</p>	
P-8 (BST matrix, p 54)	<p><b>Delete Measure</b></p> <p>-represents a small number of orders and the customer impacting event</p> <p><i>initially contained in Default Disconnection Troubles</i></p>		<p><b>CLEC's DISAGREE.</b> This measure is a key indicator of support for xDSL testing and should not be deleted. It is imperative that CLECs receive</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)
Response (Agree/Disagree)		
(trouble) is captured in Percent Provisioning Troubles		<p>trouble-loops at installation Further, as facilities-based competition increases, so may the number of orders requiring cooperative testing It should cause BellSouth no harm to continue reporting this measure since the mechanisms are already in place to track and capture this data The CLECs cannot support the deletion of this measure</p> <p><b>Title:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. 5 days is not a sufficient period of time CLECs must be able to schedule and complete their portion of the provisioning process 30 days reflects a more complete picture of service quality CLECs might agree to 20 days since it is a more accurate reflection of provisioning troubles</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. This exclusion is inappropriate and hard to define It also gives technicians too much discretion in the manner in which they code these troubles Further, BST is not harmed by the current process which allows a parity determination to be made</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. CLECs require further discussion of changes to calculation at Sep 2 workshop</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. BST has paid penalties on these disaggregations before If these categories are lumped together, it will mask BST's actual performance results</p> <p><b>Disag:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. Disaggregations should be retained, as CLEC auditing systems would require changes of products for which volumes are likely to increase in the near future</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLEC's AGREE. Parity standard is okay, however disaggregation changes are not</p>

Measure/Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
P-11 (BST matrix, p 57)	Revised and moved to Ordering Section.		SEEM: No Changes
P-13B (BST matrix, p 57-58)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) add exclusion for BellSouth or CLEC internal or administrative orders,</li> <li>2) Add exclusion for listing orders,</li> <li>3) Add exclusion for Scheduled OSS Maintenance</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarifications</li> <li>-Calculations: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Report Structure: Delete regional report</li> <li>-Disagg: No changes</li> <li>-Standard: Revise benchmark from 96.5% to 95%</li> <li>-SEEM: Remove from SEEM Tier 1</li> </ul>	<p>Title: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p>Definition: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Exclusions: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Business Rules: CLECs DISAGREE. The proposed changes to the business rules require further discussion and clarification</p> <p>Calculation: CLECs DISAGREE. The proposed changes to the calculations require further discussion and clarification</p> <p>Report Structure: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Disagg: No Changes</p> <p>Standard: CLECs DISAGREE. Performance should improve rather than ask CLECs to accept a lower standard (i.e., from 96.5% to 95%)</p> <p>SEEM: CLECs DISAGREE.</p>	<p>Title: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p>Definition: CLECs DISAGREE. It is more accurate to measure the number of activations vs orders</p> <p>Exclusions: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Business Rules: CLECs DISAGREE. It is more accurate to measure the number of activations vs orders</p> <p>Calculation: CLECs DISAGREE. It is more accurate to measure the number of activations vs orders</p> <p>Report Structure: CLECs AGREE.</p>
P-13C (BST matrix, p 58-59)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Definition Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Add exclusion for Remote Call Forwarding, DIDs, and ISDN Data TNs,</li> <li>2) Add exclusion for BellSouth or CLEC internal or administrative orders,</li> <li>3) Add exclusion for zero due dated expedited orders requested by the CLEC,</li> <li>4) Add exclusion for listing orders, Maintenance</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarifications</li> <li>-Calculations: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Report Structure: Delete regional report</li> </ul>	<p>LNP changed to LAT?"</p> <p>RCF, DID, and ISDN should not be excluded</p>	

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)
Response (Agree/Disagree)		

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04) Response (Agree/Disagree)
P-14 Percent of Customer Trouble Tickets Closed Electronically  (CLEC Response, Appendix A, p 3-4)	<p>-New Measure</p> <p>Measures the percent of customer trouble tickets during the reporting period that are closed electronically by a BellSouth technician</p>	<p>-New Measure</p> <p>Measures the percentage of time that BellSouth begins performing batch hot cuts within 15 minutes of the committed start time</p> <p>Add to SEEM Tier 1 and Tier 2</p>
P-14 Percent of Batch Hot Cuts Started On Time  (CLEC Response, Appendix A pp 7-8)		<p><b>Maintenance and Repair</b></p> <p><b>Title:</b> Changed title (from % missed to % met)</p> <p><b>Definition</b> Changed to reflect percent of repair appointments met</p> <p><b>Exclusions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Add exclusion for Informational Tickets,</li> <li>2) Add exclusion for Troubles Outside BellSouth's Control</li> </ul> <p><b>Business Rules:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording clarification,</li> <li>2) Remove note.</li> </ul> <p><b>Calculations:</b> Revise calculation to reflect percent met</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> Delete regional report</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Roll-up products with low volume into another category,</li> <li>2) Modify product categories so that each category is reported only once</li> </ul> <p><b>Standard:</b> Parity (see disagg changes)</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No changes</p>
M&R-1  (BST matrix, p 61-62)		<p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. This measurement is used throughout the industry as "Missed Repair Appointments" and the BST change does not change the results, it only changes the reported values which makes comparisons to historical performance more difficult. This requested change does not seem to provide any value and would only cost BST to implement. Also, see CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. Same reason as above</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART.</p> <p>1) CLECs AGREE. However, scenarios for which Informational Tickets are created should be discussed to ensure troubles (like service order errors) are not being excluded by the use of Informational Tickets</p> <p>2) CLECs DISAGREE. The language of this exclusion is overly broad. Presumably, troubles outside of BST's control would affect CLEC lines in</p>

Measure Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)
Response (Agree/Disagree)	
	<p>the same manner as BST retail lines CLEC lines should still be repair at parity with BST lines Also, this exclusion may already be covered by General Terms and Conditions of the individual interconnection agreements (force majeure clauses)</p> <p><b>Business Rules: CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART.</b> The clarification changes made up to the words "No Access" are acceptable However, the proposed change to "No Access" is not acceptable BST proposed change moves "No Access" tickets from an Exclusion (not counted in the measurement) to counted as a commitment met for the measurement</p> <p><b>Possible counter offer:</b> As done in the SBC region, if a ticket is marked as "No Access" before the commit time, it is counted as a commitment met If the ticket is marked as "No Access" after the commit time, it is counted as a commitment missed</p> <p><b>Calculation: CLECs DISAGREE.</b> See reasons above</p> <p><b>Report Structure: CLECs AGREE.</b></p> <p><b>Disagg: CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART.</b> CLECs agree to ensure each product is only reported in one disaggregation CLECs disagree with aggregating any products that are not repaired in the same manner/priority and that certain disaggregations are moved to diagnostic CLECs specifically oppose removing disaggregations for Line Sharing and Interoffice Transport as BST continues to be obligated to offer these items as UNEs under Section 271 of the Act</p> <p><b>Standard: CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART.</b> See Disagg above</p> <p><b>SEEM: No Changes</b></p> <p><b>Title: CLECs DISAGREE.</b> See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition: CLECs AGREE.</b> CLECs agree with</p>
M&R-2 (BST matrix, p 62-64)	<p>-Title: Modify title</p> <p>-Definition Wording clarification</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
<p><b>-Exclusions</b></p> <p>1) Add exclusion for Informational Tickets, 2) Add exclusion for Troubles Outside BellSouth's Control</p> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Calculations:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b> Delete regional report</p> <p><b>-Disagg:</b></p> <p>1) Roll-up products with low volume into another category, 2) Modify product categories so that each category is reported only once</p> <p><b>-Standard:</b> Parity (see disagg changes)</p> <p><b>-SEEM:</b> Remove from SEEM Tier 1 and Tier 2</p>	<p>clarification question Does the change from the word "reported" to the word "closed" change how BST reports results today or does this have an impact on the results?</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART.</p> <p>1) CLECs AGREE. However, scenarios for which Informational Tickets are created should be discussed to ensure troubles (like service order errors) are not being excluded by the use of Informational Tickets</p> <p>2) CLECs DISAGREE. The language of this exclusion is overly broad. Presumably, troubles outside of BST's control would affect CLEC lines in the same manner as BST retail lines. CLEC lines should still be repair at parity with BST lines. Also, this exclusion may already be covered by General Terms and Conditions of the individual interconnection agreements (force major clauses)</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. BST seems to be limiting this report to only "customer direct reports." This change is troublesome for a couple of reasons 1) CLECs report troubles to BST on our customer's behalf, so technically all CLEC trouble reports are not "customer direct reports" 2) Performance should be measured for all troubles that are worked by BST regardless if the tickets are "customer direct" or initiated by BST internally</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART. See comments from previous measurement (M&amp;R-1)</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART. See Disagg above</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> CLECs DISAGREE</p>		

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04) Response (Agree/Disagree)
M&R-3  (BST matrix, p 64-66)	<p><b>Title:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Definition</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Exclusions</b></p> <p>1) Add exclusion for International Tickets,</p> <p>2) Add exclusion for Troubles Outside BellSouth's Control</p> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b></p> <p>1) Wording clarification,</p> <p>2) Add note clarifying time that has already been excluded</p> <p><b>-Calculations:</b> No changes</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b> Delete regional report</p> <p><b>-Disagg:</b></p> <p>1) Roll-up products with low volume into another category,</p> <p>2) Modify product categories so that each category is reported only once</p> <p><b>-Standard:</b> Parity (see disagg changes)</p> <p><b>-SEEM:</b> No changes</p>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section.</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART.</p> <p>1) CLECs AGREE. However, scenarios for which International Tickets are created should be discussed to ensure troubles (like service order errors) are not being excluded by the use of International Tickets</p> <p>2) CLECs DISAGREE. The language of this exclusion is overly broad. Presumably, troubles outside of BST's control would affect CLEC lines in the same manner as BST retail lines. CLEC lines should still be repair at parity with BST lines. Also, this exclusion may already be covered by General Terms and Conditions of the individual interconnection agreements (force major clauses)</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. It seems that BST is attempting to change the stop timestamp for closing tickets (from the time ticket cleared in system to time trouble repaired). The current language suggests a system timestamp that can not be altered by users and the proposed language suggests a timestamp that can be entered/alterred by end users. CLECs would prefer the system-generated timestamp whenever possible</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART. See comments from previous measurement (M&amp;R-1)</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART. See Disagg above</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
M&R-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Definition Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Add exclusion for Informational Tickets,</li> <li>2) Add exclusion for Troubles Outside BellSouth's Control</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Calculations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording clarification to specify repeat troubles,</li> <li>2) Replaced cleared date with closed date</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Report Structure: Delete regional report</li> <li>-Disagg: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Roll-up products with low volume into another category,</li> <li>2) Modify product categories so that each category is reported only once</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Standard: Parity (see disagg changes)</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs AGREE WITH MODIFICATION. "This report measures the percentage of customer trouble reports received within thirty days of a previous trouble report"</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART.</p> <p>1) CLECs AGREE. However, scenarios for which Informational Tickets are created should be discussed to ensure troubles (like service order errors) are not being excluded by the use of Informational Tickets</p> <p>2) CLECs DISAGREE. The language of this exclusion is overly broad. Presumably, troubles outside of BST's control would affect CLEC lines in the same manner as BST retail lines. CLEC lines should still be repair at parity with BST lines. Also, this exclusion may already be covered by General Terms and Conditions of the individual interconnection agreements (force majeure clauses)</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs would like some clarification as to why different timestamps are used for LMOS and WFA</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See above response for Business Rules regarding "cleared" vs "closed" timestamps</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART. See comments from previous measurement (M&amp;R-1)</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART. See Disagg above</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p>	<p>CLECs DISAGREE. This measure is an important measure used throughout the industry. This</p>
M&R-5	-Delete Measure		30

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04) Response (Agree/Disagree)
(BST matrix, p 68 )	Duplicative measure Information captured in M&R-3, since maintenance durations greater than 24 hours normally involve an out service condition	<p><b>CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)</b></p> <p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs AGREE WITH MODIFICATION. The total abandoned call duration needs to be added into the Average Answer Time “c = Sum of all answer times + total abandoned calls duration” and “d = Total Number of Answered Calls in the reporting period”</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs would like to understand the proposed change and the effects to reported results. The changes seem to be more than “Wording Clarification”</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>DISAGREE:</b> This measurement has some interplay with some of the other BST proposed changes. Specifically, BST is trying to add an exclusion to every measurement for “Troubles outside BST’s control.” BST includes examples of cable cuts as rationale for this added exclusion for which BST should not be held responsible. In this measurement (and contrary to BST’s previously mentioned rationale), BST claims that network outages (like cable cuts) would still be covered by other measurements</p>
M&R-6 (BST matrix, p 69)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Definition: No changes</li> <li>-Exclusions: Clarify that abandoned calls represents the “volume” of abandoned calls</li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarification noting that abandoned calls are not counted in volume but the time is included</li> <li>-Calculation: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Report Structure: No changes</li> <li>-Disagg: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes-party</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p><b>-Delete Measure</b></p> <p>-Few CLECs want this process anymore. BellSouth will continue to offer this service to any customer who asks for their name to be put on the E-Mail list, but the measurement of this process is not necessary</p>
M&R-7 (BST matrix, p 70 )		

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
			<b>Billing</b>
B-1 (BST matrix, p 70-71 )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Calculation: Delete calculation for Measure of Adjustments (not a meaningful measurement)</li> <li>-Report Structure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Delete Regional Report,</li> <li>2) Delete Number of Adjustments report</li> </ul> </li> <li>-Disagg: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes- parity</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. The clarifications BST proposes delete the reporting interval ( i.e., "the current month") and render the definition too vague. The original definition in the SQM should be retained but add, "by BellSouth to wholesale and retail customers"</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. Adjustments are as a result of billing inaccuracy. Most bill dispute resolutions could be called settlements. Also, BST must be held accountable for all regulatory mandated or contract rates as backbilling by BST must be verified as accurate</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. The business rules should be left "as is". The current rules provide detailed information on how calculation relates to the business and how the result is reported (percentage) are important to define the measure in a complete manner</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. The word clarification changing "current" month to "reporting" month would impact the measure by having to submit billing disputes within the report month. CLEC must verify the billing accuracy and often cannot get that done in the report period</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs oppose deleting the number of adjustments, but regional report elimination is acceptable</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p>	<p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p>

Measure/ Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
	<p><b>BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes</b></p> <p><b>CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)</b></p> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording clarification,</li> <li>2) Add language noting that CLEC bills and BellSouth bills transmitted in less than or equal to one day difference will be considered party</li> </ul> <p><b>-Calculation:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b> Delete Regional report</p> <p><b>-Disagg:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>-Standard:</b> No changes-party</p> <p><b>-SEEM:</b> No changes</p>	<p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE.</b> Critical to measure days to receipt as delays in the bill impact the CLECs' ability to pay the bill on time. CLECs do not agree with language that 1 day's difference is parity. Statistical tests will be the judge of what is parity</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> No Change</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs <b>DISAGREE.</b> The changes result in BST not being accountable for bill delivery. The changes reflect a change in the measure the mean time to billing transmission /mailing. It changes the entire meaning of the measure from "receipt of bill" to transmission of bill -- transmission or USPS does not equal delivery in a reasonable time</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs <b>AGREE.</b></p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs <b>AGREE.</b></p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs <b>AGREE.</b> CLECs do not oppose change if no UNEs get billed out of CABs</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>CLEC's DISAGREE.</b> This measure provides CLECs with an accounting of BST's ability to transmit data reliably. CLECs cannot read packs if not formatted correctly. This metric is needed to determine accuracy</p> <p><b>CLEC's DISAGREE.</b> CLECs need this measure to protect against back-billing of usage charges on their monthly invoices. Although B-5 may be capturing if the usage that gets billed appropriately makes its on-time benchmark, it does not pick up when BST goes back and finds it did not render a back-bill for some usage charges sent on DUFs and ADUFs to the CLEC</p>
B-3 (BST matrix, p 73)	<p><b>-Delete Measure</b></p> <p>Not a key measurement since it captures the accuracy of the packs, not the content of the packs</p>	
B-4 (BST matrix, p 73)	<p><b>-Delete Measure</b></p> <p>Measurement is similar to B-5. Both measure usage data delivery, but at different points. B-4 at 30 days and B-5 at 6 days</p>	

Measure/ Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Title: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section  Definition: CLECs AGREE. CLECs accept change only if BST provides retail performance at 6 months review to reset benchmarks  Exclusions: No Changes  Business Rules: CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs believe the information on how soon after recording this data is expected to be sent out, even with the long interval for this metric, is important  Calculation: No Changes  Report Structure: No Changes  Disagg: CLECs AGREE.  Standard: CLECs AGREE.  SEEM: No Changes	Title: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section  Definition: CLECs AGREE. CLECs accept change only if BST provides retail performance at 6 months review to reset benchmarks  Exclusions: No Changes  Business Rules: CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs believe the information on how soon after recording this data is expected to be sent out, even with the long interval for this metric, is important  Calculation: No Changes  Report Structure: No Changes  Disagg: CLECs AGREE.  Standard: CLECs AGREE.  SEEM: No Changes
B-5  (BST matrix, p 73-74)  (CLEC Response, Appendix B)	<p><b>Title:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> Wording clarification and removal of last sentence which refers to a retail comparison which is not appropriate given that this measurement uses a benchmark</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> No changes</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> No changes</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> No changes</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> Wording clarification</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No changes</p>	<p><b>Exclusion:</b> Add exclusion for non-completed calls</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> Add language to make clear the type of billable usage, particularly third party, that should be covered in this metric</p>	<p><b>Exclusion:</b> Add exclusion for non-completed calls</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> Add language to make clear the type of billable usage, particularly third party, that should be covered in this metric</p>
B-6  (BST matrix, p 74)  (CLEC Response, Appendix B)	<p><b>Delete Measure</b></p> <p>-Measure is correlated to B-5 timeliness B-6 is average days to deliver, but is not measuring anything additional that is meaningful</p>		<p><b>CLECs DISAGREE</b> CLECs would like to keep metrics that capture problems with back-billing</p> <p>Aged charges from past months are difficult to validate and disruptive to business plans and associated pricing. Both late recurring and non-recurring charges need to be captured. Ideally, those charges would be billed to the CLEC within 30 days of when the activity occurred</p>
B-7  (BST matrix, p 74)	<p><b>Delete Measure</b></p> <p>BellSouth does not bill the CLEC end user and BellSouth's recurring and non-recurring charges have little impact on the CLECs billing to the end user</p>		<p><b>CLECs DISAGREE</b> BST should be held responsible for accurate billing. This is not about CLECs billing end-user customers but the validation of bill completeness. (Ex Covad has recently been</p>

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
user			<p>back-billed non-recurring charges for almost 2000 Line Sharing customers due to BST's billing inaccuracies )</p> <p>CLECs would like to keep metrics that capture problems with back-billing Charges coming from far in the past are hard to check and disruptive to business plans Both late recurring and non-recurring charges need to be captured if not on a bill with 30 days of when the activity occurred for which the CLEC is being billed</p>
B-9 (BST matrix, p 74 )	-Delete Measure -Measure has had no activity in last 12 months		<p><b>CLEC's TENTATIVELY AGREE.</b> CLECs may allow deletion but would like to discuss first whether this is designed appropriately to capture any issues that may be being brought to BST's attention but does not rely on the forms that are counted in the measure</p>
B-10 (BST matrix, p 75 )	-Delete Measure Dollar value of most of volume for this measure is very small This measurement evaluates all disputes equally, regardless of the value BellSouth is willing to consider another dispute timeliness metric		<p><b>CLEC's DISAGREE.</b> Dollar value is not the issue but the measure of BST's responsiveness to requirement of 45 day response to BAR – regardless of dollar value of claims Many CLECs file large claims for adjustment and do not want to lose a metric that provides an incentive to move those claims to quicker resolution Of course, CLECs would be open to discussing improvements in the metric and promote prompt resolution of both large and small claims CLECs also have a concern that BST is claiming it's measuring on a line-item basis but the denominator counts appear too small to be done on a line item basis consistently CLECs had expected the denominator would be the number of claims filed and not the line items on those claims</p>
B-11 Billing Completion Notice Timeliness (CLEC response Appendix A, p 1-2)		-New Measure Measures the percent of completed orders for which BellSouth sent a timely billing completion notice to the CLEC Add to SEEM Tier 1 and Tier 2	

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
Operator Services/Directory Assistance			
OS-1 (BST matrix, p 75)	<b>-Delete Measure</b> Measure is Party by Design		CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLEC's and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance
OS-2 (BST matrix, p 75)	<b>-Delete Measure</b> Measure is Party by Design		CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLEC's and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance
DA-1 (BST matrix, p 75)	<b>-Delete Measure</b> Measure is Party by Design		CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLEC's and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance
DA-2 (BST matrix, p 75)	<b>-Delete Measure</b> Measure is Party by Design		CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLEC's and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance
D-1 (BST matrix, p 75)	<b>-Delete Measure</b> Process is essentially Parity by Design		CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLEC's and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance
D-2 (BST matrix, p 76)	<b>-Delete Measure</b> Accuracy of databases is also being assessed by the mechanized service order accuracy measurement		CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLEC's and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance
D-3 (BST matrix, p 76)	<b>-Delete Measure</b> Not a key measurement and BellSouth's performance has been excellent. If problems loading NXX and LRNs, problems would affect the M&R measurements		CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLEC's and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance
E-1 (BST matrix, p 76)	<b>-Delete Measure</b> Measure is Party by Design		CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLEC's and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04) Response (Agree/Disagree)
		CLECs and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance
E-2 (BST matrix, p 76)	<p><b>-Delete Measure</b> Measure is Party by Design</p>	<p>CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLECs and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance</p>
E-3 (BST matrix, p 76)	<p><b>-Delete Measure</b> Measure is Party by Design</p>	<p>CLEC's DISAGREE The fact that a measure is parity by design does not obviate the need for CLECs and the FPSC to monitor BellSouth's performance</p>
		<p><b>Trunk Group Performance</b></p>
		<p><b>Business Rules:</b> Add phrase to notification process that states, BellSouth should notify the CLEC's traffic planning group or representatives via email when such blocking meets thus exclusion criteria</p>
	<p>TGP-1 (BST matrix, p 76-78) (CLEC Response, Appendix B)</p>	<p><b>Title:</b> Modified title to combine TGP-1 (aggregate) and TGP-2 (CLEC Specific)</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> Wording clarifications</p> <p><b>-Exclusions:</b> Wording clarifications</p> <p><b>-Business Rules:</b> Wording clarifications</p> <p><b>-Report Structure:</b> Add CLEC specific report</p> <p><b>-Dusagg:</b> Add CLEC specific</p> <p><b>-Standard:</b> wording clarifications</p> <p><b>-SEEM:</b> Added to Tier 1</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs AGREE CLECs accept the moving of the definition of “unanticipated significant traffic” and wording change for another exclusion</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE. CLECs agree to combine with each result reported separately, CLEC aggregate, CLEC specific, BST blocking</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. CLEC-aggregate and CLEC-specific results should be reported separately, once with and once without CLEC-caused exclusions BST needs to explain “where applicable” language before CLECs can agree</p>

Measure/ Reference		BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)		CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)		Response (Agree/Disagree)	
TGP-2 (BST matrix, p 78) (CLEC Response, Appendix B)	-Delete Measure Combined into TGP-1					Standard: CLEC's AGREE.  SEEM: CLEC's AGREE.	
Collocation							
C-1 (BST matrix, p 78-79)	-Title: Modified title  -Definition: Wording clarifications  -Exclusions: No changes  -Business Rules: Wording clarifications  -Calculation: No changes  -Report Structure: Wording clarifications  -Disagg: Wording clarifications  -Standard: No changes  -SEEM: No changes					Title: CLEC's DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section  Definition: CLEC's AGREE. CLECs agree with the caveat that the method of measurement must be detailed (i.e., "average", "calendar days") in other sections  Exclusions: No Changes  Business Rules: CLEC's AGREE.  Calculation: No Changes  Report Structure: CLEC's AGREE.  Disagg: CLEC's AGREE.  Standard: No Changes  SEEM: No Changes	
C-2 (BST matrix, p 79-80)	-Title: Modified title  -Definition: Wording clarifications  -Exclusions: Add exclusion for any bona fide firm order with a CLEC negotiated interval longer than the benchmark interval  -Business Rules: 1) Wording clarifications, 2) Delete sentence referring to cable assignments  -Calculations: No changes  -Report Structure: Wording clarification					Title: CLEC's DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section  Definition: CLEC's DISAGREE. Retain original definition BST's clarifications make definition too vague  Exclusions: CLEC's AGREE.  Business Rules: CLEC's AGREE.  Calculation: No Changes  Report Structure: CLEC's AGREE.  Disagg: CLEC's AGREE.	

Measure/ Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
<b>BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes</b> (7/28/04)	<p>-Disagg: Revise to conform to FPSC collocation order</p> <p>-Standard: See disagg changes</p> <p>-SEEM: No changes</p> <p>C-3            (BST matrix, p 80-81)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarifications</li> <li>-Exclusions: No changes</li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Calculation: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Report Structure: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Disagg: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>Title:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>Definition:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Exclusions:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLECs DISAGREE. Retain last sentence "The arrangement is considered a missed due date " because it defines "due date "</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>Change Management</b></p> <p>CM-1            (BST matrix, p 81-82)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification (added definition of CCP)</li> <li>-Exclusions: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Calculation: No changes</li> <li>-Report Structure: No changes</li> <li>-Disagg: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul> <p><b>Standard:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> CLECs AGREE.</p>

Measure/ Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
(BST matrix, p 82)	-CM-2 is not needed because it only measures those notices missed in the CM-1 measurement	CLECs AGREE.
CM-3 (BST matrix, p 82-83)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification (add definition of CCP)</li> <li>-Exclusions: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Calculation: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Report Structure: No changes</li> <li>-Disagg: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p>Title: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p>Definition: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Exclusions: CLECs DISAGREE. BST's changes make exclusions even vaguer thereby leaving the exclusions open to abuse. They take away specific exclusions which should be retained</p> <p>Business Rules: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Calculation: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Report Structure: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Disagg: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Standard: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>SEEM: CLECs AGREE.</p>
CM-4 (BST matrix, p 83)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Delete Measure</li> <li>-CM-4 is not needed because it only measures those notices missed in the CM-3 measurement</li> </ul>	<p>CLECs AGREE.</p>
CM-5 (BST matrix, p 83)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions: No changes</li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Calculation: No changes</li> <li>-Report Structure: No changes</li> <li>-Disagg: No changes</li> <li>-Standard: No changes</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p>Title: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p>Definition: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Exclusions: No Changes</p> <p>Business Rules: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Calculation: No Changes</p> <p>Report Structure: No Changes</p> <p>Disagg: No Changes</p> <p>CLEC Note - The list of systems in the disaggregation portion of this measure (notification of outages) should match the systems in the CCP document. See below for CCP list</p> <p>From CCP Document A Type 1 System Outage is a condition where the CLEC Pre-orders / Orders /</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SOM Changes <b>CFEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)</b>
Response (Agree/Disagree)	<p>Queries / Maintenance Requests cannot be submitted or will not be accepted by BellSouth</p> <p><b>Interfaces or Gateways</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LENS - Local Exchange Navigation System</li> <li>EDI - Electronic Data Interchange</li> <li>TAG - Telecommunications Access Gateway</li> <li>TAFI - Trouble Administration Facilitation Interface</li> <li>EC-TA - Electronic Communications Trouble Administration Local</li> <li>CSOTS - CLEC Service Order Tracking System</li> </ul> <p><b>CCP Legacy Systems</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SOCS – Service Order Communications System</li> <li>LMOS – Loop Maintenance Operations System</li> <li>RSAG – Regional Street Address Guide</li> <li>ATLAS – Application for Telephone Number Load Administration &amp; Selection</li> <li>LFACS – Loop Facilities Assignment &amp; Control System</li> <li>CRIS – Customer Records Information System</li> <li>CABS – Carrier Access Billing System</li> <li>IBS – Integrated Billing Solutions</li> <li>WFA – Work Force Administration</li> </ul> <p><b>Linkages</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LEO – Local Exchange Ordering</li> <li>LESOG – Local Exchange Service Order Generator</li> <li>LNP Gateway – Local Number Portability Gateway</li> <li>LAUTO – Local Number Portability Automation</li> <li>SGG – ServiceGate Gateway</li> <li>SOG – Service Order Generator</li> <li>DOM – Delivery Order Manager</li> </ul> <p><b>Standard:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p>

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
CM-6 (BST matrix, p 83-84)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions: No changes</li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarifications</li> <li>-Calculation: Wording clarifications</li> <li>-Report Structure: Report scope changed to region</li> <li>-Disagg: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p>Title: CLEC's DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p>Definition: CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p>Exclusions: No Changes</p> <p><b>Business Rules:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. Levels of severity are included except for severity 1 It should be included based on the way the CCP is established</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> CLEC's DISAGREE. Clarify the number of days to correct the problem Critical to include in the calculation</p> <p><b>Report Structure:</b> CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p><b>Disagg:</b> CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> No Changes</p> <p><b>SEEM:</b> No Changes</p>	
CM-7 (BST matrix, p 84-85)			

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)
Response (Agree/Disagree)		
CM-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Business Rules: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Calculation: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Report Structure: Report scope changed to region</li> <li>-Disagg: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p>Title: CLEC's DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p>Definition: CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p>Exclusions: CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p>Business Rules: CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p>Calculation: CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p>Report Structure: CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p>Disagg: CLEC's AGREE.</p> <p>Standard: No Changes</p> <p>SEEM: No Changes</p> <p>Title: CLEC's DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p>Definition: CLEC's DISAGREE. See note below</p> <p>Exclusions: CLEC's DISAGREE. See note below</p> <p>Business Rules: CLEC's DISAGREE. See note below</p> <p>Calculation: CLEC's DISAGREE. See note below</p> <p>Report Structure: CLEC's DISAGREE. See note below</p> <p>Disagg: CLEC's DISAGREE. See note below</p> <p>Standard: No Changes</p> <p>SEEM: No Changes</p> <p>CLEC NOTE: CLEC's DISAGREE. The purpose of this measure is to determine defects in production releases. Excluding Severity level 1 defects from this measure impacts the validity of the overall measures. BST is attempting to have these Severity 1 'production' outages 'captured' in CM-5. Where this might be appropriate to complete the overall measure of outages, it also must be included in this measure to provide a truer picture of defects during productions. This data should be a part of both</p>
CM-9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording changes to correct a mistake in labeling the severity defects</li> <li>-Exclusions: No changes</li> <li>-Business Rules:</li> <li>1) Wording changes to correct severity level numbers,</li> <li>2) Wording clarification of the CCP</li> </ul> <p>-Calculation: Wording changes to correct severity level numbers</p> <p>-Report Structure:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording clarifications to correct severity level numbers ,</li> <li>2) Report scope changed to region</li> </ol> <p>-Disagg: Wording clarifications to correct severity level numbers</p> <p>-Standard: No changes</p> <p>-SEEM: No changes</p>	

Measure/ Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM- Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
CM-10 (BST matrix, p 87)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions: No changes</li> <li>-Business Rules: No changes</li> <li>-Calculation: No changes</li> <li>-Report Structure: Report scope changed to region</li> <li>-Disagg: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>		measures for accurate representation of the metrics
CM-11 (BST matrix, p 88-89) (CLEC Response, Appendix B)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Modified title</li> <li>-Definition: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Exclusions: No changes</li> <li>-Business Rules: No changes</li> <li>-Calculation: No changes</li> <li>-Report Structure: Report scope changed to region</li> <li>-Disagg: Wording clarification</li> <li>-Standard: No changes</li> <li>-SEEM: No changes</li> </ul>	<p>Title: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p>Definition: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Exclusions: No Changes</p> <p>Business Rules: No Changes</p> <p>Calculation: No Changes</p> <p>Report Structure: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Disagg: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Standard: No Changes</p> <p>SEEM: No Changes</p>	<p>Title: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p>Definition: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Exclusions: CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC proposal</p> <p>Business Rules: No Changes CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC proposal</p> <p>Calculation: CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs need clarification on "most recent" vs "first" release prioritization BST appears to be substantially altering the calculations This warrants further discussion at the workshop</p> <p>Report Structure: CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>Disagg: CLECs DISAGREE. Disaggregation appears to be inconsistent with report structure</p> <p>Standard: No Changes</p> <p>SEEM: No Changes</p>
Appendix A	Delete Appendix A	Appendices	CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs propose that BST add the standard reporting levels with definition

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)		
(BST matrix, p 89)	-Reporting Scope				
Appendix B (BST matrix, p 89)	Title: Change from Appendix B to A -Updates and corrections		<p>example</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Region</td> </tr> <tr> <td>State</td> </tr> </table> <p><b>CLECs' DISAGREE.</b> CLECs propose that BST SEEEM SME and CLECs' representative review each definition and provide joint recommendation on changes during review period</p> <p>In addition, CLECs propose to define test orders as those orders that do not involve "live" customers</p> <p><b>Title: CLEC's DISAGREE.</b> Keep as Appendix C Also, see CLEC comment under SQM-All Measures section</p> <p><b>C-1: CLEC's DISAGREE.</b> BST attempts to change the audit structure to audit the PMQAP as the external audit. CLECs agree to include the PMQAP in an external audit in addition to BST's own internal auditing process. It is important for the Commission and CLECs to know what internal process or audit are in place to monitor BST's adherence to proprietary processes</p> <p><b>C-2:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Wording clarifications,</li> <li>2) Insert language that states it is not necessary for BellSouth to undergo an audit of the SQM for every CLEC with which it has a contract,</li> <li>3) Remove reference to undergo an audit each year for the next five years and replace with every other year for the next five years,</li> <li>4) Remove reference to third party auditor being jointly selected by BellSouth and the CLEC</li> <li>5) Insert language that states, "the costs shall be borne 50% by BellSouth and 50% by the CLEC. If no party is sharing the costs of this audit, BellSouth may utilize its internal auditing organization,</li> <li>6) Revise language to state that independent third party auditor shall be selected by BellSouth, with input from PSC, and other parties bearing the cost of the audit</li> <li>7) Delete referenced to BellSouth, PSC, and CLEC's jointly determining scope of audit and add language that states, "Due to the regional nature of the processes used to generate performance metric data, BellSouth will agree to no more than one regional third party audit within its region per year</li> <li>8) Remove the word SEEEM from paragraph noting the intention of the audits</li> </ol> <p><b>4) CLEC's DISAGREE.</b> An external audit or</p>	Region	State
Region					
State					

Measure Reference	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
	<p>internal audit conducted by a third party should include a process for vendor selection to include the sponsors of the audit, i.e., BST, PSC, and CLECs regardless of who bears the cost of the audit</p> <p>5) CLECs DISAGREE. BellSouth self-auditing will result in a prejudiced result</p> <p>6) CLECs DISAGREE. An external audit or internal audit conducted by a third party should include a process for vendor selection to include the sponsors of the audit, i.e., BST, PSC, and CLECs regardless of who bears the cost of the audit</p> <p>7) CLECs DISAGREE IN PART. CLECs do not disagree that a regional audit may be appropriate but language should be included that addresses the state differences between ordered PSC measures and the coordination of multiple PSC involved in the audit process</p> <p>8) CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC position on SEEM</p>	<p>CLECs DISAGREE. This should remain the same with the exception of those systems interfaces added to the tables, i.e. TAG-XML, SGG</p> <p>CLECs AGREE.</p> <p>CLECs DISAGREE. BST is making significant changes affecting CLECs' access to Raw Data and the usability of that data. In addition, it appears that BST is removing their obligation to provide certain raw data files (i.e., Pre-Ordering, Collocation, Database Updates...) that they are currently providing. These changes are not consistent with the current SDUM and require further discussion between BST and the CLEC Coalition.</p>
Appendix D (BST matrix, p. 91)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Title: Change from Appendix D to Appendix C</li> <li>-Update interface tables</li> <li>-Remove OSS-1 and OSS-4 from Appendix—propose to delete measures</li> </ul>	
New Appendix (BST matrix, p. 92)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-New Appendix D to add new Reposting Policy.</li> </ul>	
New Appendix (BST matrix, p. 92)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-New Appendix E to add Description of Raw Data and other Supporting Data Files.</li> </ul>	

Measure Reference	BellSouth Proposed SQM Changes (7/28/04)	CLEC's Proposed SQM Changes.(7/28/04)	Response (Agree/Disagree)
Flow Through Matrix (BST matrix, p 93)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Remove Flow Through Matrix from SQM and make available through BellSouth website.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Performance Improvement Plan and Resolution Process</b></p> <p>Performance Plans to improve wholesale performance (CLEC Response, p 6-7)</p>	<p>CLECs AGREE.</p>
<b>Performance Improvement Plan and Resolution Process</b>			
		<p>Develop a process where performance that may be in parity, but of poor quality can be brought to BellSouth's attention with a request that such performance be improved</p>	

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. mail on this 27th day of August 2004 to:

(\*) Blanca S. Bayo  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 3239-0850

Ms Nancy B. White  
c/o Nancy H. Sims  
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc  
150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400  
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Michael A. Gross  
Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc  
246 E. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 100  
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Nanette Edwards  
ITC Deltacom  
4092 South Memorial Parkway  
Huntsville, AL 35802

Donna C. McNulty  
MCI WorldCom  
325 John Knox Road, Suite 105  
Tallahassee, FL 32302-4131

John D. McLaughlin, Jr.  
KMC Telecom, Inc.  
1755 North Brown Road  
Lawrenceville, GA 30043

Messer Law Firm  
Floyd Self  
Norman Horton  
P O Box 1867  
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Pennington Law Firm  
Peter Dunbar  
Karen Camechis  
P.O. Box 10095  
Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

Rutledge Law Firm  
Kenneth Hoffman  
John Ellis  
P.O. Box 551  
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

McWhirter Law Firm  
Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman  
117 S Gadsden St.  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Wayne Stavanja/Mark Buechel  
Supra Telecom  
1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 200  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Kimberly Caswell  
Verizon Select Services, Inc  
P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007  
Tampa, FL 33601-0110

John Rubino  
Z-Tel Communications, Inc  
601 S. Harbour Island Blvd.  
Tampa, FL 33602-5706

Renee Terry  
e spire Communications, Inc  
131 National Business Parkway, #100  
Annapolis Junction, MD 20701-10001

William Weber  
Covad Communicatoins Company  
19<sup>th</sup> Floor, Promenade II  
1230 Peachtree Street, NE  
Atlanta, GA 30309-3574

MCI  
Dulaney O'Roark, III  
Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200  
Atlanta, GA 30328

IDS Telcom, LLC  
Angel Leiro/Joe Millstone  
1525 N.W. 167<sup>th</sup> Street, Second Floor  
Miami, FL 33169-5131

Katz, Kutter Law Firm  
Charles Pellegrini/Patrick Wiggins  
106 East College Avenue, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mpower Communications Corp.  
David Woodsmall  
175 Sully's Trail, Suite 300  
Pittsford, NY 14534-4558

ALLTEL Communications, Inc  
C/O Ausley Law Firm  
Jeffrey Wahlen  
PO BOX 391  
Tallahassee, FL 32302

BellSouth Telecom., Inc.  
Patrick W. Turner/R. Douglas Lackey  
675 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 4300  
Atlanta, GA 30375

Sprint Communications Company  
Susan Masterton/Charles Rehwinkel  
PO BOX 2214  
MS: FLTLHO0107  
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Miller Isar, Inc,  
Andrew O Isar  
7901 Skansie Ave., Suite 240  
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-8349

Birch Telecom of the South, Inc  
Tad J. Sauder  
Manager, ILEC Performance Data  
2020 Baltimore Ave  
Kansas City, MO 64108

Suzanne F. Summerlin  
2536 Capital Medical Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32308-4424

Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP  
Jonathan E. Canis/Michael B. Hazzard  
1200 19<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, DC 20036

David Benck  
Momentum Business Solutions, Inc  
2700 Corporate Drive  
Suite 200  
Birmingham, AL 35242

Russell E. Hamilton, III  
Nuvox Communications, Inc  
301 N Main Street, Suite 5000  
Greenville, SC 29601

/s Tracy Hatch  
Tracy Hatch

## CLEC Coalition Proposed Changes

Row #	Proposed Change	CLEC Reasoning	BST Response
1	<p><b>Measure-Based Concept</b></p> <p>(NOTE: This row is recommended for deletion from this particular matrix of proposed changes given that the measure-based concept is not a proposed change to the existing Florida SEEM Plan. However, additional reasoning for a measure-based concept is specified in the next column)</p>	<p>Transaction-based remedies provide an incentive for BellSouth to give worse service, in order to suppress CLEC volumes</p> <p>Maintains continuity with the current remedy plan</p> <p>Addresses the need for sufficient remedies even at small volumes</p> <p>Violations give evidence of processes being out of parity. Measure-based plans tie the remedy to motivating behavior to provide incentive to fix the process</p>	<p><b>Measure-based plan:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Fatal flaw not scalable</li> <li>&gt; Assesses the same penalty amount whether there is 1 failed transaction or 1000</li> <li>&gt; High penalty on "first offense" of missing a measurement</li> <li>&gt; History showed inherent difficulty of attempting to forcibly graft severity feature onto measurement-based plan</li> <li>&gt; False appearance of reflecting the degree of severity</li> <li>&gt; Problems with overlaying severity of measurement-based plan</li> </ul> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 no direct linkage to performance,</li> <li>2 inability to link corrective action to performance failure,</li> <li>3 arbitrary measures of severity,</li> <li>4 huge payments for small performance differences,</li> <li>5 imposition of arbitrary caps, and</li> <li>6 penalties increasing simply due to growth in number of customers served by CLECs</li> </ol>

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	CLEC Reasoning	BSC Response
2	<b>Base Remedy Payment Calculation</b> $d * \text{SQRT}(n) * B$ d = disparity index = CLEC Perf / Applicable Stnd - 1 B = Factor varies by Meas / Prod Cat	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Essential to incorporate severity considerations in the determination of the remedy amount</li> <li>- Measures severity in terms of the CLEC performance relative to either the ILEC performance or a designated benchmark</li> <li>- <u>Disparity index derived based on like-to-like comparisons</u></li> <li>- <u>Disparity index capped to avoid extreme remedies when BellSouth's support for its own customers is extremely better than how it supports CLEC customers</u></li> <li>- Incorporates volume while maintaining adequate incentives at low volumes and avoiding extreme incentives at high volumes</li> <li>- Remedies designed to be close to the remedy amounts in the current SEEM fee schedule</li> <li>- Bases remedies on the disparity index which is similar to what FPSC Staff previously recommended</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Currently</li> <li>➤ No basis for escalation rate each month</li> <li>➤ Application of escalation feature only compounds arbitrarily punitive nature of plan</li> <li>➤ Tier 1 was designed to be liquidated damages</li> <li>➤ Each month's failures are separate transactions unrelated to transactions in previous months</li> </ul>
3	<b>\$25,000 Limit on First Month Violation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Addresses concerns raised about the magnitude of per submetric remedy amounts</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤</li> </ul>
3.1	<b>Small Volume Cap</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Further limits potential remedies at small volumes for proportion parity measures</li> <li>- Address concerns about large remedies at low volumes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤</li> <li>➤ Remedy amounts for Tier 1 should escalate in the same fashion across all domains</li> <li>➤ <del>Systemic problems should be subject to administrative review</del></li> <li>➤ BellSouth continually reports below-standard performance for some submeasures</li> <li>➤ Factors approximate those in current fee schedule</li> </ul>
4	<b>Persistence Factor</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Further limits potential remedies at small volumes for proportion parity measures</li> <li>- Address concerns about large remedies at low volumes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Currently</li> <li>➤ Remedy amounts for Tier 1 should escalate in the same fashion across all domains</li> <li>➤ <del>Systemic problems should be subject to administrative review</del></li> <li>➤ BellSouth continually reports below-standard performance for some submeasures</li> <li>➤ Factors approximate those in current fee schedule</li> </ul>

## Florida Public Service Commission

### SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	CLEC Reasoning	BST Response
5	<p><b>Tier 2</b>  <u>(NOTE:</u> This row is recommended for deletion from this particular matrix given that the Tier 2 calculation is not a proposed change to the existing Florida SEEM Plan.)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Status Quo</li> <li>&gt; Allows the Tier 1 implementation to be evaluated prior to disruption caused by modifications. If the modified Tier 1 proves to enable the generated remedies to be effective in motivating compliant performance by BellSouth, then potential changes associated with Tier 2 would be avoided.</li> </ul>	A

**BellSouth Proposed Changes**

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
1	<b>Remedy Plan based on Transaction-based system</b>	<p><b>Transaction-based approach:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Inherently scalable</li> <li>&gt; Straightforward variation of penalties based on severity</li> <li>&gt; Transaction-based plan is preferable as a general proposition, from a practical standpoint</li> <li>&gt; Currently, at least 40 states, including Florida, use transaction-based plans</li> </ul>	>
2	<b>Quantifying disparate transactions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Counts number of disparate transactions and pays penalties on those</li> <li>&gt; Most direct and logical approach           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Alter the most damaging “out-of-parity” situations first</li> <li>o Alter next most damaging until “parity” is achieved</li> </ul> </li> <li>&gt; Corrects transactions having greatest potential customer impact first, before correcting those having lesser potential impact</li> </ul>	>
3	<b>Interpolation for Total Affected Volume</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; All transactions in final cell may not need to be altered for “parity”</li> <li>&gt; Appropriate action interpolate to bring sub-metric into “parity”</li> </ul>	>
4	<b>Parity Point versus Detection Point</b>	BellSouth is obligated to pay penalties under SEEM only up to the point necessary to achieve “parity” of service for CLECs	>

## Florida Public Service Commission

### SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
<b>5</b>	<b>Amounts per transaction</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Current Plan problematic           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Exorbitant penalties</li> <li>o Bear no rational relationship to CLECs               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Performance provided to CLECs</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Service charges associated with such penalties</li> <li>• Damage (if any) sustained</li> <li>o Often amount to years worth of free service</li> <li>&gt; Current transaction-based fees in other states</li> <li>o Outdated</li> <li>o Continued use is unwarranted and inefficient</li> <li>o Resulted from evidence presented to GPSC in 2000</li> </ul> </li> <li>&gt; Developed with much less CLEC activity</li> <li>o Fee schedule artificially high, although thought to be too low initially</li> <li>o Penalty amount/transaction – excessive relative to typical rate for service</li> <li>o Artificially high fee schedule compounded with increased CLEC activity cause transaction-based payment to scale too high</li> <li>&gt; Two fee schedules proposed           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o New standard fee schedule</li> <li>o Low performance schedule               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Will apply if performance materially deteriorates from current levels</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Same as fee schedule currently in all other transaction-based SEEMs for BellSouth</li> </ul> </li> <li>o Allay any concerns that Proposed SEEM is too soft to deter backsliding</li> <li>o If performance deteriorates by a statistically significant degree from baseline, then fees increase dramatically</li> <li>o Permits BellSouth to avoid penalties w/ statistically significant improvement in overall performance</li> <li>&gt; More in line with rebates in commercial transactions where performance guarantees are provided</li> </ul>	>

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	SEEM Reasoning	CLEC Response
<b>6</b>	<p><b>"High Performance" / "Standard Performance" / "Low Performance"</b></p> <p>Enforcement Mechanisms Methodology            (Tier 1) Section 4.3.1.4 If BellSouth's performance in the current month should exceed the baseline level by three standard deviations, no Tier-1 payment will apply for any CLEC in that month</p> <p>Enforcement Mechanisms Methodology            (Tier 2) Section 4.3.2.2 If BellSouth's performance, as measured by the average percent of submetrics met for the three months used to determine whether Tier 2 applies in the current data month, exceeds the baseline performance by three standard deviations, no Tier-2 payment will apply for any CLEC in the current data month</p> <p>Need example showing how this will work for each possible combination</p> <p>Benchmark/Parity/Mean/Proportion</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Implements new anti-backsliding mechanism</li> <li>&gt; Professed role of SEEM provide another mechanism to deter backsliding in performance</li> <li>&gt; Other mechanisms exist to address backsliding               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Complaints to federal and state commissions</li> <li>o Monitoring by those same commissions</li> <li>o Contract provisions</li> <li>o Court actions</li> </ul> </li> <li>&gt; Facts show that there has been no backsliding under the current SEEM</li> <li>&gt; Provision requires SEEM to revert to a much more punitive SEEM if performance deteriorates materially</li> <li>&gt; Additional incentive relieves BST of payments if a material improvement in overall performance occurs               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o To improve performance</li> <li>o To partially compensate for the risk of reverting to fee schedule used currently for other transaction-based plans</li> </ul> </li> <li>&gt; Existing plan requires BST to provide CLECs better service in the aggregate than retail in order to eliminate penalty payments               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Performance for each CLEC is compared to BST's average performance across a geographic area</li> <li>o Contrary to intent of SEEM</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;</li> </ul>
<b>7</b>	<p><b>Disaggregation</b></p> <p><b>Cell: Wire Center, dispatched, service-type, # of circuits</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Desired for each Domain, but not proposed to this extent               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o 1 measure of timeliness</li> <li>o 1 measure of accuracy</li> </ul> </li> <li>&gt; Measures of some intermediate processes were removed               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Little, if any, customer effect</li> <li>o Any significant customer effect would likely be reflected in other measures</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Disaggregation should allow for like-to-like comparisons. The current set of submetrics facilitates accurate comparisons of results to expected performance</li> </ul>

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change		BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
Row #	Degree of Escalation			
8	<b>To pay or not to pay for only 1 failed month</b> Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions Section 4.1.7 Enforcement mechanisms - for any <u>two consecutive months</u> as calculated by BellSouth Enforcement Mechanisms Methodology Section 4.3.1 Tier-1 Enforcement Mechanisms will be triggered <del>month</del> for two (2) consecutive months		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Tier 1 fee amounts would only escalate in month 2</li> <li>&gt; Tier 2 penalties apply beginning in month 3</li> <li>&gt; More fully utilizes the Tier 2 mechanism, which was designed to address cases of persistent metric failures</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;</li> </ul>
9			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Situation more likely problematic when volumes are low</li> <li>o Currently, due to excessive disaggregation</li> <li>o Still to some extent in Tier 1 for proposed plan</li> <li>o Does not represent discriminatory practice</li> <li>o Some failures are anomalies</li> <li>o No systemic changes required to address failures</li> <li>o Random occurrences <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• temporary random system malfunction</li> <li>• random human error</li> </ul> </li> <li>o No corrective action can be taken</li> <li>o Neither predictable nor preventable</li> <li>o Penalty clearly inconsistent with objectives of SEEM</li> <li>&gt; Assessing penalties based on a single-month failure equates statistical significance with materiality</li> <li>o Only deals in probabilities and not certainties</li> <li>o Depends on inputs for certain materiality parameters such as Delta, Psi and Epsilon</li> <li>o Only identify statistically significance</li> <li>o Cannot determine actual materiality</li> <li>&gt; Commission has already adopted for Verizon</li> <li>&gt; Virtually removes likelihood of assessing remedies for random occurrences</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;</li> </ul>

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
10	<p><b>Delta</b></p> <p>Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions Section 416 Delta -</p> <p>For individual CLEC's <u>submittes</u> the Delta value shall be determined using Ford's Delta Function as ordered by the Florida Public Service Commission - 1.0 and for the CLEC aggregate the Delta value shall be .5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Single delta value           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Tier 1 of 1.0</li> <li>o Tier 2 of 0.5</li> </ul> </li> <li>&gt; Current delta function           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Initially proposed by Z-Tel's economist Dr Ford</li> <li>o To address adjustment to the statistical balancing methodology</li> <li>o Dr Ford introduced some confusion about several key hypothesis testing issues               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) statistical hypothesis test's significance level</li> <li>(2) interpretation of a "balanced" hypothesis test</li> <li>(3) reasons for using "balancing" in SEEM plan</li> </ul> </li> <li>&gt; No need for "fix" of Dr Ford's delta function               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o No reason to conclude serious flaws are in the balancing methodology</li> <li>o No indication of problem initially alleged by Dr Ford in all 7 of BST's states with single delta value</li> </ul> </li> <li>&gt; Use of delta function introduces additional variables               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Requiring subjective exercise in determining values</li> <li>o Probably creates more problems than it solves</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;</li> </ul>
11	<p>Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions</p> <p>C.1.5: Trimming</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Originated in Louisiana Workshop in 1999           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o CLEC volumes and distributions were much smaller than they are now</li> <li>o Distributional differences no longer a factor               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Requires each observation to be discarded be examined to determine if true business reason exists for discarding this real data</li> <li>&gt; Defeats Self Effectuating aspect of SEEM plan</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;</li> </ul>

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
12	<b>Appendix D</b> Statistical Formulas and Technical Descriptions Beginning on page 101 Revised Section D to incorporate the change from measurement-based plan to a transaction based plan and to change from the floating delta approach, based on the Ford delta function, a fixed delta of 1.0 for Tier 1 and 0.5 for Tier 2 See Exhibit B, Appendix D	Section D has been substantially revised to reflect the change from a per-measurement based SEEM plan to a per-transaction based SEEM plan Therefore, the entire section is shown in red	A
13	Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions Section C The statistical process for testing whether BellSouth's (BST) wholesale customers (alternative local exchange carriers or CLEC <del>A</del> ECs) are being treated equally with BST's retail customers involves more than a simple mathematical formula Three key elements need to be considered before an appropriate decision process can be developed These are the type of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• data</li> <li>• comparison</li> <li>• performance</li> </ul> This section describes the properties of a test methodology and the truncated Z statistic for <del>four</del> two types of measures	This change reflects the fact that BellSouth's proposal does not include rate or ratio measures and to correct ALEC to read CLEC	A
14	Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions Section C 1 <b>Necessary Properties for a Test Methodology</b> Once the key elements are determined, a test methodology should be developed that complies with the following properties <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Like-to-Like Comparisons</li> <li>• Aggregate Level Test Statistic</li> <li>• Production Mode Process</li> <li>• Balancing</li> <li>• Trimming</li> </ul>	Changed to reflect the removal of the trimming of data in the process See rationale below for Appendix C, section C 1.5	A
15	Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions C 1.1 <b>Like-to-Like Comparisons</b> When possible, data should be compared at appropriate levels, e.g. wire center, time of month, dispatched residential, new orders The testing process should <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify variables that may affect the performance measure</li> <li>• Record these important confounding covariates</li> <li>• Adjust for the observed covariates in order to remove potential biases and to make the CLEC<del>A</del>EC and the ILEC units as comparable as possible</li> </ul>	Correction	A
16	Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions	Correction	A

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response										
	<b>C 1.2 Aggregate Level Test Statistic</b> Each performance measure of interest should be summarized by one overall test statistic giving the decision maker a rule that determines whether a statistically significant difference exists. The test statistic should have the following properties <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The method should provide a single overall index on a standard scale</li> <li>• If entries in comparison cells are exactly proportional over a covariate, the aggregated index should be very nearly the same as if comparisons on the covariate had not been done</li> <li>• The contribution of each comparison cell should depend on the number of observations in the cell</li> <li>• Cancellation between comparison cells should be limited</li> <li>• The index should be a continuous function of the observations</li> </ul>												
17	Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions <b>C 1.6 Measurement Types</b> The performance measurements that will undergo testing are of four two types mean, ratio, and proportion and rate. All four both have similar characteristics. Different types of data are used to calculate them. Table C-1 shows the type of data that is used to derive each measurement type <b>Table C-1: Measurements Types and Data</b> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Measurement Type</th> <th style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Data Used to Derive Measure</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Mean</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Interval measurements</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Ratio</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Counts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Proportion</td> <td style="text-align: center;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Rate</td> <td style="text-align: center;"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Measurement Type	Data Used to Derive Measure	Mean	Interval measurements	Ratio	Counts	Proportion		Rate		These changes reflect the fact that there are no rate or ratio measures in BellSouth's proposed SEEM plan. There are no ratio measures in the existing SEEM plan either.	
Measurement Type	Data Used to Derive Measure												
Mean	Interval measurements												
Ratio	Counts												
Proportion													
Rate													
18	Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions <b>C.2 Testing Methodology—The Truncated Z</b> The calculation of the Truncated-Z statistic is described in Appendix A of the "Louisiana Statistician's Report." The methodology described in this document is the same as that described in the "Statistician's Report," however, this document contains extra technical details to avoid undefined situations when programming the technique.	These changes are added to make minor corrections and to delete the discussion concerning the Louisiana study, which is not necessary for an understanding of the statistical methodology.											
	In summary, many covariates are chosen in order to provide meaningful comparison levels below the sub-metric level chosen for the parity comparison. This includes such factors as wire center and time of month, as well as order type for												

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
	<p>provisioning measures In each comparison cell, a Z statistic is calculated. The form of the Z statistic may vary depending on the performance measure, but it should be distributed approximately as a standard normal, with mean zero and variance equal to one. Assuming that the test statistic is derived so that it is negative when the performance for the <u>CLEC</u> <u>ALEC</u> is worse than for the ILEC, a positive truncation is done – i.e. if the result is negative it is left alone, if the result is positive it is changed to zero. A weighted <del>sum</del>average of the truncated statistics is calculated where a cell's weight depends on the volume of BST and CLEC <u>ALEC</u>-orders in the cell. The weighted <del>sum</del>average is standardized by the subtracting the theoretical mean of the truncated distribution, and this is divided by the standard error of the weighted sum. Summaries based on measurement type are given for the calculation of the cell Z statistic.</p>		
19	<p><b>Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions</b></p> <p><b>C.2 1 Mean Measures</b></p> <p>For mean measures, an adjusted, asymmetric t statistic is calculated for each like-to-like cell that has at least seven BST and seven CLEC <u>ALEC</u> transactions. This statistic is an adjustment to the modified z-statistic in order to make the assumption that the statistic is approximately normally distributed more reasonable even for fairly small sample sizes. The adjusted, asymmetric t statistic is part of the methodology described in the "Statstician's Report," and it has been documented for the statistical community in the August 2001 issue of The American Statstician, a peer review statstics journal. The statistic was created for mean performance measure parity tests in order to reduce the number of permutation tests needed for calculating cell statistics. Several sets of BST/CLEC mean measure data from Louisiana were examined in order to determine when the adjustment results give approximately the same results as a permutation test. The result is that a A permutation test is used when one or both of the BST and CLEC <u>ALEC</u> sample sizes is less than seven. The adjusted, asymmetric t statistic and the permutation calculation are described below in <u>Appendix D Statistical Formulas and Technical Description</u>.</p>	<p>These changes are added for clarification purposes and to delete the discussion concerning the Louisiana study, which is not necessary for the understanding of the statistical methodology.</p>	

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
20	<b>Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions C 2 Proportion Measures</b>  For performance measures that are calculated as a proportion, in each adjustment cell, the cell Z and the moments for the truncated cell Z can be calculated in a direct manner. In adjustment cells where proportions are not close to zero or one, and where the sample sizes are reasonably large ( $n(pj)(1-pj) > 9$ ), a normal approximation can be used. In this case, the moments for the truncated Z come directly from properties of the standard normal distribution. If the normal approximation is not appropriate, then the Z statistic is calculated from the hypergeometric distribution <del>to the exact permutation distribution</del> . In this case, the moments of the truncated Z are calculated exactly using the hypergeometric probabilities	These changes are added for clarification purposes	▲
21	<b>Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions C 2 3 Rate Measures</b>  The truncated Z methodology for rate measures has the same general structure for calculating the Z in each cell as proportion measures. For the rate measure customer trouble report rate there are a fixed number of access lines in service for the ALEC, $b_2$ , and a fixed number of access lines in service for the BST, $b_1$ . The modeling assumption is that the occurrence of a trouble is independent between access lines, and the number of troubles in b-access lines follows a Poisson distribution with mean b where $b$ is the probability of a trouble per 1 access line and $b = b_1 + b_2$ . It is the total number of access lines in service. The exact permutation distribution for this situation is the binomial distribution (the limit for the hypergeometric distribution) that is based on the total number of BST and ALEC troubles, n, and the proportion of BST access lines in service, $q = b_1/b$ .	This proposed deletion of the existing language reflects the fact that there are no rate measures in BellSouth's proposed SEEM plan	▲
22	<b>Appendix C Statistical Properties and Definitions</b>	This change reflects the fact that there are no ratio	▲

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
	C 2 4 Ratio Measures The current plan contains no measures that call for the use of a Z-party statistic.	measures in either the existing or the proposed SEEM plan	

**CLEC Coalition Proposed Changes**

Proposed Change	CLEC Reasoning	BST Response
<b>Administrative Review:</b> After 6 consecutive violations, the affected CLEC has the right to request an administrative review by Staff  Similarly, after 6 months of Tier 2 violations, any CLEC with volume for that submeasure has the right to request an administrative review.	At the review, the CLEC could propose additional actions to identify the source of that problem and to alleviate it	A
<b>PARIS Reporting</b>  The CLEC Coalition requests that this Commission require BellSouth to report the specific information in its CLEC-specific PARIS reports for each submeasure to <b>Disclose Degree of Non-Compliance</b> .  The CLEC Coalition proposes that BellSouth be required to <b>Disclose Source of Adjustments</b> and cite detailed requirements as to what information should be disclosed and how.	<p><b>Disclose Degree of Non-Compliance</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Currently           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Inadequate to understand level of severity</li> <li>○ Only remedy amounts are provided</li> <li>○ No underlying data for compliance determination calculations</li> </ul> </li> <li>➢ Disclose degree of non-compliance for a given violation</li> <li>➢ Greater visibility into non-compliance determination</li> <li>➢ Better understanding of how remedy amounts were derived</li> <li>➢ Data currently reported in LA, but not necessarily useful to them</li> <li>➢ Should help to provide delta comparisons</li> </ul> <p><b>Disclose Source of All Adjustments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Currently           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No disclosed substantiation for adjustments</li> <li>○ No reference linking adjustment to a notification or description to clearly determine the source</li> <li>○ Multiple adjustments, possibly from different errors, sometimes posted in single total adjustment</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	A

Florida Public Service Commission

SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

**BellSouth Proposed Changes**

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
1	Reporting 2.1 with BellSouth's SQMs and pay penalties in accordance with the applicable SEEMs, which are posted on the Performance Measurement Reports website.	Clarification and correction	> CLECs AGREE.
2	Reporting 2.2 BellSouth will also provide electronic access to the available raw data underlying the SQMs	Correction	> CLECs AGREE.
3	Reporting 2.4 Final validated SEEM reports will be posted on the Performance Measurements Reports website on the 15th day of the month, following the posting of final validated SQM reports for that data month or the first business day thereafter	Clarification	> CLECs AGREE assuming the language change does not negate BellSouth's responsibility to provide SEEM payments 15 days after month that succeeds the data month.
4	Reporting 2.6 BellSouth shall pay penalties to the Commission, in the aggregate, for all incomplete or inaccurate reposted SQM reports in the amount of \$400 per day See Appendix G for definition of "reposted."	Only changes that are significant enough to trigger reposting according to the criteria could have a meaningful effect on data accuracy	> CLECs DISAGREE. Due to the criteria associated with reposting determinations, sanctions for incomplete or inaccurate reporting could be mitigated. BellSouth has provided no rationale for elimination of their obligation to pay penalties for incomplete or inaccurate reporting. The penalty is intended to motivate BellSouth to be complete in its reporting.
5	Reporting 2.7 Tier II SEEMS payments and Administrative fines and penalties for late, incomplete, and reposted reports will be sent via Federal Express to the Commission Checks and the accompanying transmittal letter will be postmarked on or before the 15th of the month or the first business day thereafter	To the extent that posted performance measurement reports are incomplete, the Reposting Policy covers the requirements to repost the data, and consequently to pay associated penalties. Accordingly, there is no need to reflect separately a penalty associated with incomplete reports. Wording is also provided to clarify that the due day for the postmarked transmittal of payments is based on the first relevant business day based on standard business practices	> CLECs DISAGREE IN PART. CLECs disagree with the elimination of penalties for incomplete reporting. CLECs can agree with the payment terms, but would prefer the modified language to be as follows: or the first business day thereafter, "when the 15 <sup>th</sup> falls on a non-business day."
6	Reporting 2.9 BellSouth will provide documentation of late and incomplete differences during the	Language is applicable to performance measurement data posting as required	> CLECs DISAGREE. If BellSouth does not provide the

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
	reporting month that the data is posted to the website.	by the SQM only and not SEEM	documentation, CLECs have no way of being noticed of that the report's content were incomplete. The omission may not be visibly noticeable.
7	Review of Measurements and Enforcement Mechanisms 3.1 BellSouth will participate in six-month annual review cycles starting six-months after one year from the date of the Commission order	The review process lasts for several months and a series of six-month review cycles is not feasible. Therefore, BellSouth propose an annual review cycle, which may be more manageable for all parties involved	> CLECs AGREE.
8	Modification to Measures Review of Measurements and Enforcement Mechanisms 3.2 BellSouth and the ALEC shall file any proposed revisions to the SEEM plan one month prior to the beginning of each review period.	Unnecessary because Commission or Staff will establish schedule	> CLECs DISAGREE. Given the limited CLEC resources, this entry help facilitate required planning to ensure that the comments are prepared in a timely manner.
9	Modification to Measures Review of Measurements and Enforcement Mechanisms 3.3 From time to time, BellSouth may be ordered by the Florida Public Service Commission to modify or amend the SQMs or SEEMS. Nothing will preclude any party from participating in any proceeding involving BellSouth's SQMs or SEEMS from advocating that those measures be modified.	Superfluous	> CLECs DISAGREE. This language is essential. This language provides the Staff with the flexibility to exercise its authority recommend changes outside the proposed annual review process such BellSouth's performance dictate.
10	Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions 4.1.1 Enforcement Measurement Elements – performance measurements identified as SEEM measurements within the SEEM in this plan	Correction to reflect removal of SEEM submetric identification from SQM	> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs need clarification and do not understand the proposed change.
11	Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions 4.1.2 Enforcement Measurement Benchmark compliance – competitive level of performance established by the Commission used to evaluate the performance of BellSouth and each ALEC for CLECs for penalties where no analogous retail process, product or service is feasible	Clarification and correction	> CLECs DISAGREE. The language should remain unchanged given that the need to have a formal, agreed-upon definition of compliance with SEEM.
12	Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions 4.1.3 Enforcement Measurement / Retail Analog Compliance – comparing performance levels provided to BellSouth retail customers with performance levels provided by BellSouth to the CLEC ALEC customer for penalties measures where retail analogs apply	Clarification and correction	> CLECs DISAGREE. > The language should remain unchanged given that the need to have a formal, agreed-upon definition of compliance with SEEM.

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
13	Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions  4.1.4 <i>Test Statistic and Balancing Critical Value</i> – means by which enforcement will be determined using statistically valid equations. The Test Statistic and Balancing Critical Value properties are set forth in Appendix E, <del>as incorporated herein by this reference</del> , Statistical Formulas and Technical Description	Correction	> CLECs AGREE.
14	Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions Section  4.1.5 Cell - all BellSouth retail ISDN (POTS) services, for residential customers,	Clarification and Correction	CLECs DISAGREE. This change does not represent a clarification or correction. ISDN does represent a different cell level of disaggregation.
15	Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions  4.1.8 <i>Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms</i> – assessments paid directly to the Florida Public Service Commission or its designee. Tier 2 Enforcement Mechanisms are triggered by three consecutive monthly failures <del>in Tier-2 enforcement measurement elements</del> in which BellSouth performance is out of compliance or does not meet the benchmarks for the aggregate of all CLEC ALEC data as calculated by BellSouth for a particular Tier-2 Enforcement Measurement Element	Clarification and correction	> CLECs DISAGREE. The proposed change adds confusion to the definition and does not delineate the fact that compliance to determined at the submetric level. CLECs recommend replacing deleted language with “of a Tier-2 submetric”
16	Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions  <del>4.1.9 Affiliate — person that (directly or indirectly) owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, another person. For purposes of this paragraph, the term “own” means to own an equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 10 percent.</del>	This term is not used in applying the methodology of the Plan therefore the definition is not needed	> CLECs DISAGREE. The definition should be retained such that a common understanding, pertaining to designating data should be excluded in determining Tier 1 & Tier 2 compliance. The PSC decision stated that, “We will monitor the BellSouth ALEC affiliate performance metrics results provided each month until an assessment can be made of the data’s relevance and significance. At this time, no use should be made of the affiliate data for determining Tier 1 or Tier 2 compliance.”
17	Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions  4.1.9 Affected Volume – that proportion of the total impacted CLEC volume or CLEC Aggregate volume for which remedies will be paid	New definition required for operation of proposed transaction-based remedy mechanism	> CLECs DISAGREE. The proposed definition is too vague. CLEC recommend the following definition: “the quantity of failed transaction that

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
18	Enforcement Mechanisms Definitions <u>4.1.10 Parity Gap</u> – refers to the incremental departure from a compliant-level of service. This is also referred to as “dift” in Appendix D, Statistical Formulas and Technical Description	New definition required for operation of proposed transaction-based remedy mechanism	> CLECs AGREE IN PART AND DISAGREE IN PART. CLECs agree to inclusion of a definition if this terminology will be used in the plan.
19	Enforcement Mechanisms Application 4.2.1 The application of the Tier-1 and Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms does not foreclose other legal and regulatory claims and remedies available to each CLECALEC	Correction	> CLECs AGREE.
20	Enforcement Mechanisms Application <u>4.2.2 performance and the payment of any Tier-1 or Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms shall not be used as evidence that BellSouth has not complied with or has violated any state or federal law or regulation</u> The payment of any Tier-1 Enforcement Mechanism to a CLEC shall be credited against any liability associated with or related to BellSouth’s service performance	These changes are to avoid situations where the CLECs are paid multiple times for problems associated with the same transaction or occurrence. Certainly the purpose of plans like the SEEM plan is not to unduly penalize BellSouth and unjustly enrich the CLECs  Similarly, Tier-2 penalties, which are paid to the Commission, should not represent dual assessments against BellSouth for the same performance related problems  Clarification to remove potential controversy about whether the proposed SEEM can be mandated	> LEGAL ARGUMENTS TO BE INCLUDED.
21	Enforcement Mechanisms Methodology 4.3.1 All OCNs and ACNAs for individual CLECs, ALECs, will be consolidated for purposes of calculating transaction measure-based failures	Correction	> CLECs AGREE.
22	Enforcement Mechanisms Methodology 4.3.1 When a measurement has five or more transactions for the CLECALEC,		

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
	calculations will be performed to determine remedies according to the methodology described in the remainder of the document		> CLECs AGREE.
23	Enforcement Mechanisms Methodology 4.3.2 Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms will be triggered by BellSouth's failure to achieve applicable Enforcement Measurement Compliance or Enforcement Measurement Benchmarks for the State of Florida for given Enforcement Measurement Elements for three consecutive months. The <del>based-upon-the-method</del> method of calculation is set forth in Appendix D, <del>incorporated-herein-by-this-reference</del> . Statistical Formulas and Technical Description	Clarification	> CLECs AGREE.
24	Enforcement Mechanisms Methodology 4.3.2.1 Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms apply, for an aggregate of all CLEC ALEC data generated by BellSouth, on a per <del>measurement-transaction</del> basis for <del>a-particular</del> Enforcement Measurement Element <del>each</del> Enforcement Mechanism Element for which BellSouth has reported non-compliance	See the discussion for section 4.3.1.3 above concerning the recommended change for Tier 1 from per-measure to a per-transaction based plan	> CLECs DISAGREE. The current language is not vague and eliminates the fixed time frame. The proposed change permits BellSouth to provide payments beyond the 45 day interval.
25	Enforcement Mechanisms Payment of Tier-1 and Tier-2 Amounts 4.4.1 If BellSouth performance triggers an obligation to pay Tier-1 Enforcement Mechanisms to an CLEC ALEC or an obligation to remit Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms to the Commission or its designee, BellSouth shall make payment in the required amount by the 15th day of the second month following the month for which disparate treatment was measured on the day upon which the final validated SEEM reports are posted on the Performance Measurements Reports website as set forth in Section 2.4 above	Clarification and to ensure consistency	> CLECs DISAGREE. The current language is not vague and eliminates the fixed time frame. The proposed change permits BellSouth to provide payments beyond the 45 day interval.
26	Enforcement Mechanisms Payment of Tier-1 and Tier-2 Amounts 4.4.2 For each day after the due date that BellSouth fails to pay an CLEC ALEC the required amount, BellSouth will pay the CLEC ALEC 6% simple interest per annum	Correction	> CLECs AGREE.
27	Enforcement Mechanisms Payment of Tier-1 and Tier-2 Amounts 4.4.3 For each day after the due date that BellSouth fails to pay the Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms, BellSouth will pay the Commission an additional \$1,000 per day		> CLECs AGREE.
28	Enforcement Mechanisms Payment of Tier-1 and Tier-2 Amounts 4.4.4 within sixty (60) days after the payment due date of the performance measurement report for which the obligation arose	Clarification and correction	> CLECs DISAGREE. 1. Elimination of "payment due" did not require clarification. The PSC Order reflected that the claim should be submitted 60 days after the payment due date. 2. Addition of language "of the performance measurement report for which the obligation arose" basically reduces the time allotted to CLECs for amassing the level of details to substantiate their claims. 3. BellSouth provides no substantiation for deletion of the

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
29	<p>Enforcement Mechanisms Payment of Tier-1 and Tier-2 Amounts</p> <p>4.5 At the end of each calendar year, an independent accounting firm, mutually agreeable to the Florida Public Service Commission and BellSouth, shall certify that all penalties under that the results of all penalties under Tier-1 and Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms were paid and accounted for in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). These annual audits shall be performed based upon audited data of BellSouth's performance measurements.</p> <p>For Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms, if the Commission requests clarification of an amount paid, a written claim shall be submitted to BellSouth within sixty (60) days after the date of the performance measurement report for which the obligation arose. BellSouth shall investigate all claims and provide the Commission written findings within thirty (30) days after receipt of the claim. If BellSouth determines the Commission is owed additional amounts, BellSouth shall pay such additional amounts within thirty (30) days after its findings along with 6% simple interest per annum.</p>	<p>The deleted portion is covered to the extent necessary by revised audit provisions. The Audit Policy is provided herein as section 4.8</p> <p>Correct oversight by adding procedure to address clarification requests for Tier 2 by the Commission, which already exists for Tier 1 for CLECs</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; CLECs DISAGREE.</li> </ul> <p>1. First, the CLECs do not agree with the proposed audit policy. Second, the Audit Policy, as described in section 4.8, make no mention of the Audit Policy including tasks represented in the language marked for deletion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. CLECs DISAGREE.</li> </ul> <p>The claim process should be consistent between the PSC and CLECs.</p>
30	<p>Enforcement Mechanisms Payment of Tier-1 and Tier-2 Amounts</p> <p><u>4.6 BellSouth may set off any SEEM payments to a CLEC against undisputed amounts owed by a CLEC to BellSouth pursuant to the Interconnection Agreement between the parties which have not been paid to BellSouth within ninety (90) days past the Bill Due Date as set forth in the Billing Attachment of the Interconnection Agreement.</u></p>	<p>Prevent unreasonable situation where BellSouth is paying SEEM to a CLEC who is not paying an undisputed bill</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; CLECs DISAGREE.</li> </ul> <p>Given that there is not even a consistent view between BellSouth and CLECs on what constitutes an "undisputed amount", CLECs are opposed to any offsets of SEEM payments with outstanding amounts that BellSouth view to be due to BellSouth from the CLEC.</p> <p>Additionally, if a bill is not being paid, it is clearly disputed.</p>
31	<p>Enforcement Mechanisms Payment of Tier-1 and Tier-2 Amounts</p> <p><u>4.7 Any adjustments for underpayment or overpayment of calculated Tier 1 and Tier 2 remedies will be made consistent with the terms of BellSouth's Policy On Reposting Of Performance Data and Recalculation of SEEM Payments, as set forth in Appendix G of this document</u></p>	<p>This provision is provided to formalize the incorporation of the Reposting Policy</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; CLECs DISAGREE.</li> </ul> <p>There are circumstances, other than those triggered by the Reposting Policy, that could necessitate the issuance of an adjustment. Adjustment, unrelated to the Reposting Policy, should not be prohibited due to this proposed language.</p> <p>Additionally, the Reposting Policy is already formalized by being an existing section of the</p>

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	SEEM Reasoning	CLEC Response
32	Enforcement Mechanisms Payment of Tier-1 and Tier-2 Amounts <u>4.8 Any adjustments for underpayments will be made in the next month's payment cycle after the recalculation is made. The final current month PARIS reports will reflect the final paid dollars, including adjustments for prior months where applicable. Questions regarding the adjustments should be made in accordance with the normal process used to address CLEC questions related to SEEM payments.</u>	Clarify by stating current practice used to make adjustments and address CLEC questions	> CLECs DISAGREE. The proposed process for handling adjustment questions is currently ineffective. CLECs have consistently not been able to acquire the level of detail to understand or gain knowledge of the source of adjustments by using the "normal process used to address CLEC questions related to SEEM payments.
33	Enforcement Mechanisms Limitations of Liability <u>4.5.1 BellSouth's total liability for the payment of Tier-1 and Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms shall be collectively and absolutely capped at 39% of net revenues in Florida, based upon the most recently reported ARMS data.</u>	Addressed in new Section 4.7 entitled "Enforcement Mechanism Cap"	> CLECs DISAGREE. BellSouth has provided no substantiation for reducing the "Enforcement Mechanism Cap." BellSouth has provided no rationale that would cause a different determination than the 39% ordered by this Commission
34	Enforcement Mechanisms Limitation of Liability <u>4.5.2 BellSouth will not be obligated to pay Tier-1 or Tier-2 if such noncompliance results from failure to follow established and documented procedures.</u>	Clarifies current provisions by stating additional specific instances where BellSouth should not be obligated to pay SEEM	> CLECs DISAGREE. The language, "failure to follow established and documented procedures", is very broad. Therefore, the rationale provided by BellSouth does not address the proposed change.
35	Enforcement Mechanisms Limitations of Liability <u>4.5.3 BellSouth shall not be obligated for Tier-1 or Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms for noncompliance with a performance measure if such non-compliance was the result of an act of omission by a CLEC that was in bad faith</u>	Covered in revised Section 4.5.2	> CLECs AGREE.
36	Enforcement Mechanisms Limitations of Liability <u>4.5.4 a Force Majeure event (as defined in the most recent version of BellSouth's standard Interconnection Agreement)</u>	Clarification by identifying the specific source of the definition of a Force Majeure event	> LEGAL LANGUAGE TO BE INCLUDED.
37	Enforcement Mechanisms Affiliate Reporting <u>4.6 Affiliate Reporting Change of Law</u>	This is a new section that uses the section number previously designated for Affiliate Reporting	> LEGAL LANGUAGE TO BE INCLUDED.
38	Enforcement Mechanisms Affiliate Reporting <u>4.6 1 Upon a particular Commission's issuance of an Order pertaining to Performance Measurements or Remedy Plans in a proceeding expressly applicable to all CLECs,</u>	The Affiliate Reporting section is eliminated because it is irrelevant for SEEM. That is, this provision is unnecessary to determine whether BellSouth provides nondiscriminatory	> LEGAL LANGUAGE TO BE INCLUDED.

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
	<p><u>BellSouth shall implement such performance measures and remedy plans covering its performance for the CLECs, as well as any changes to those plans ordered by the Commission, on the date specified by the Commission. If a change of law relieves BellSouth of the obligation to provide any UNE or UNE combination pursuant to Section 251 of the Act, then upon providing the Commission with 30 days written notice, BellSouth will cease reporting data or paying remedies in accordance with the change of law. Performance Measurements and remedy plans that have been ordered by the Commission can currently be accessed via the Internet at <a href="http://pmmap.bellsouth.com">http://pmmap.bellsouth.com</a>. Should there be any difference between the performance measure and remedy plans on BellSouth's website and the plans the Commission has approved as filed in compliance with its orders, the Commission-approved compliance plan will supersede as of its effective date.</u></p>	<p>access. The standards for nondiscriminatory access are defined for each metric in the SQM</p> <p>Adds specific provision to address how changes of law will be handled in SEEM. This provision represents a reasonable balance between providing adequate notice that payments will cease with prompt relief for BellSouth to discontinue payments that should no longer be required</p>	> CLECs DISAGREE. BellSouth's reasoning does not address the deletion of the Affiliate Reporting section. Therefore, no rationale has been stated to revisit the Commission's decision on Affiliate Reporting.
40	<p><u>Affiliate Reporting- Enforcement Mechanism Cap</u></p> <p><u>BellSouth shall provide monthly results for each metric for each BellSouth-ALEC affiliate, however, only the Florida Public Service Commission shall be provided the number of transactions or observations for BellSouth-ALEC affiliates. Further, BellSouth shall inform the Commission of any changes regarding non-ALEC affiliates' use of its OSS databases, systems, and interfaces.</u></p>	<p>Separates provisions related to the Enforcement Mechanism Cap into its own section. Formerly, this information was reflected in section 4.5.1</p>	> CLECs DISAGREE. BellSouth has not provided any rationale to justify changing auditing provisions ordered by this Commission. BellSouth should continue to audit its PMQAP and the performance data
41	<p><u>4.8 - 4.8.1 Add new section Audits</u></p>	<p>Incorporates a more thorough audit plan into SEEM. Having all parties share in the cost provides equal incentive to limit the scope of the audit to meaningful activities</p>	<p>BellSouth Additionally, BellSouth is obligated to provide compliant performance and uses its performance reporting as evidence of its performance. Therefore, BellSouth should continue to incur the cost of the audit since it's required for BellSouth's purposes.</p>
42	<p><u>Dispute Resolution</u></p> <p><u>4.74.9 Notwithstanding any other provision of the Interconnection Agreement between BellSouth and each CLEC, any dispute regarding BellSouth's performance or obligations pursuant this Plan shall be resolved by the Commission</u></p>	<p>Correction</p>	> CLECs AGREE.
43	<p><u>Regional and State Coefficients Section 4.10</u></p>	<p>Provided for completeness of</p>	> CLECs AGREE IN PART

## Florida Public Service Commission

Row #	Proposed Change	SEEM Non-Technical Matrix	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
			documentation Describes method currently used to apportion penalties calculated for regional measures and modified based on the proposed change from a measurement-based plan to a transaction-based plan	<b>AND DISAGREE IN PART.</b> The CLECs agree to the inclusion of an explanation for Regional & State Coefficients. CLECs disagree with the referenced methodology for calculating coefficients. The description of the methodology appears to be flawed. BellSouth clarify the methodology during the next workshop.
44	Fee Schedule Liquidated Damages for Tier-2 Measures Table 2 Appendix A, Table A 2, reflects the current and proposed changes to the Fee Schedule See Redlined SEEM plan, Exhibit B, for proposed changes fees		Same rationale as for Table 1 above See Attachment 1 to this exhibit for the rationale for changes in specific fees	> <b>LEGAL LANGUAGE TO BE INCLUDED.</b>
45	SEEM Sub-metrics Applicable to all SEEM sub-metrics Tables B-1 and B-2 General approach taken to set of measures included in plan		Generally, one measure of timeliness and one measure of accuracy should apply to each major domain, e.g., Ordering, Provisioning, Maintenance & Repair, etc. In addition to the specific reasons given below, BellSouth is proposing to move closer to this general concept with the following changes. Also, measures of some intermediate processes were removed because such process may have little if any customer effect and any significant customer effect would likely be reflected in other measures	> <b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> CLECs need clarification of the specific rationale associated with the deletion of each individual metric since the BellSouth reasoning is not applicable to each deleted metric.  CLECs do not agree to deleting metrics that BellSouth is failing or that have not been implemented as ordered.
46	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure OSS-1 Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure OSS-1, Average Response Interval and Percent within Interval (Pre-Ordering/Ordering), from Tier 2 of the SEEM plan		BellSouth proposed removal of this measure from the SQM. See SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for the rationale	> <b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> See CLEC SQM matrix filed on August 18, 2004 for rationale.
47	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure OSS-4 Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure OSS-4, Response Interval (Maintenance & Repair), from Tier 2 of the SEEM plan		BellSouth proposed removal of this measure from the SQM. See SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for the rationale	> <b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> See CLEC SQM matrix filed on August 18, 2004 for rationale
48	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure PO-1 Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics		BellSouth proposed removal of this measure from the SQM. See SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for the rationale	> <b>CLECs DISAGREE.</b> See CLEC SQM matrix filed on August 18, 2004 for

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
		rationale	rationale
	Remove measure PO-1, Loop Makeup –Response Time-Manual, from Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the SEEM plan	BellSouth proposed removal of this measure from the SQM. See SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for the rationale	> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC SQM matrix filed on August 18, 2004 for rationale.
49	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure O-1 Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure O-1, Acknowledgement Message Timeliness from Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the SEEM plan	Measure O-2 tracks whether an acknowledgement is returned to the CLECs after an LSR or transmission is electronically submitted. If acknowledgments are not being sent, it does not directly affect the CLECs ability to provide service to its customer but is a secondary measure of an intermediate process. As such, intermittent deficiencies, particularly with the high benchmark do not indicate a significant problem. Consequently, penalties should only apply if there are persistent problems in this area, which is the situation that Tier 2 was designed to address. Also, this measure captures performance related to an electronic process that uses regional systems, problems that occur Are not limited to individual CLECs, as intended when Tier 1 penalties apply. Further the nature of electronic systems usually makes this problem largely self-correcting and any harm that occurs affects the industry as a whole not an individual CLEC. Therefore, this measure should be included in Tier 2 only. If BellSouth's performance for a given month triggers the Low Performance Fee Schedule, BellSouth will pay Tier 1 penalties in addition to Tier 2 penalty for the month involved	> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs see no reason to eliminate Tier 1 remedies as the loss of orders at this initial state creates burdens for CLECs and potential problems meeting customer requirements for service delivery. Therefore, an aggregate-only view will conceal a CLEC-specific problem.
50	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure O-2 (AKC) Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics Remove measure O-2, Acknowledgement Message Completeness, from Tier 1 of the SEEM plan. This measure would apply to Tier 2 only	BellSouth, in its current proposal, recommends that measures O-3,	> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs oppose losing the deleted
51	SEEM Sub-metrics Measures O-3 & O-4, (PFT)		

## Florida Public Service Commission

### SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
	<p>Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics</p> <p>BellSouth recommended combining measure O-4, Flow-Through Service Requests (Detail), with measure O-3, Flow-Through Service Request (Summary). Thus, measure O-4 would no longer exist as a separate measure and measure O-3, as modified, would only apply to Tier 2, Tier 1 would <u>not</u> apply. Also change disaggregation for this measure as follows</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 Combine Residence and Business into Resale</li> <li>2 Combine UNE Loop &amp; Port Combo and UNE Other into UNE</li> </ol> <p>The resulting disaggregation would be Resale, UNE and LNP</p>	<p><i>Percent Flow-Through Service Requests (Summary), and O-4, Percent Flow-Through Service Requests (Detail)</i> be combined into a single SQM that shows both the Aggregate CLEC data (Summary) and CLEC Specific data (Detail). The SEEM penalty, in BellSouth's proposal, would apply to the Aggregate CLEC data as a Tier 2 measure only. Flow Through results are based on the operation of regional systems and impact CLECs equally, based on the products or feature that they order. Because this measure captures performance related to an electronic process that uses regional systems, problems that occur are not limited to individual CLECs, as intended when Tier 1 penalties apply.</p> <p>Flow through typically only increase the standard for measuring FOC timeliness by 7 hours. The mechanized FOC Timeliness standard is 95% in 3 hours and for orders that do not flow through and should do so, the FOC Timeliness standard is 95% in 10 hours. Such delay periodically does not directly affect the CLECs ability to provide service to its customers. As such, intermittent deficiencies, particularly with the high benchmark do not indicate a significant problem. Consequently, penalties should only apply if there are persistent problems in this area, which is the situation that Tier 2 was designed to address.</p>	<p>disaggregation in SEEM as problems with flow through for certain problems would go hidden and un-remedied if combined with a large-volume product with high flow-through rates. With so many product types lumped together, masking of CLEC-specific flowthrough problems would easily occur without sanction based on BellSouth's proposal.</p> <p>BellSouth's claims of "regional systems" does not negate the fact that flowthrough varies depending on what is ordered.</p> <p>CLECs disagree with BellSouth's proposed disaggregation. See CLEC August 18, 2004 response to collapsing disaggregation.</p>

## Florida Public Service Commission

### SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
		whole not an individual CLEC Therefore, this measure should be included in Tier 2 only	Finally, since all CLECs are affected similarly, Tier 1 penalties should not apply. If BellSouth's performance for a given month triggers the Low Performance Fee Schedule, BellSouth will pay Tier 1 penalties in addition to Tier 2 penalty for the month involved
52	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure O-8, (RI) Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics Remove Partially Mechanized and Non-Mechanized disaggregations for O-8, Reject Interval, from Tier 1 and Tier 2	The proposed disaggregation for this measure in the SEEM plan is the same as the SQM. See the SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for the rationale for this change	> CLECs DISAGREE. All product types can not be ordered via a fully mechanized means. However, these CLECs also cannot tolerate long reject interval and this metric disaggregation should continue to included partially mechanized and non-mechanized.
53	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure O-9, (FOCT)	This measure was proposed for removal from the SQM. See the SQM	> CLECs DISAGREE. Contrary to BellSouth's

## Florida Public Service Commission

SEEM Non-Technical Matrix		BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
Row #	Proposed Change		
	Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure O-9, Firm Order Confirmation (FOC) Timeliness, from the both Tier 1 and Tier2	<p>matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for the rationale. It should be noted that although this measure is being removed from SEEM, this function will still be measured in the new measurement <i>Firm Order Confirmation Average Completion Interval</i> (FOCI) that BellSouth is proposing to include in both Tier 1 and Tier 2 of SEEM. The FOCI measure will combine the two current measures, <i>FOC Timeliness</i> and <i>Average Completion Interval (OCI)</i> &amp; <i>Order Completion Interval Distribution</i>, into a single metric as requested by CLECs in the past.</p> <p>Since the failure to return FOCs to CLECs in a timely manner will show up in the FOCI metric, which is proposed for both Tier 1 and Tier 2, including <i>FOC Timeliness</i> in the SEEM plan as well would result in dual penalties for the same failure. Therefore, BellSouth's proposal excludes <i>FOC Timeliness</i> from the SEEM plan.</p>	<p>comments, BellSouth did not propose for removal of this measure from the SQM. The CLEC do not agree that the FOCI measure is appropriately structured. The artificial padding of intervals that include ILEC "FOC" times render this measure completely useless for monitoring for discrimination. See CLEC comments filed on August 18, 2004. Therefore, the FOC should be retained as a Tier 1 &amp; Tier 2 measure.</p>
54	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure O-11, (FOCRC) Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics Remove measure O-11, Firm Order Confirmation and Reject Response Completeness, from Tier 1 of SEEM	<p>BellSouth's proposal excludes this measure from Tier 1 of the SEEM plan and includes it as a Tier 2 measure only. This is not a primary indicator of the timeliness or accuracy of the ordering process. The systems and processes that generate Reject Notices and FOCs are regional in nature and this measure simply tracks whether one of these two responses to a request was sent – not how long it takes to send it. If a response is not sent it is typically due to a system problem, which affects CLECs in general rather than only specific CLECs. Further the cure is fairly</p>	<p>&gt; CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs oppose removal of Tier 1 remedies. BellSouth has not explained why missing FOCs and Rejects do not harm CLECs' individual relationships with customers.</p>

## Florida Public Service Commission

## SEEM Non-Technical Matrix

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
		simple, which is for the CLEC to resubmit the order. Consequently this area becomes a problem only if persistent problems arise, which makes it more appropriate to include this measure in Tier 2 only. Further, Tier 1 penalties are already paid, and would be paid under BellSouth's proposal, for the Reject Interval and FOCI measures. Further, if BellSouth's performance for a given month triggers the Low Performance Fee Schedule, BellSouth will pay Tier 1 penalties in addition to Tier 2 penalty for the month involved	> CLECs DISAGREE. This is a key measure and one where CLECs currently track BellSouth's performance. The CLEC do not agree that the FOCI measure is appropriately structured. The artificial padding of intervals that include ILEC "FOC" times render this measure completely useless for monitoring for discrimination.
55	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure P-4 Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure P-4, Average Completion Interval (OCI) & Order Completion Interval Distribution, from Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the SEEM plan	Although this measure is being removed from SEEM, this function will still be measured in the new measurement <i>Firm Order Confirmation Average Completion Interval</i> (FOCI) that BellSouth is proposing to include in both Tier 1 and Tier 2 of SEEM. The FOCI measure will combine the two current measures, <i>FOC Timeliness and Average Completion Interval (OCI) &amp; Order Confirmation Interval Distribution</i> , into a single metric as requested by the CLECs in the past. Since the failure to complete orders within appropriate intervals will show up in the FOCI metric, which is proposed for both Tier 1 and Tier 2, including a separate OCI measure in the SEEM plan as well would result in dual penalties for the same failure	> CLECs DISAGREE. See CLEC comments filed on August 18, 2004. Therefore, the OCI should be retained as a Tier 1 & Tier 2 measure.
56	SEEM Sub-metrics New Measure, FOCI Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Add the measure Firm Order Confirmation Average Completion Interval to both Tier 1 and Tier 2 of SEEM	New measure that combines former measures FOC Timeliness and Average Completion Interval. These two functions are proposed to be in SEEM	> CLECs DISAGREE. CLEC oppose is measure as a replacement for OCI Tier 1 and Tier2 measures. See CLEC concerns in August 18, 2004

Florida Public Service Commission		SEEM Non-Technical Matrix	
Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
57	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure P-7A, HCT Table B-1 Tier1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Combine the existing disaggregation levels for measure P-7A, Coordinated Customer Conversations Hot Cut Timeliness – Percent within Interval, into single a single sub-metric for “UNE Loops”	The proposed SQM reflects two levels of disaggregation for this measure, namely “Non-IDLC” and “IDLC”. See the SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for the rationale for that change. For purposes of the SEEM plan, while the proposed disaggregation for this metric in SEEM only reflects one category for “UNE Loops,” the calculations for penalties actually applies the separate benchmarks for Non-IDLC and IDLC Loops. The penalties would simply be reported as a single category designated as UNE Loops	➤ filing.
58	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure P-7C, (PT) Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure P-7C, Hot Cut Conversions - Percent Provisioning Troubles Received within 5 Days (formerly 7 Days) of a Completed Service Order, from Tier 1 and Tier 2	BellSouth's proposal excludes this measure from Tier 1 and Tier 2 of SEEM. This is because the same data are captured in the measure <i>Percent Provisioning Troubles within "X" Days</i> , which is included in Tier 1 and Tier 2. Including both these measures in SEEM would subject BellSouth to dual penalties for the same failure	➤ CLECs DISAGREE. This metric specifically seeks to motivate compliant hot cut performance. Based on the proposed disaggregation for Percent Troubles within “X” Days, all UNE loop performance would be consolidated and hot cut specific performance would be masked.
59	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure P-8 Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure P-8, Cooperative Acceptance Testing, from Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the SEEM plan	BellSouth proposed removal of this measure from the SQM. See SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for the rationale	➤ CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs oppose the deletion of this measure. This measure is a key indicator of support xDSL testing and should not be deleted. It is imperative that CLECs receive trouble-free loops at installation. Further, as facilities-based competition increases, so may the number of orders requiring cooperative testing.
60	SEEM Sub-metrics New measure CNDD Table B-1. Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Add measure CNDD, Non-Coordinated Customer Conversations – Percent Completed and Notified on Due Date, to both Tier 1 and Tier 2	BellSouth proposes to add this new measure to both Tier 1 and Tier 2 of SEEM. This measure, as described in the SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004, captures the percentage of non-	➤ CLECs AGREE.

**Florida Public Service Commission**      **SEEM Non-Technical Matrix**

Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
61	SEEM Sub-metrics Measures P-13B (LOOS), P-13C (LAT), and P-13D (DTNT) Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics Remove measures P-13B, LNP-Percent Out of Service < 60 Minutes, P-13C, Percentage of Time BellSouth Applies to 10-Digit Trigger Prior to the LNP Order Due Date (LAT), and P-13D, LNP-Disconnect Timeliness (Non Trigger) (DTNT), from Tier 1 of SEEM	<p>coordinated customer conversations that BellSouth completes and provides notification to the CLEC on the due date. Considering the increased role that non coordinated hot cuts may have in the future and the potential direct impact on customer service this measure is being proposed for inclusion in SEEM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; CLECs DISAGREE.</li> </ul> <p>BellSouth's proposal includes these three measures as Tier 2 only. These metrics evaluate a combination of largely automated processes and procedures performed by technicians in a centralized work center. The result is that the processes are the same from CLEC to CLEC and, if there is a problem, the problem affects all CLECs, rather than an individual CLEC. Consequently, a Tier-2 enforcement mechanism is appropriate for these measurements. Further, if BellSouth's performance for a given month triggers the Low Performance Fee Schedule, BellSouth will pay Tier 1 penalties in addition to Tier 2 penalty for the month involved.</p> <p>This measure is neither an indicator of timeliness nor accuracy of maintenance and repair. It is not a measure of whether troubles actually exist, but is at best a broad indicator of whether customers choose to submit trouble reports. Consequently, low results do not mean that there is a performance problem, instead it simply provides information that indicates whether a part of the maintenance process needs to be examined to see if a problem exists. Experience has shown that results vary widely due to differences in the</p>
62	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure M&R 2, CTRR Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure M&R 2, Customer Trouble Report Rate, from both Tier 1 and Tier 2	<p>three measures as Tier 2 only. These metrics evaluate a combination of largely automated processes and procedures performed by technicians in a centralized work center. The result is that the processes are the same from CLEC to CLEC and, if there is a problem, the problem affects all CLECs, rather than an individual CLEC. Consequently, a Tier-2 enforcement mechanism is appropriate for these measurements. Further, if BellSouth's performance for a given month triggers the Low Performance Fee Schedule, BellSouth will pay Tier 1 penalties in addition to Tier 2 penalty for the month involved.</p> <p>This measure is neither an indicator of timeliness nor accuracy of maintenance and repair. It is not a measure of whether troubles actually exist, but is at best a broad indicator of whether customers choose to submit trouble reports. Consequently, low results do not mean that there is a performance problem, instead it simply provides information that indicates whether a part of the maintenance process needs to be examined to see if a problem exists. Experience has shown that results vary widely due to differences in the</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; CLECs DISAGREE.</li> </ul> <p>Contrary to BellSouth's comments, CTRR is an indicator of the percentage of wholesale line that experience troubles. Therefore, it gives some indication of CLEC reliability when is an essential attribute for competing in the local market. For the CLECs, low results indicate that CLEC customers are experiencing service disruptions. Maybe the results are not troublesome to BellSouth because these are CLEC</p>

Florida Public Service Commission		SEEM Non-Technical Matrix	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
Row #	Proposed Change			
			<p>way that CLECs choose to maintain their services. For example, some CLECs do a better job of isolating troubles to their network than others. Those that don't isolate troubles well have higher trouble report rates, and it hardly seems appropriate to penalize BellSouth because a CLEC did not isolate its troubles properly. Also, very small differences in performance result in large penalties for this measure as shown in the examples in our comments. Typically, some of the highest penalties are paid for this measure, and it is typically one of the areas where the measure usually indicates a high level of performance for both CLECs and retail. For example, overall, Trouble reports rate are usually less than 3% and the difference between CLEC and retail performance is less than 2%, but the penalties are among the highest of any measure. Thus occurs even though for many of the reports no actual trouble exists. SEEM penalties will apply to the measures Maintenance Average Duration and Repeat Troubles, which together measure the accuracy and timeliness of Maintenance and Repair efforts.</p>	<p>customers. CLECs also oppose elimination of this measure from SEEM given their sustained non-compliance.</p>
63	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure M&R-5 Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure M&R-5, Out of Service (OOS) > 24 hours, from Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the SEEM plan	BellSouth proposed removal of this measure from the SQM. See SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for rationale	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ CLECs DISAGREE.</li> </ul> <p><b>CLECs opposed removal of this measure from SEEM. See SQM matrix filed on August 18, 2000</b></p>	
64	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure B-1 Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics For measure B-1, Invoice Accuracy, change the disaggregation to eliminate separate submetrics for Interconnection, Resale and UNE	This metric is simply an indication of whether BellSouth provides the CLECs with accurate bills. There is no need to show separate disaggregations for Interconnection, Resale and UNE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ CLECs DISAGREE.</li> </ul>	
65	SEEM Sub-metrics	BellSouth proposed removal of this	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ CLEC DISAGREE.</li> </ul>	

Row #	Florida Public Service Commission Proposed Change	SEEM Non-Technical Matrix	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
66	Measure B-3 Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure B-3, Usage Data Delivery Accuracy, from Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the SEEM plan	Measure B-10 Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Remove measure B-10, Percent Billing Errors Corrected in "X" Business Days, from Tier 1	measure from the SQM See SQM matrix filed on July 28, 2004 for rationale	CLECs opposed removal of this measure from SEEM. See matrix filed on August 18, 2004.
67	SEEM Sub-metrics Measure C-3, PMDD Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics For measure C-3, Collocation Percent of Due Dates Missed, remove the separate disaggregations for Virtual, Physical, which were further disaggregated by Initial and Augment	This metric simply tracked whether a committed due date is met or missed Specific disaggregation by Virtual or Physical (also Initial and Augment) is unnecessary. This especially true since BellSouth rarely missed a due date for this measure	> CLECs DISAGREE. CLECs opposed removal of this measure from SEEM. BellSouth is currently failing at the Tier 2 level for this measure.	BellSouth's claims of claims having low dollar values is false and attributed to the fact that BellSouth is inappropriately excluded claims that are disputed. Those disputed claims, which happen to be wrongfully excluded, have high dollar value. Therefore, BellSouth has no valid rationale for deleting this measure.
68	SEEM Sub-metrics SEEM Measurement Disaggregation - General Table B-1 Tier 1 Sub-metrics & Table B-2 Tier 2 Sub-metrics Decrease the level of disaggregation for many SEEM Tier 1 and Tier 2 measurements The measures within the Provisioning and Maintenance & Repair domains for which BellSouth proposes a reduction in disaggregation are shown below (the actual changes to the level of disaggregation is shown in Appendix B, Tables B-1 and B-2, of the redlined SEEM plan included in this filing as Exhibit B) Provisioning Order Completion Maintenance & Repair	As discussed concerning the excessive disaggregation in the current SQM, there are a large number of sub-metrics for which there is little or no activity month-to-month. There is, obviously, no benefit to maintaining the current level of disaggregation, which produces so many meaningless data reports. The resulting need, therefore, and the approach reflected in BellSouth's proposal, is for more aggregation rather than disaggregation. That is, grouping similar sub-metrics together for	> CLECs DISAGREE. CLEC do not agree with compensating accuracy of the compliance determination for the sake of increasing volumes at the submetric level. CLECs continue to reiterate the fallacy of lumping unlike products together for performance determination.	This course of action will only produce flawed results. To address BellSouth concerns, CLECs continue to recommend a joint viewing of data at the cell
1	PIAM Percent Installation Appointments Met (currently reflected as P-3, Percent Missed Installation Appointments)			
2	PPT Percent Provisioning Troubles within 5 Days (previously 30 Days) of Service			

Florida Public Service Commission	SEEM Non-Technical Matrix	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
Row #	Proposed Change		
1 PRAM Percent Repair Appointments Met (currently reflected as MR-1, Percent Missed Repair Appointments) 2 MAD Maintenance Average Duration 3 PRT Percent Repeat Customer Troubles within 30 Days  The proposed SEEM disaggregation for Pre-Ordering and Ordering measures is the same as the proposed SQM disaggregation except where already noted	<p>Beyond the disaggregation issues associated with the SQM, however, the design and intended functioning of the SEEM plan requires additional aggregation beyond that reflected in the SQM. Of course, the problem of the vast majority of sub-measures reflecting little or no activity is compounded in the SEEM plan for Tier I. This is because, in addition to the several levels of disaggregation in the SQM, SEEM Tier 1 calculations require further disaggregation by individual CLEC. Specifically, SEEM currently contains 830 sub-metrics at the Tier 1 level. There are over 200 CLECs in Florida. Since Tier 1 sub-metrics apply to all CLECs, there is a potential for over 166,000 SEEM determinations (830 sub-metrics x 200 CLECs). Too many sub-metrics (which are subject to further disaggregation and granularity) result in few or no transactions (or activity) in many sub-metrics. For example, an analysis of SEEM data for Florida taken from the three-month period of August through October 2003 indicated that, on average, there was no activity for 97% of the CLEC specific opportunities for the 830 SEEM measures.</p> <p>Additionally, the truncated-Z statistical methodology uses like-to-like comparisons at very granular level called cells so masking of poor performance by good performance is a minimal problem if it exists at all as</p>	<p>purposes of making more meaningful determinations of compliant performance</p> <p>Beyond the disaggregation issues associated with the SQM, however, the design and intended functioning of the SEEM plan requires additional aggregation beyond that reflected in the SQM. Of course, the problem of the vast majority of sub-measures reflecting little or no activity is compounded in the SEEM plan for Tier I. This is because, in addition to the several levels of disaggregation in the SQM, SEEM Tier 1 calculations require further disaggregation by individual CLEC. Specifically, SEEM currently contains 830 sub-metrics at the Tier 1 level. There are over 200 CLECs in Florida. Since Tier 1 sub-metrics apply to all CLECs, there is a potential for over 166,000 SEEM determinations (830 sub-metrics x 200 CLECs). Too many sub-metrics (which are subject to further disaggregation and granularity) result in few or no transactions (or activity) in many sub-metrics. For example, an analysis of SEEM data for Florida taken from the three-month period of August through October 2003 indicated that, on average, there was no activity for 97% of the CLEC specific opportunities for the 830 SEEM measures.</p> <p>Additionally, the truncated-Z statistical methodology uses like-to-like comparisons at very granular level called cells so masking of poor performance by good performance is a minimal problem if it exists at all as</p>	<p>level such that a joint disaggregation proposal can be developed. BellSouth continues to make these claims of low volumes but have not provided other parties with access to the data to verify or invalidate those claims.</p>

Florida Public Service Commission		SEEM Non-Technical Matrix	
Row #	Proposed Change	BST Reasoning	CLEC Response
		indicated by an analysis conducted by AT&T. The truncated Z methodology was specifically designed to allow aggregation of several products without creating a problem with masking. According to the design of the statistical methodology used in the SEEM plan, given that like-to-like comparisons are made at the cell level, it is unnecessary for the SEEM plan payment categories of sub-metrics to be the same as the SQM level, which is used for reporting and monitoring.	
69	SEEM Sub-metrics SEEM Retail Analogs <u>B_3</u> Add new section to show the retail analogs for the measures in the SEEM plan	Added for completeness of SEEM documentation	✓
70	SEEM Sub-metrics SEEM Benchmark Thresholds <u>B_4</u> Add new section to show the benchmarks for the measures in the SEEM plan	Added for completeness of SEEM documentation	✓
71	<u>Appendix F OSS Tables F 1 – F 2</u> Added the OSS designations to SEEM	This section was added to reflect the OSS applied to the SEEM plan parity determinations	✓
72	<u>Appendix G Reposting of Performance Data and Recalculation of SEEM Payments</u> Reposting policy added to the SEEM plan	This is the policy concerning the reposting of data that was approved by the Commission. This policy is included in the SEEM plan documentation for completeness	✓